

$N(1700) D_{13}$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^-) \text{ Status: } ***$$

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

The various partial-wave analyses do not agree very well.

$N(1700)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1650 to 1750 (≈ 1700) OUR ESTIMATE			
1737 \pm 44	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1675 \pm 25	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1731 \pm 15	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1791 \pm 46	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
1709	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1650	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1690 to 1710	BAKER	78	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1719	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1670 \pm 10	¹ BAKER	77	IPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1690	¹ BAKER	77	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
1660	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1710	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$N(1700)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
50 to 150 (≈ 100) OUR ESTIMATE			
250 \pm 220	MANLEY	92	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
90 \pm 40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
110 \pm 30	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
215 \pm 60	BATINIC	95	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$
166	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
70	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
70 to 100	BAKER	78	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
126	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
90 \pm 25	¹ BAKER	77	IPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
100	¹ BAKER	77	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
600	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
300	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$N(1700)$ POLE POSITION**REAL PART**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1630 to 1730 (≈ 1680) OUR ESTIMATE			
1700	⁴ HOEHLER	93 SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1660 ± 30	CUTKOSKY	80 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
not seen	ARNDT	91 DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1710 or 1678	⁵ LONGACRE	78 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
1616 or 1613	² LONGACRE	77 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

– 2×IMAGINARY PART

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
50 to 150 (≈ 100) OUR ESTIMATE			
120	⁴ HOEHLER	93 SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
90 ± 40	CUTKOSKY	80 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
not seen	ARNDT	91 DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
607 or 567	⁵ LONGACRE	78 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
577 or 575	² LONGACRE	77 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

 $N(1700)$ ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE**MODULUS $|r|$**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
5	HOEHLER	93 SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
6 ± 3	CUTKOSKY	80 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

PHASE θ

<u>VALUE ($^\circ$)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0 ± 50	CUTKOSKY	80 IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$

N(1700) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $N\pi$	5–15 %
Γ_2 $N\eta$	
Γ_3 ΛK	<3 %
Γ_4 ΣK	
Γ_5 $N\pi\pi$	85–95 %
Γ_6 $\Delta\pi$	
Γ_7 $\Delta(1232)\pi$, <i>S</i> -wave	
Γ_8 $\Delta(1232)\pi$, <i>D</i> -wave	
Γ_9 $N\rho$	<35 %
Γ_{10} $N\rho$, <i>S</i> =1/2, <i>D</i> -wave	
Γ_{11} $N\rho$, <i>S</i> =3/2, <i>S</i> -wave	
Γ_{12} $N\rho$, <i>S</i> =3/2, <i>D</i> -wave	
Γ_{13} $N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$	
Γ_{14} $p\gamma$	0.01–0.05 %
Γ_{15} $p\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.0–0.024 %
Γ_{16} $p\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.002–0.026 %
Γ_{17} $n\gamma$	0.01–0.13 %
Γ_{18} $n\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.0–0.09 %
Γ_{19} $n\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.01–0.05 %

N(1700) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
0.05 to 0.15 OUR ESTIMATE	
0.01±0.02	MANLEY 92 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.11±0.05	CUTKOSKY 80 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.08±0.03	HOEHLER 79 IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.04±0.05	BATINIC 95 DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_2/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.10±0.06	BATINIC 95 DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Lambda K$	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
–0.06 to +0.04 OUR ESTIMATE	
–0.012	BELL 83 DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
–0.012	SAXON 80 DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-0.04	⁶ BAKER	78	DPWA	See SAXON 80
-0.03 ± 0.004	¹ BAKER	77	IPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
-0.03	¹ BAKER	77	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
+0.026 ± 0.019	DEVENISH	74B		Fixed-t dispersion rel.

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Sigma K$ $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_4)^{1/2} / \Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen	LIVANOS	80	DPWA	$\pi p \rightarrow \Sigma K$
<0.017	⁷ DEANS	75	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620) S_{31}$ coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, S-wave $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_7)^{1/2} / \Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

0.00 to ±0.08 OUR ESTIMATE

+0.02 ± 0.03	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.00	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
-0.16	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)\pi$, D-wave $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_8)^{1/2} / \Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

±0.04 to ±0.20 OUR ESTIMATE

+0.10 ± 0.09	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
-0.12	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.14	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow N\rho$, S=3/2, S-wave $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{11})^{1/2} / \Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

±0.01 to ±0.13 OUR ESTIMATE

-0.04 ± 0.06	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
-0.07	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.07	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow N(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}}^{I=0}$ $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{13})^{1/2} / \Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

±0.02 to ±0.28 OUR ESTIMATE

+0.02 ± 0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.00	² LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
+0.2	³ LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$

$N(1700)$ PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES **$N(1700) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$**

<u>VALUE (GeV^{-1/2})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.018±0.013 OUR ESTIMATE			
-0.016±0.014	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.002±0.013	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.028±0.007	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
-0.029±0.006	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
-0.024±0.019	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-0.033±0.021	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.014±0.025	FELLER	76	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

 $N(1700) \rightarrow p\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

<u>VALUE (GeV^{-1/2})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.002±0.024 OUR ESTIMATE			
-0.009±0.012	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.029±0.014	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.002±0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
0.014±0.005	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
-0.017±0.014	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-0.014±0.025	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.0 ± 0.014	FELLER	76	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

 $N(1700) \rightarrow n\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

<u>VALUE (GeV^{-1/2})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.000±0.050 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.006±0.024	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.002±0.013	FUJII	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.052±0.030	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
-0.055±0.030	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.052±0.035	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
+0.050±0.042	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

 $N(1700) \rightarrow n\gamma$, helicity-3/2 amplitude $A_{3/2}$

<u>VALUE (GeV^{-1/2})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-0.003±0.044 OUR ESTIMATE			
-0.033±0.017	AWAJI	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.018±0.018	FUJII	81	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.037±0.036	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 1)
-0.035±0.024	ARAI	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ (fit 2)
0.041±0.030	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
+0.035±0.030	BARBOUR	78	DPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$

$N(1700) \quad \gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ AMPLITUDES **$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $p\gamma \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ (E_{2-} amplitude)**VALUE (units 10^{-3}) DOCUMENT ID TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

4.09 TANABE 89 DPWA

 $(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $p\gamma \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ (M_{2-} amplitude)VALUE (units 10^{-3}) DOCUMENT ID TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-7.09 TANABE 89 DPWA

 $p\gamma \rightarrow N(1700) \rightarrow \Lambda K^+$ phase angle θ (E_{2-} amplitude)VALUE (degrees) DOCUMENT ID TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-35.9 TANABE 89 DPWA

 $N(1700)$ FOOTNOTES

- ¹ The two BAKER 77 entries are from an IPWA using the Barrelet-zero method and from a conventional energy-dependent analysis.
- ² LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ³ From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ⁴ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
- ⁵ LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.
- ⁶ The overall phase of BAKER 78 couplings has been changed to agree with previous conventions.
- ⁷ The range given is from the four best solutions.

 $N(1700)$ REFERENCESFor early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 70 (1982).

BATINIC	95	PR C51 2310	+Slaus, Svarc, Nefkens	(BOSK, UCLA)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
TANABE	89	PR C39 741	+Kohno, Bennhold	(MANZ)
Also	89	NC 102A 193	Kohno, Tanabe, Bennhold	(MANZ)
BELL	83	NP B222 389	+Blissett, Broome, Daley, Hart, Lintern+	(RL) IJP
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
FUJII	81	NP B187 53	+Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK)
ARAI	80	Toronto Conf. 93		(INUS)
Also	82	NP B194 251	Arai, Fujii	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)

CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	+Baton, Coutures, Kochowski, Neveu	(SACL) IJP
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	+Baker, Bell, Blissett, Bloodworth+	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BAKER	78	NP B141 29	+Blissett, Bloodworth, Broome+	(RL, CAVE) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	+Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC)
BAKER	77	NP B126 365	+Blissett, Bloodworth, Broome, Hart+	(RHEL) IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	+Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also	76	NP B108 365	Dolbeau, Triantis, Neveu, Cadiet	(SACL) IJP
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	+Fukushima, Horikawa, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, OSAK) IJP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH) IJP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	+Rosenfeld, Lasinski, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC) IJP
DEVENISH	74B	NP B81 330	+Froggatt, Martin	(DESY, NORD, LOUC)
