

$\Lambda(1890) P_{03}$ $I(J^P) = 0(\frac{3}{2}^+)$ Status: ****

For results published before 1974 (they are now obsolete), see our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

The $J^P = 3/2^+$ assignment is consistent with all available data (including polarization) and recent partial-wave analyses. The dominant inelastic modes remain unknown.

 $\Lambda(1890)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1850 to 1910 (≈ 1890) OUR ESTIMATE			
1897 \pm 5	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1908 \pm 10	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1900 \pm 5	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1894 \pm 10	HEMINGWAY	75	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1856 or 1868	¹ MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1900	² NAKKASYAN	75	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\omega$

 $\Lambda(1890)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
60 to 200 (≈ 100) OUR ESTIMATE			
74 \pm 10	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
119 \pm 20	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
72 \pm 10	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
107 \pm 10	HEMINGWAY	75	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
191 or 193	¹ MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
100	² NAKKASYAN	75	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\omega$

 $\Lambda(1890)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $N\bar{K}$	20–35 %
Γ_2 $\Sigma\pi$	3–10 %
Γ_3 $\Sigma(1385)\pi$	seen
Γ_4 $\Sigma(1385)\pi$, P -wave	
Γ_5 $\Sigma(1385)\pi$, F -wave	
Γ_6 $N\bar{K}^*(892)$	seen
Γ_7 $N\bar{K}^*(892)$, $S=1/2$, P -wave	
Γ_8 $\Lambda\omega$	

The above branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

$\Lambda(1890)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

See "Sign conventions for resonance couplings" in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

0.20 to 0.35 OUR ESTIMATE

0.20±0.02	GOPAL	80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
0.34±0.05	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
0.24±0.04	HEMINGWAY	75	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
0.18±0.02	GOPAL	77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80
0.36 or 0.34	¹ MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1890) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

−0.09±0.03	GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
+0.15 or +0.14	¹ MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1890) \rightarrow \Lambda\omega$	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_8)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

seen	BACCARI	77	IPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\omega$
0.032	² NAKKASYAN	75	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda\omega$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1890) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$, <i>P</i> -wave	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

<0.03	CAMERON	78	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$
-------	---------	----	------	------------------------------------

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1890) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$, <i>F</i> -wave	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

−0.126±0.055	³ CAMERON	78	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$
--------------	----------------------	----	------	------------------------------------

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1890) \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*(892)$	$(\Gamma_1\Gamma_6)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>

−0.07±0.03	^{3,4} CAMERON	78B	DPWA	$K^-p \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*$
------------	------------------------	-----	------	-------------------------------

 $\Lambda(1890)$ FOOTNOTES

¹ The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.

² Found in one of two best solutions.

³ The published sign has been changed to be in accord with the baryon-first convention.

⁴ Upper limits on the P_3 and F_3 waves are each 0.03.

$\Lambda(1890)$ REFERENCES

PDG	82	PL 111B	Roos, Porter, Aguilar-Benitez+	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159		(RHEL) IJP
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	Alston-Garnjost, Kenney+	(LBL, MTHO, CERN) IJP
Also	77	PRL 38 1007	Alston-Garnjost, Kenney+	(LBL, MTHO, CERN) IJP
CAMERON	78	NP B143 189	+Franeek, Gopal, Bacon, Butterworth+	(RHEL, LOIC) IJP
CAMERON	78B	NP B146 327	+Franeek, Gopal, Kalmus, McPherson+	(RHEL, LOIC) IJP
BACCARI	77	NC 41A 96	+Poulard, Revel, Tallini+	(SACL, CDEF) IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	+Ross, VanHorn, McPherson+	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MARTIN	77	NP B127 349	+Pidcock, Moorhouse	(LOUC, GLAS) IJP
Also	77B	NP B126 266	Martin, Pidcock	(LOUC)
Also	77C	NP B126 285	Martin, Pidcock	(LOUC) IJP
HEMINGWAY	75	NP B91 12	+Eades, Harmsen+	(CERN, HEIDH, MPIM) IJP
NAKKASYAN	75	NP B93 85		(CERN) IJP
