

$f_2(2010)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(2^{++})$$

See also the mini-review under non- $q\bar{q}$ candidates. (See the index for the page number.)

$f_2(2010)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
2011^{+62}_{-76}	¹ ETKIN	88 MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \phi\phi n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1980 ± 20	² BOLONKIN	88 SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
2050^{+90}_{-50}	ETKIN	85 MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\phi n$
2120^{+20}_{-120}	LINDENBAUM	84 RVUE	
2160 ± 50	ETKIN	82 MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\phi n$

¹Includes data of ETKIN 85. The percentage of the resonance going into $\phi\phi 2^{++} S_2$, D_2 , and D_0 is 98^{+1}_{-3} , 0^{+1}_{-0} , and 2^{+2}_{-1} , respectively.

²Statistically very weak, only 1.4 s.d.

$f_2(2010)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
202^{+67}_{-62}	³ ETKIN	88 MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \phi\phi n$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
145 ± 50	⁴ BOLONKIN	88 SPEC	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 n$
200^{+160}_{-50}	ETKIN	85 MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\phi n$
300^{+150}_{-50}	LINDENBAUM	84 RVUE	
310 ± 70	ETKIN	82 MPS	22 $\pi^- p \rightarrow 2\phi n$

³Includes data of ETKIN 85.

⁴Statistically very weak, only 1.4 s.d.

$f_2(2010)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad \phi\phi$	seen

$f_2(2010)$ REFERENCES

BOLONKIN	88	NP B309 426	+Bloshenko, Gorin+	(ITEP, SERP)
ETKIN	88	PL B201 568	+Foley, Lindenbaum+	(BNL, CUNY)
ETKIN	85	PL 165B 217	+Foley, Longacre, Lindenbaum+	(BNL, CUNY)
LINDENBAUM	84	CNPP 13 285		(CUNY)
ETKIN	82	PRL 49 1620	+Foley, Longacre, Lindenbaum+	(BNL, CUNY)
Also	83	Brighton Conf. 351	Lindenbaum	(BNL, CUNY)

————— **OTHER RELATED PAPERS** —————

LANDBERG	96	PR D53 2839	+Adams, Chan+	(BNL, CUNY, RPI)
ARMSTRONG	89B	PL B221 221	+Benayoun+(CERN, CDEF, BIRM, BARI, ATHU, CURIN+)
GREEN	86	PRL 56 1639	+Lai+	(FNAL, ARIZ, FSU, NDAM, TUFTS, VAND+)
BOOTH	84	NP B242 51	+Ballance, Carroll, Donald+	(LIVP, GLAS, CERN)
