Review of Particle Physics: C. Caso et al. (Particle Data Group), European Physical Journal C3, 1 (1998)

 $\Delta(1600) P_{33}$

 $I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{3}{2}^+)$ Status: ***

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

The various analyses are not in good agreement.

Δ (1600) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1550 to 1700 (\approx 1600) OUR ESTI	MATE			
1706 ± 10	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$
1600 ± 50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1522 ± 13	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following	data for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
1672 ± 15	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1706	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
1690	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
1560	¹ LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
1640	² LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

△(1600) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
250 to 450 (≈ 350) OUR ESTIMA	TE			
430± 73	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$
$300\!\pm\!100$	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$220\pm$ 40	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following	data for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
315± 20	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
215	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
250	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
180	¹ LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
300	² LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

Δ (1600) POLE POSITION

REAL PART				
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
1500 to 1700 (≈ 1600) OUR ESTI	MATE			
1675	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
1550	³ HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$1550\!\pm\!40$	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$\bullet~\bullet~\bullet$ We do not use the following	data for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
1612	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
1609 or 1610	⁴ LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
1541 or 1542	¹ LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV	Page 1		Creat	ted: 6/29/1998 11:25

Review of Particle Physics: C. Caso et al. (Particle Data Group), European Physical Journal C3, 1 (1998)

-2×IMAGINARY PART					
VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMME	VT
200 to 400 (\approx 300) OUR ESTIMA	TE				
386	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi {\it N} \rightarrow$	$N\pi$
200 ± 60	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi {\it N} \rightarrow$	πN
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following	data for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • •	•
230	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow$	π <i>N</i> Soln SM90
323 or 325	⁴ LONGACRE	78	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow$	$N\pi\pi$
178 or 178	¹ LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi \textit{N} \rightarrow$	$N\pi\pi$

Δ (1600) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS |r|

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
52	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
17±4	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following d	ata for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. ● ● ●
16	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ Soln SM90
PHASE $ heta$				
VALUE ($^{\circ}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+ 14	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$
-150 ± 30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following d	ata for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. ● ● ●

Δ (1600) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Г1	Νπ	10–25 %
Γ2	ΣΚ	
Γ ₃	$N\pi\pi$	75–90 %
Γ ₄	$\Delta \pi$	40–70 %
Γ ₅	$arDelta(1232)\pi$, <i>P</i> -wave	
Г ₆	$arDelta(1232)\pi$, <i>F</i> -wave	
Γ ₇	N ho	<25 %
Г ₈	N $ ho$, S=1/2, P-wave	
Г9	N $ ho$, S=3/2, P-wave	
Γ_{10}	N $ ho$, S=3/2, F-wave	
Γ_{11}	$N(1440)\pi$	10-35 %
Γ_{12}	$\mathit{N}(1440)\pi$, $\mathit{P} ext{-wave}$	
Γ ₁₃	$N\gamma$	0.001-0.02 %
Γ_{14}	$N\gamma$, helicity ${=}1/2$	0.0-0.02 %
Г ₁₅	$N\gamma$, helicity=3/2	0.001–0.005 %

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

△(1600) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Г1/Г
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.10 to 0.25 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.12 ± 0.02	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$
0.18 ± 0.04	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.21 ± 0.06	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
$\frac{(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\Gamma_{\text{total}}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta(100)$ $\frac{VALUE}{-0.36 \text{ to } -0.28 \text{ OUR ESTIMATION}}$ ••• We do not use the following 0.006 to 0.042	$\begin{array}{c} 600) \rightarrow \Sigma K \\ \underline{DOCUMENT \ ID} \\ E \\ data \ for \ averages \\ 5 \ DEANS \end{array}$	s, fits 75	<u>TECN</u> s, limits, DPWA	$\frac{(\Gamma_{1}\Gamma_{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\pi N \rightarrow \Sigma K}$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620) S_{31}$ coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta(10)$	600) → Δ(12 3	32) π,	, <i>P</i> -wav	re $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{\frac{1}{2}}/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.27 to $+0.33$ OUR ESTIMATE				
$+0.29\pm0.02$	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$
$+0.24\pm0.05$	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
+0.34 1	^{,6} LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
+0.30	² LONGACRE	75	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta(10^{-3})^{\frac{1}{2}}$	600) → Δ(12 3	2) π	, <i>F</i> -wav	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_6)^{\frac{1}{2}}/\Gamma$
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.15 to -0.03 OUR ESTIMATE	6			
-0.07 1	^{,6} LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta(10^{-10})^{1/2}$	$500) \rightarrow N\rho, S$	=1/2	2, <i>P</i> -wa	we $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{\frac{1}{2}}/\Gamma$
VALUE	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		TECN	COMMENT
+0.10	^{,0} LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta(10^{10})^{1/2}$	$600) \rightarrow N\rho, S$	=3/2	2, <i>P</i> -wa	νe $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_9)^{\frac{1}{2}}/\Gamma$
VALUE	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>		TECN	COMMENT
+0.10	⁹ LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$
$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \Gamma_{\text{total}} \text{ in } N\pi \to \Delta(10)^{1/2}$	600) → N(144 DOCUMENT ID	0) π,	, P-wav TECN	νe (Γ ₁ Γ ₁₂) ^{1/2} /Γ
+0.15 to +0.23 OUR ESTIMATE				
$+0.16\pm0.02$	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$
$+0.23 \pm 0.04$	BARNHAM	80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

△(1600) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

$\Delta(1600) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude A_{1/2}

VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.023 ± 0.020 OUR ESTIMATE				
-0.018 ± 0.015	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.039 ± 0.030	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.046 ± 0.013	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.005 ± 0.020	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the following	data for averages	s, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
-0.026 ± 0.002	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.200	⁷ WADA	84	DPWA	Compton scattering
0.000 ± 0.030	BARBOUR	78	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.0 ± 0.020	FELLER	76	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$\Delta(1600) \rightarrow N\gamma$, helicity-3/2	amplitude A ₃	/2		
VALUE (GeV $^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
-0.009±0.021 OUR ESTIMATE				
-0.025 ± 0.015	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.013 ± 0.014	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.025 ± 0.031	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
-0.009 ± 0.020	CRAWFORD	80	DPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
$\bullet~\bullet~\bullet$ We do not use the following	data for averages	s, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
-0.016 ± 0.002	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$
0.023	WADA	84	DPWA	Compton scattering

±0.002	LI WADA	93 84	IPWA $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$ DPWA Compton so
$\pm 0.045 \\ \pm 0.015$	BARBOUR FELLER	78 76	$\begin{array}{rcl} DPWA & \gamma N \to & \pi N \\ DPWA & \gamma N \to & \pi N \end{array}$

Δ (1600) FOOTNOTES

- ¹ LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ² From method II of LONGACRE 75: eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.
- ³ See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.
- ⁴LONGACRE 78 values are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix. The first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis.
- ⁵ The range given is from the four best solutions. DEANS 75 disagrees with $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^+$ data of WINNIK 77 around 1920 MeV.

⁶LONGACRE 77 considers this coupling to be well determined.

⁷WADA 84 is inconsistent with other analyses — see the Note on N and Δ Resonances.

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

0.000 0.0

∆(1600) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters 111B 70 (1982).

ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	+Strakovsky, Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	+Strakovsky, Workman, Pavan	(VPI, BRCO)
HOEHLER	93	π N Newsletter 9 1		(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	+Arndt, Roper, Workman	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	+Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also	84	PR D30 904	Manley, Arndt, Goradia, Teplitz	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	+Li, Roper, Workman, Ford	(VPI, TELE) IJP
WADA	84	NP B247 313	+Egawa, Imanishi, Ishii, Kato, Ukai+	(INUS)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	+Morton	(GLAS)
PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	+Kajikawa	` (NAGO)
Also	82	NP B197 365	Fujii, Hayashii, Iwata, Kajikawa+	(NAGO)
BARNHAM	80	NP B168 243	+Glickman, Mier-Jedrzejowicz+	(LOIC)
CRAWFORD	80	Toronto Conf. 107		(GLAS)
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	+Forsyth, Babcock, Kelly, Hendrick	(CMÙ, LBL) IJP
Also	79	PR D20 2839	Cutkosky, Forsyth, Hendrick, Kelly	(CMU, LBL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	+Kaiser, Koch, Pietarinen	(KARLT) IJP
Also	80	Toronto Conf. 3	Koch	(KARLT) IJP
BARBOUR	78	NP B141 253	+Crawford, Parsons	(GLAS)
LONGACRE	78	PR D17 1795	+Lasinski, Rosenfeld, Smadia+	(LBL, SLAC)
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	+Dolbeau	(SACL) UP
Also	76	NP B108 365	Dolbeau, Triantis, Neveu, Cadiet	(SACL) IJP
WINNIK	77	NP B128 66	+Toaff, Revel, Goldberg, Berny	(HAIF) I
FELLER	76	NP B104 219	+Fukushima, Horikawa, Kajikawa+	(NAGO, ÒSAK) LIP
DEANS	75	NP B96 90	+Mitchell, Montgomery+	(SFLA, ALAH) UP
LONGACRE	75	PL 55B 415	+Rosenfeld, Lasinski, Smadja+	(LBL, SLAC) IJP

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV