

Quark and Lepton Compositeness, Searches for

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(eee)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} only. For other cases, see each reference.

Λ_{LL}^+ (TeV)	Λ_{LL}^- (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>3.8	> 5.6	95	ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	$E_{cm} = 189$ GeV
> 4.4	>5.4	95	ABREU	00S DLPH	$E_{cm} = 183-189$ GeV
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
>4.3	>4.9	95	ACCIARRI	00P L3	$E_{cm} = 130-189$ GeV
>3.5	>3.2	95	BARATE	00I ALEP	$E_{cm} = 130-183$ GeV
>3.1	>3.8	95	ABBIENDI	99 OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130-136, 161-172, 183$ GeV
>2.2	>2.8	95	ABREU	99A DLPH	$E_{cm} = 130-172$ GeV
>2.7	>2.4	95	ACCIARRI	98J L3	$E_{cm} = 130-172$ GeV
>3.0	>2.5	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130-172$ GeV
>2.4	>2.2	95	ACKERSTAFF	97C OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130-136, 161$ GeV
>1.7	>2.3	95	¹ ARIMA	97 VNS	$E_{cm} = 57.77$ GeV
>1.6	>2.0	95	² BUSKULIC	93Q ALEP	$E_{cm} = 88.25-94.25$ GeV
>1.6		95	^{2,3} BUSKULIC	93Q RVUE	
	>2.2	95	BUSKULIC	93Q RVUE	
	>3.6	95	⁴ KROHA	92 RVUE	
>1.3		95	⁴ KROHA	92 RVUE	
>0.7	>2.8	95	BEHREND	91C CELL	$E_{cm} = 35$ GeV
>1.3	>1.3	95	KIM	89 AMY	$E_{cm} = 50-57$ GeV
>1.4	>3.3	95	⁵ BRAUNSCH...	88 TASS	$E_{cm} = 12-46.8$ GeV
>1.0	>0.7	95	⁶ FERNANDEZ	87B MAC	$E_{cm} = 29$ GeV
>1.1	>1.4	95	⁷ BARTEL	86C JADE	$E_{cm} = 12-46.8$ GeV
>1.17	>0.87	95	⁸ DERRICK	86 HRS	$E_{cm} = 29$ GeV
>1.1	>0.76	95	⁹ BERGER	85B PLUT	$E_{cm} = 34.7$ GeV

¹ Z-Z' mixing is assumed to be zero.

² BUSKULIC 93Q uses the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

³ This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data re-analyzed by KROHA 92.

⁴ KROHA 92 limit is from fit to BERGER 85B, BARTEL 86C, DERRICK 86B, FERNANDEZ 87B, BRAUNSCHWEIG 88, BEHREND 91B, and BEHREND 91C. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda_{LL}^2 = +0.230 \pm 0.206$ TeV⁻².

⁵ BRAUNSCHWEIG 88 assumed $m_Z = 92$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$.

⁶ FERNANDEZ 87B assumed $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.22$.

⁷ BARTEL 86C assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

⁸ DERRICK 86 assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $g_V^2 = (-1/2 + 2\sin^2\theta_W)^2 = 0.004$.

⁹ BERGER 85B assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(ee\mu\mu)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} only. For other cases, see each reference.

Λ_{LL}^+ (TeV)	Λ_{LL}^- (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>6.6	> 6.3	95	ABREU	00S DLPH	$E_{cm} = 183\text{--}189$ GeV
> 8.5	>3.8	95	ACCIARRI	00P L3	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}189$ GeV
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
>7.3	>4.6	95	ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	$E_{cm} = 189$ GeV
>4.0	>4.7	95	BARATE	00I ALEP	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}183$ GeV
>4.5	>4.3	95	ABBIENDI	99 OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}136, 161\text{--}172, 183$ GeV
>3.4	>2.7	95	ABREU	99A DLPH	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>3.6	>2.4	95	ACCIARRI	98J L3	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>2.9	>3.4	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>3.1	>2.0	95	MIURA	98 VNS	$E_{cm} = 57.77$ GeV
>2.4	>2.9	95	ACKERSTAFF	97C OPAL	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}136, 161$ GeV
>1.7	>2.2	95	¹⁰ VELISSARIS	94 AMY	$E_{cm} = 57.8$ GeV
>1.3	>1.5	95	¹⁰ BUSKULIC	93Q ALEP	$E_{cm} = 88.25\text{--}94.25$ GeV
>2.6	>1.9	95	^{10,11} BUSKULIC	93Q RVUE	
>2.3	>2.0	95	HOWELL	92 TOPZ	$E_{cm} = 52\text{--}61.4$ GeV
	>1.7	95	¹² KROHA	92 RVUE	
>2.5	>1.5	95	BEHREND	91C CELL	$E_{cm} = 35\text{--}43$ GeV
>1.6	>2.0	95	¹³ ABE	90I VNS	$E_{cm} = 50\text{--}60.8$ GeV
>1.9	>1.0	95	KIM	89 AMY	$E_{cm} = 50\text{--}57$ GeV
>2.3	>1.3	95	BRAUNSCH...	88D TASS	$E_{cm} = 30\text{--}46.8$ GeV
>4.4	>2.1	95	¹⁴ BARTEL	86C JADE	$E_{cm} = 12\text{--}46.8$ GeV
>2.9	>0.86	95	¹⁵ BERGER	85 PLUT	$E_{cm} = 34.7$ GeV

¹⁰BUSKULIC 93Q and VELISSARIS 94 use the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

¹¹This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data re-analyzed by KROHA 92.

¹²KROHA 92 limit is from fit to BARTEL 86C, BEHREND 87C, BRAUNSCHWEIG 88D, BRAUNSCHWEIG 89C, ABE 90I, and BEHREND 91C. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda_{LL}^2 = -0.155 \pm 0.095 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$.

¹³ABE 90I assumed $m_Z = 91.163$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.231$.

¹⁴BARTEL 86C assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

¹⁵BERGER 85 assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(ee\tau\tau)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} only. For other cases, see each reference.

Λ_{LL}^+ (TeV)	Λ_{LL}^- (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>3.9	> 6.5	95	ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	$E_{cm} = 189$ GeV
> 5.4	>4.7	95	ACCIARRI	00P L3	$E_{cm} = 130\text{--}189$ GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>5.2	>5.4	95	ABREU	00S	DLPH	$E_{\text{cm}} = 183\text{--}189$ GeV
>3.9	>3.7	95	BARATE	00I	ALEP	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}183$ GeV
>3.8	>4.0	95	ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}136, 161\text{--}172, 183$ GeV
>2.8	>2.6	95	ABREU	99A	DLPH	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>2.4	>2.8	95	ACCIARRI	98J	L3	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>2.3	>3.7	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>1.9	>3.0	95	ACKERSTAFF	97C	OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}136, 161$ GeV
>1.4	>2.0	95	¹⁶ VELISSARIS	94	AMY	$E_{\text{cm}} = 57.8$ GeV
>1.0	>1.5	95	¹⁶ BUSKULIC	93Q	ALEP	$E_{\text{cm}} = 88.25\text{--}94.25$ GeV
>1.8	>2.3	95	^{16,17} BUSKULIC	93Q	RVUE	
>1.9	>1.7	95	HOWELL	92	TOPZ	$E_{\text{cm}} = 52\text{--}61.4$ GeV
>1.9	>2.9	95	¹⁸ KROHA	92	RVUE	
>1.6	>2.3	95	BEHREND	91C	CELL	$E_{\text{cm}} = 35\text{--}43$ GeV
>1.8	>1.3	95	¹⁹ ABE	90I	VNS	$E_{\text{cm}} = 50\text{--}60.8$ GeV
>2.2	>3.2	95	²⁰ BARTEL	86	JADE	$E_{\text{cm}} = 12\text{--}46.8$ GeV

¹⁶BUSKULIC 93Q and VELISSARIS 94 use the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.

¹⁷This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data re-analyzed by KROHA 92.

¹⁸KROHA 92 limit is from fit to BARTEL 86C BEHREND 89B, BRAUNSCHWEIG 89C, ABE 90I, and BEHREND 91C. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda_{LL}^2 = +0.095 \pm 0.120 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$.

¹⁹ABE 90I assumed $m_Z = 91.163$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.231$.

²⁰BARTEL 86 assumed $m_Z = 93$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.217$.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\ell\ell\ell\ell)$

Lepton universality assumed. Limits are for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda_{LL}^+(\text{TeV})$	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(\text{TeV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>7.3	>7.8	95	ABREU	00S DLPH	$E_{\text{cm}} = 183\text{--}189$ GeV
>9.0	>5.2	95	ACCIARRI	00P L3	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}189$ GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>6.4	>7.2	95	ABBIENDI	00R	OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}} = 189$ GeV
>5.3	>5.5	95	BARATE	00I	ALEP	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}183$ GeV
>5.2	>5.3	95	ABBIENDI	99	OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}136, 161\text{--}172, 183$ GeV
>4.4	>4.2	95	ABREU	99A	DLPH	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>4.0	>3.1	95	²¹ ACCIARRI	98J	L3	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>3.4	>4.4	95	ACKERSTAFF	98V	OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}172$ GeV
>2.7	>3.8	95	ACKERSTAFF	97C	OPAL	$E_{\text{cm}} = 130\text{--}136, 161$ GeV
>3.0	>2.3	95	^{21,22} BUSKULIC	93Q	ALEP	$E_{\text{cm}} = 88.25\text{--}94.25$ GeV
>3.5	>2.8	95	^{22,23} BUSKULIC	93Q	RVUE	
>2.5	>2.2	95	²⁴ HOWELL	92	TOPZ	$E_{\text{cm}} = 52\text{--}61.4$ GeV
>3.4	>2.7	95	²⁵ KROHA	92	RVUE	

²¹From $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-, \text{ and } \tau^+\tau^-$.

- ²² BUSKULIC 93Q uses the following prescription to obtain the limit: when the naive 95%CL limit is better than the statistically expected sensitivity for the limit, the latter is adopted for the limit.
- ²³ This BUSKULIC 93Q value is from ALEPH data plus PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data re-analyzed by KROHA 92.
- ²⁴ HOWELL 92 limit is from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and $\tau^+\tau^-$.
- ²⁵ KROHA 92 limit is from fit to most PEP/PETRA/TRISTAN data. The fit gives $\eta/\Lambda_{LL}^2 = -0.0200 \pm 0.0666 \text{ TeV}^{-2}$.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(eeqq)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^\pm only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda_{LL}^+(\text{TeV})$	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(\text{TeV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 5.5	>3.1	95	26 ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	(<i>eeqq</i>)
>5.4	> 6.2	95	27 BARATE	00I ALEP	(<i>eeqq</i>)
>5.6	>4.9	95	28 BARATE	00I ALEP	(<i>eebb</i>)
> 19.4	> 10.8	95	29 BARGER	00 RVUE	(<i>eeuu</i>)
> 10.5	> 18.6	95	29 BARGER	00 RVUE	(<i>eedd</i>)
>1.0	>2.1	95	30 ABREU	99A DLPH	(<i>eecc</i>)
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
>4.9	>6.1	95	26 ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	(<i>eeuu</i>)
>5.7	>4.5	95	26 ABBIENDI	00R OPAL	(<i>eedd</i>)
>4.2	>2.8	95	31 ACCIARRI	00P L3	(<i>eeqq</i>)
>2.4	>1.3	95	32 ADLOFF	00 H1	(<i>eeqq</i>)
			33 BREITWEG	00B ZEUS	
>4.4	>2.8	95	34 ABBIENDI	99 OPAL	(<i>eeqq</i>)
>4.0	>4.8	95	35 ABBIENDI	99 OPAL	(<i>eebb</i>)
>3.3	>4.2	95	36 ABBOTT	99D D0	(<i>eeqq</i>)
>2.4	>2.8	95	30 ABREU	99A DLPH	(<i>eeqq</i>) (<i>d</i> or <i>s</i> quark)
>4.4	>3.9	95	30 ABREU	99A DLPH	(<i>eebb</i>)
>1.0	>2.4	95	30 ABREU	99A DLPH	(<i>eeuu</i>)
>4.0	>3.4	95	37 ZARNECKI	99 RVUE	(<i>eedd</i>)
>4.3	>5.6	95	37 ZARNECKI	99 RVUE	(<i>eeuu</i>)
>3.0	>2.1	95	38 ACCIARRI	98J L3	(<i>eeqq</i>)
>3.4	>2.2	95	39 ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	(<i>eeqq</i>)
>4.0	>2.8	95	40 ACKERSTAFF	98V OPAL	(<i>eebb</i>)
>9.3	>12.0	95	41 BARGER	98E RVUE	(<i>eeuu</i>)
>8.8	>11.9	95	41 BARGER	98E RVUE	(<i>eedd</i>)
>2.5	>3.7	95	42 ABE	97T CDF	(<i>eeqq</i>) (isosinglet)
>2.5	>2.1	95	43 ACKERSTAFF	97C OPAL	(<i>eeqq</i>)
>3.1	>2.9	95	44 ACKERSTAFF	97C OPAL	(<i>eebb</i>)
>7.4	>11.7	95	45 DEANDREA	97 RVUE	<i>eeuu</i> , atomic parity violation
>2.3	>1.0	95	46 AID	95 H1	(<i>eeqq</i>) (<i>u, d</i> quarks)

1.7	>2.2	95	47 ABE	91D CDF	(<i>eeqq</i>) (<i>u, d</i> quarks)
>1.2		95	48 ADACHI	91 TOPZ	(<i>eeqq</i>) (flavor-universal)
	>1.6	95	48 ADACHI	91 TOPZ	(<i>eeqq</i>) (flavor-universal)
>0.6	>1.7	95	49 BEHREND	91C CELL	(<i>eecc</i>)
>1.1	>1.0	95	49 BEHREND	91C CELL	(<i>eebb</i>)
>0.9		95	50 ABE	89L VNS	(<i>eeqq</i>) (flavor-universal)
	>1.7	95	50 ABE	89L VNS	(<i>eeqq</i>) (flavor-universal)
>1.05	>1.61	95	51 HAGIWARA	89 RVUE	(<i>eecc</i>)
>1.21	>0.53	95	52 HAGIWARA	89 RVUE	(<i>eebb</i>)

26 ABBIENDI 00R limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}189$ GeV.

27 BARATE 00I limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section and jet-charge asymmetry at 130–183 GeV.

28 BARATE 00I limits are from R_b and jet-charge asymmetry at 130–183 GeV.

29 BARGER 00 is an update of BARGER 98E. The deviation of the atomic-parity violation in cesium atoms from the SM prediction is explained by four-fermion contact interactions.

30 ABREU 99A limits are from flavor-tagged $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section at 130–172 GeV.

31 ACCIARRI 00P limit is from $e^+e^- \rightarrow qq$ cross section at $\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}189$ GeV.

32 ADLOFF 00 limits are from the Q^2 spectrum measurement of $e^+p \rightarrow e^+X$.

33 BREITWEG 00B limits are from Q^2 spectrum measurement of e^+p collisions. See their Table 3 for the limits of various models.

34 ABBIENDI 99 limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section at 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV.

35 ABBIENDI 99 limits are from R_b at 130–136, 161–172, 183 GeV.

36 ABBOTT 99D limits are from e^+e^- mass distribution in $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-X$ at $E_{\text{cm}}=1.8$ TeV.

37 ZARNECKI 99 use data from HERA, LEP, Tevatron, and various low-energy experiments.

38 ACCIARRI 98J limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section at $E_{\text{cm}}=130\text{--}172$ GeV.

39 ACKERSTAFF 98V limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ at $E_{\text{cm}}=130\text{--}172$ GeV.

40 ACKERSTAFF 98V limits are from R_b measurements at $E_{\text{cm}}=130\text{--}172$ GeV.

41 BARGER 98E use data from HERA, LEP, Tevatron, and various low-energy experiments.

42 ABE 97T limits are from e^+e^- mass distribution in $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-X$ at $E_{\text{cm}}=1.8$ TeV.

43 ACKERSTAFF 97C limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ cross section at $E_{\text{cm}}=130\text{--}136$ GeV and 161 GeV.

44 ACKERSTAFF 97C limits are R_b measurements at $E_{\text{cm}}=133$ GeV and 161 GeV.

45 DEANDREA 97 limit is from atomic parity violation of cesium. The limit is eluded if the contact interactions are parity conserving.

46 AID 95 limits are from the Q^2 spectrum measurement of $ep \rightarrow eX$.

47 ABE 91D limits are from e^+e^- mass distribution in $p\bar{p} \rightarrow e^+e^-X$ at $E_{\text{cm}}=1.8$ TeV.

48 ADACHI 91 limits are from differential jet cross section. Universality of $\Lambda(eeqq)$ for five flavors is assumed.

49 BEHREND 91C is from data at $E_{\text{cm}}=35\text{--}43$ GeV.

50 ABE 89L limits are from jet charge asymmetry. Universality of $\Lambda(eeqq)$ for five flavors is assumed.

51 The HAGIWARA 89 limit is derived from forward-backward asymmetry measurements of D/D^* mesons by ALTHOFF 83C, BARTEL 84E, and BARINGER 88.

52 The HAGIWARA 89 limit is derived from forward-backward asymmetry measurement of b hadrons by BARTEL 84D.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\mu\mu qq)$

Λ_{LL}^+ (TeV)	Λ_{LL}^- (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 2.9	> 4.2	95	⁵³ ABE	97T CDF	$(\mu\mu qq)$ (isosinglet)
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
>1.4	>1.6	95	ABE	92B CDF	$(\mu\mu qq)$ (isosinglet)
⁵³ ABE 97T limits are from $\mu^+ \mu^-$ mass distribution in $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$ at $E_{cm}=1.8$ TeV.					

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\ell\nu\ell\nu)$

VALUE (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>3.10	90	⁵⁴ JODIDIO	86 SPEC	$\Lambda_{LR}^\pm(\nu_\mu\nu_e\mu e)$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
>3.8		⁵⁵ DIAZCRUZ	94 RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^+(\tau\nu_\tau e\nu_e)$
>8.1		⁵⁵ DIAZCRUZ	94 RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(\tau\nu_\tau e\nu_e)$
>4.1		⁵⁶ DIAZCRUZ	94 RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^+(\tau\nu_\tau\mu\nu_\mu)$
>6.5		⁵⁶ DIAZCRUZ	94 RVUE	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(\tau\nu_\tau\mu\nu_\mu)$
⁵⁴ JODIDIO 86 limit is from $\mu^+ \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu e^+ \nu_e$. Chirality invariant interactions $L = (g^2/\Lambda^2)$ [$\eta_{LL}(\bar{\nu}_\mu L \gamma^\alpha \mu_L)(\bar{e} L \gamma^\alpha \nu_e L) + \eta_{LR}(\bar{\nu}_\mu L \gamma^\alpha \nu_e L)(\bar{e} R \gamma^\alpha \mu_R)$] with $g^2/4\pi = 1$ and $(\eta_{LL}, \eta_{LR}) = (0, \pm 1)$ are taken. No limits are given for Λ_{LL}^\pm with $(\eta_{LL}, \eta_{LR}) = (\pm 1, 0)$. For more general constraints with right-handed neutrinos and chirality nonconserving contact interactions, see their text.				
⁵⁵ DIAZCRUZ 94 limits are from $\Gamma(\tau \rightarrow e\nu\nu)$ and assume flavor-dependent contact interactions with $\Lambda(\tau\nu_\tau e\nu_e) \ll \Lambda(\mu\nu_\mu e\nu_e)$.				
⁵⁶ DIAZCRUZ 94 limits are from $\Gamma(\tau \rightarrow \mu\nu\nu)$ and assume flavor-dependent contact interactions with $\Lambda(\tau\nu_\tau \mu\nu_\mu) \ll \Lambda(\mu\nu_\mu e\nu_e)$.				

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(qqqq)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^\pm with color-singlet isoscalar exchanges among u_L 's and d_L 's only, unless otherwise noted. See EICHTEN 84 for details.

VALUE (TeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>2.7	95	⁵⁷ ABBOTT	99C D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet mass. Λ_{LL}^+
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
>2.0	95	⁵⁸ ABBOTT	00E D0	H_T distribution; Λ_{LL}^+
>2.1	95	⁵⁹ ABBOTT	98G D0	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet angl. Λ_{LL}^+
		⁶⁰ BERTRAM	98 RVUE	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet mass
		⁶¹ ABE	96 CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>1.6	95	⁶² ABE	96S CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet angl.; Λ_{LL}^+
>1.3	95	⁶³ ABE	93G CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet mass
>1.4	95	⁶⁴ ABE	92D CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>1.0	99	⁶⁵ ABE	92M CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet angl.

>0.825	95	66 ALITTI	91B UA2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>0.700	95	64 ABE	89 CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>0.330	95	67 ABE	89H CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet angl.
>0.400	95	68 ARNISON	86C UA1	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>0.415	95	69 ARNISON	86D UA1	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ dijet angl.
>0.370	95	70 APPEL	85 UA2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ jets inclusive
>0.275	95	71 BAGNAIA	84C UA2	Repl. by APPEL 85

⁵⁷ The quoted limit is from inclusive dijet mass spectrum in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{\text{cm}}=1.8$ TeV.

ABBOTT 99C also obtain $\Lambda_{LL}^- > 2.4$ TeV. All quarks are assumed composite.

⁵⁸ The quoted limit for ABBOTT 00E is from H_T distribution in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{\text{cm}}=1.8$ TeV. CTEQ4M PDF and $\mu=E_T^{\text{max}}$ are assumed. For limits with different assumptions, see their Tables 2 and 3. All quarks are assumed composite.

⁵⁹ ABBOTT 98G limit is from dijet angular distribution in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{\text{cm}}=1.8$ TeV. All quarks are assumed composite.

⁶⁰ BERTRAM 98 obtain limit on the scale of color-octet axial-vector flavor-universal contact interactions: $\Lambda_{A8} > 2.1$ TeV. They also obtain a limit $\Lambda_{V8} > 2.4$ TeV on a color-octet flavor-universal vectorial contact interaction.

⁶¹ ABE 96 finds that the inclusive jet cross section for $E_T > 200$ GeV is significantly higher than the $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ perturbative QCD prediction. This could be interpreted as the effect of a contact interaction with $\Lambda_{LL} \sim 1.6$ TeV. However, ABE 96 state that uncertainty in the parton distribution functions, higher-order QCD corrections, and the detector calibration may possibly account for the effect.

⁶² ABE 96S limit is from dijet angular distribution in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{\text{cm}} = 1.8$ TeV. The limit for Λ_{LL}^- is > 1.4 TeV. ABE 96S also obtain limits for flavor symmetric contact interactions among all quark flavors: $\Lambda_{LL}^+ > 1.8$ TeV and $\Lambda_{LL}^- > 1.6$ TeV.

⁶³ ABE 93G limit is from dijet mass distribution in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{\text{cm}} = 1.8$ TeV. The limit is the weakest from several choices of structure functions and renormalization scale.

⁶⁴ Limit is from inclusive jet cross-section data in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{\text{cm}} = 1.8$ TeV. The limit takes into account uncertainties in choice of structure functions and in choice of process scale.

⁶⁵ ABE 92M limit is from dijet angular distribution for $m_{\text{dijet}} > 550$ GeV in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{\text{cm}}=1.8$ TeV.

⁶⁶ ALITTI 91B limit is from inclusive jet cross section in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{\text{cm}} = 630$ GeV. The limit takes into account uncertainties in choice of structure functions and in choice of process scale.

⁶⁷ ABE 89H limit is from dijet angular distribution for $m_{\text{dijet}} > 200$ GeV at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider with $E_{\text{cm}} = 1.8$ TeV. The QCD prediction is quite insensitive to choice of structure functions and choice of process scale.

⁶⁸ ARNISON 86C limit is from the study of inclusive high- p_T jet distributions at the CERN $\bar{p}p$ collider ($E_{\text{cm}} = 546$ and 630 GeV). The QCD prediction renormalized to the low- p_T region gives a good fit to the data.

⁶⁹ ARNISON 86D limit is from the study of dijet angular distribution in the range $240 < m(\text{dijet}) < 300$ GeV at the CERN $\bar{p}p$ collider ($E_{\text{cm}} = 630$ GeV). QCD prediction using EHLQ structure function (EICHTEN 84) with $\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} = 0.2$ GeV for the choice of $Q^2 = p_T^2$ gives the best fit to the data.

⁷⁰ APPEL 85 limit is from the study of inclusive high- p_T jet distributions at the CERN $\bar{p}p$ collider ($E_{\text{cm}} = 630$ GeV). The QCD prediction renormalized to the low- p_T region gives a good description of the data.

⁷¹ BAGNAIA 84C limit is from the study of jet p_T and dijet mass distributions at the CERN $\bar{p}p$ collider ($E_{\text{cm}} = 540$ GeV). The limit suffers from the uncertainties in comparing the data with the QCD prediction.

SCALE LIMITS for Contact Interactions: $\Lambda(\nu\nu qq)$

Limits are for Λ_{LL}^{\pm} only. For other cases, see each reference.

$\Lambda_{LL}^+(\text{TeV})$	$\Lambda_{LL}^-(\text{TeV})$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>5.0	>5.4	95	⁷² MCFARLAND	98 CCFR	νN scattering

⁷² MCFARLAND 98 assumed a flavor universal interaction. Neutrinos were mostly of muon type.

MASS LIMITS for Excited e (e^*)

Most e^+e^- experiments assume one-photon or Z exchange. The limits from some e^+e^- experiments which depend on λ have assumed transition couplings which are chirality violating ($\eta_L = \eta_R$). However they can be interpreted as limits for chirality-conserving interactions after multiplying the coupling value λ by $\sqrt{2}$; see Note.

Excited leptons have the same quantum numbers as other ortholeptons. See also the searches for ortholeptons in the "Searches for Heavy Leptons" section.

Limits for Excited e (e^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^{*+}e^{*-}$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of e^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the e^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. Possible t channel contribution from transition magnetic coupling is neglected. All limits assume $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay except the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>94.2	95	⁷³ ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Homodoublet type
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
>91.3	95	⁷⁴ ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Homodoublet type
>90.7	95	⁷⁵ ABREU	99O DLPH	Homodoublet type
>85.0	95	⁷⁶ ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Homodoublet type
		⁷⁷ BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow e^*e^*$
>79.6	95	^{78,79} ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Homodoublet type
>77.9	95	^{78,80} ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Sequential type
>79.7	95	⁷⁸ ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Sequential type
>79.9	95	^{78,81} ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Homodoublet type
>62.5	95	⁸² ABREU	96K DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Homodoublet type
>64.7	95	⁸³ ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Sequential type
>66.5	95	⁸³ ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Homodoublet type
>65.2	95	⁸³ BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^*e^*$ Sequential type

>45.6	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>45.6	95	ABREU	92C DLPH	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>29.8	95	84 BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	$\Gamma(Z)$
>26.1	95	85 DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*; \Gamma(Z)$
>46.1	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>33	95	85 ABREU	91F DLPH	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*; \Gamma(Z)$
>45.0	95	86 ADEVA	90F L3	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>44.9	95	AKRAWY	90I OPAL	$Z \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>44.6	95	87 DECAMP	90G ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>30.2	95	ADACHI	89B TOPZ	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>28.3	95	KIM	89 AMY	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$
>27.9	95	88 ABE	88B VNS	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e^*$

73 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ACCIARRI 00E also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($e^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{e^*} > 92.6$ GeV.

74 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161-183$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ABBIENDI 00I also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($e^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{e^*} > 86.0$ GeV.

75 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ABREU 990 also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($e^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{e^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

76 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170-172$ GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$ decay mode: $m_{e^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

77 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

78 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.

79 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{e^*} > 70.9$ GeV.

80 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{e^*} > 44.6$ GeV.

81 ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $e^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\nu_e^*} > 77.1$ GeV.

82 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-136$ GeV.

83 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV.

84 BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is independent of decay modes. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 36$ MeV.

85 Limit is independent of e^* decay mode.

86 ADEVA 90F is superseded by ADRIANI 93M.

87 Superseded by DECAMP 92.

88 ABE 88B limits assume $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^{*+} e^{*-}$ with one photon exchange only and $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$ giving $e e \gamma \gamma$.

Limits for Excited e (e^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^* e$, $W \rightarrow e^* \nu$, or $ep \rightarrow e^* X$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between e and e^* . All limits assume $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$ decay except as noted. Limits from LEP, UA2, and H1 are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling, $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the $\lambda-m_{e^*}$ plane. See the original papers.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

<u>VALUE (GeV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
>223	95	89 ADLOFF	00E H1	$ep \rightarrow e^* X$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		90	ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		91	ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		92	ABREU	99O DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
none 20–170	95	93	ACCIARRI	98T L3	$e\gamma \rightarrow e^* \rightarrow e\gamma$
		94	ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		95	BARATE	98U ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		96,97	ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		96,98	ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		99	ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		100	ADLOFF	97 H1	Lepton-flavor violation
none 30–200	95	101	BREITWEG	97C ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e^*X$
		102	ABREU	96K DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		103	ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		104	ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		105	BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$
		106	DERRICK	95B ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e^*X$
		107	ABT	93 H1	$ep \rightarrow e^*X$
> 86	95		ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_\gamma > 0.04$
> 89	95		ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
		108	DERRICK	93B ZEUS	Superseded by DERRICK 95B
> 88	95		ABREU	92C DLPH	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 86	95		ABREU	92C DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_\gamma > 0.1$
> 91	95		DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 88	95	109	ADEVA	90F L3	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 86	95	109	ADEVA	90F L3	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 0.04$
> 87	95		AKRAWY	90I OPAL	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 0.5$
> 81	95	110	DECAMP	90G ALEP	$Z \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 50	95		ADACHI	89B TOPZ	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_\gamma > 0.04$
> 56	95		KIM	89 AMY	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_\gamma > 0.03$
none 23–54	95	111	ABE	88B VNS	$e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*, \lambda_\gamma > 0.04$
> 75	95	112	ANSARI	87D UA2	$W \rightarrow e^*\nu; \lambda_W > 0.7$
> 63	95	112	ANSARI	87D UA2	$W \rightarrow e^*\nu; \lambda_W > 0.2$
> 40	95	112	ANSARI	87D UA2	$W \rightarrow e^*\nu; \lambda_W > 0.09$

⁸⁹ ADLOFF 00E search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma, eZ, \nu W$. $f=f'=A/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 9 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

⁹⁰ ABBIENDI 00I result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161\text{--}183$ GeV. See their Fig. 7 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

⁹¹ ACCIARRI 00E result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

⁹² ABREU 99O result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

⁹³ ACCIARRI 98T search for single e^* production in quasi-real Compton scattering. The limit is for $|\lambda| > 1.0 \times 10^{-1}$ and non-chiral coupling of e^* . See their Fig. 7 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.

⁹⁴ ACKERSTAFF 98C from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170\text{--}172$ GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

- 95 BARATE 98U is from e^+e^- collision at $\sqrt{s}=M_Z$. See their Fig. 12 for limits in mass-coupling plane
- 96 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.
- 97 See Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 98 See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 99 ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 100 ADLOFF 97 search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma, eZ, \nu W$. See their Fig. 4 for the rejection limits on the product of the production cross section and the branching ratio into a specific decay channel.
- 101 BREITWEG 97C search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma, eZ, \nu W$. $f=f'=2\Lambda/m_{e^*}$ is assumed for the e^* coupling. See their Fig. 9 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.
- 102 ABREU 96K result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-136$ GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 103 ACCIARRI 96D result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 104 ALEXANDER 96Q result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV. See their Fig. 3a for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 105 BUSKULIC 96W result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 106 DERRICK 95B search for single e^* production via $e^*e\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma, eZ, \nu W$. See their Fig. 13 for the exclusion plot in the $m_{e^*}-\lambda\gamma$ plane.
- 107 ABT 93 search for single e^* production via $e^*e\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma, eZ, \nu W$. See their Fig. 4 for exclusion plot in the $m_{e^*}-\lambda\gamma$ plane.
- 108 DERRICK 93B search for single e^* production via $e^*e\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma, eZ, \nu W$. See their Fig. 3 for exclusion plot in the $m_{e^*}-\lambda\gamma$ plane.
- 109 Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
- 110 Superseded by DECAMP 92.
- 111 ABE 88B limits use $e^+e^- \rightarrow ee^*$ where t-channel photon exchange dominates giving $e\gamma(e)$ (quasi-real compton scattering).
- 112 ANSARI 87D is at $E_{cm} = 546-630$ GeV.

Limits for Excited e (e^*) from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

These limits are derived from indirect effects due to e^* exchange in the t channel and depend on transition magnetic coupling between e and e^* . All limits are for $\lambda_\gamma = 1$. All limits except ABE 89J are for nonchiral coupling with $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>311	95	ABREU	00A DLPH	$\sqrt{s}=189-202$ GeV

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>283	95	¹¹³ ACCIARRI	00G L3	$\sqrt{s}=183\text{--}189$ GeV
>306	95	ABBIENDI	99P OPAL	$\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV
>231	95	ABREU	98J DLPH	$\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}183$ GeV
>194	95	ACKERSTAFF	98 OPAL	$\sqrt{s}=130\text{--}172$ GeV
>227	95	ACKER...,K...	98B OPAL	$\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV
>250	95	BARATE	98J ALEP	$\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV
>160	95	¹¹⁴ BARATE	98U ALEP	
>210	95	¹¹⁵ ACCIARRI	97W L3	$\sqrt{s}=161, 172$ GeV
>129	95	ACCIARRI	96L L3	$\sqrt{s}=133$ GeV
>147	95	ALEXANDER	96K OPAL	
>136	95	BUSKULIC	96Z ALEP	$\sqrt{s}=130, 136$ GeV
>146	95	ACCIARRI	95G L3	
		¹¹⁶ BUSKULIC	93Q ALEP	
>127	95	¹¹⁷ ADRIANI	92B L3	
>114	95	¹¹⁸ BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	
> 99	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	
		¹¹⁹ SHIMOZAWA	92 TOPZ	
>100	95	ABREU	91E DLPH	
>116	95	AKRAWY	91F OPAL	
> 83	95	ADEVA	90K L3	
> 82	95	AKRAWY	90F OPAL	
> 68	95	¹²⁰ ABE	89J VNS	$\eta_L=1, \eta_R=0$
> 90.2	95	ADACHI	89B TOPZ	
> 65	95	KIM	89 AMY	

¹¹³ ACCIARRI 00G also obtain a limit on e^* with chiral coupling, $m_{e^*} > 213$ GeV.

¹¹⁴ BARATE 98U is from e^+e^- collision at $\sqrt{s}=M_Z$. See their Fig. 5 for limits in mass-coupling plane

¹¹⁵ ACCIARRI 97W also obtain a limit on e^* with chiral coupling, $m_{e^*} > 157$ GeV (95%CL).

¹¹⁶ BUSKULIC 93Q obtain $\Lambda^+ > 121$ GeV (95%CL) from ALEPH experiment and $\Lambda^+ > 135$ GeV from combined TRISTAN and ALEPH data. These limits roughly correspond to limits on m_{e^*} .

¹¹⁷ ADRIANI 92B superseded by ACCIARRI 95G.

¹¹⁸ BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit from fit to the combined data of DECAMP 92, ABREU 91E, ADEVA 90K, AKRAWY 91F.

¹¹⁹ SHIMOZAWA 92 fit the data to the limiting form of the cross section with $m_{e^*} \gg E_{\text{cm}}$ and obtain $m_{e^*} > 168$ GeV at 95%CL. Use of the full form would reduce this limit by a few GeV. The statistically unexpected large value is due to fluctuation in the data.

¹²⁰ The ABE 89J limit assumes chiral coupling. This corresponds to $\lambda_\gamma = 0.7$ for nonchiral coupling.

Indirect Limits for Excited e (e^*)

These limits make use of loop effects involving e^* and are therefore subject to theoretical uncertainty.

VALUE (GeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

¹²¹ DORENBOS...	89	CHRM	$\bar{\nu}_\mu e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu e$ and $\nu_\mu e \rightarrow \nu_\mu e$
¹²² GRIFOLS	86	THEO	$\nu_\mu e \rightarrow \nu_\mu e$
¹²³ RENARD	82	THEO	$g-2$ of electron

- 121 DORENBOSCH 89 obtain the limit $\lambda_\gamma^2 \Lambda_{\text{cut}}^2 / m_{e^*}^2 < 2.6$ (95% CL), where Λ_{cut} is the cutoff scale, based on the one-loop calculation by GRIFOLS 86. If one assumes that $\Lambda_{\text{cut}} = 1$ TeV and $\lambda_\gamma = 1$, one obtains $m_{e^*} > 620$ GeV. However, one generally expects $\lambda_\gamma \approx m_{e^*} / \Lambda_{\text{cut}}$ in composite models.
- 122 GRIFOLS 86 uses $\nu_\mu e \rightarrow \nu_\mu e$ and $\bar{\nu}_\mu e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_\mu e$ data from CHARM Collaboration to derive mass limits which depend on the scale of compositeness.
- 123 RENARD 82 derived from $g-2$ data limits on mass and couplings of e^* and μ^* . See figures 2 and 3 of the paper.

MASS LIMITS for Excited μ (μ^*)

Limits for Excited μ (μ^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^{*+} \mu^{*-}$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of μ^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the μ^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. All limits assume $\mu^* \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ decay except for the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>94.2	95	124 ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
>91.3	95	125 ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
>90.7	95	126 ABREU	99O DLPH	Homodoublet type
>85.3	95	127 ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
		128 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
>79.6	95	129,130 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
>78.4	95	129,131 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Sequential type
>79.9	95	129 ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Sequential type
>80.0	95	129,132 ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
>62.6	95	133 ABREU	96K DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
>64.9	95	134 ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Sequential type
>66.8	95	134 ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Homodoublet type
>65.4	95	134 BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$ Sequential type
>45.6	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
>45.6	95	ABREU	92C DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
>29.8	95	135 BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	$\Gamma(Z)$
>26.1	95	136 DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$; $\Gamma(Z)$
>46.1	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
>33	95	136 ABREU	91F DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$; $\Gamma(Z)$
>45.3	95	137 ADEVA	90F L3	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
>44.9	95	AKRAWY	90I OPAL	$Z \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
>44.6	95	138 DECAMP	90G ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
>29.9	95	ADACHI	89B TOPZ	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$
>28.3	95	KIM	89 AMY	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^* \mu^*$

- 124 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ACCIARRI 00E also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\mu^*} > 92.6$ GeV.

- 125 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161-183$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ABBIENDI 00I also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\mu^*} > 86.0$ GeV.
- 126 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ABREU 99O also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\mu^*} > 81.3$ GeV.
- 127 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170-172$ GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from $\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$ decay mode: $m_{\mu^*} > 81.3$ GeV.
- 128 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.
- 129 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.
- 130 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\mu^*} > 70.9$ GeV.
- 131 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\mu^*} > 44.6$ GeV.
- 132 ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\mu^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\nu\mu^*} > 77.1$ GeV.
- 133 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-136$ GeV.
- 134 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV.
- 135 BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is independent of decay modes. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 36$ MeV.
- 136 Limit is independent of μ^* decay mode.
- 137 Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
- 138 Superseded by DECAMP 92.

Limits for Excited μ (μ^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^*\mu$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between μ and μ^* . All limits assume $\mu^* \rightarrow \mu\gamma$ decay. Limits from LEP are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling, $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the $\lambda-m_{\mu^*}$ plane. See the original papers.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>89	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
>88	95	ABREU	92C DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
>91	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$, $\lambda_Z > 1$
>87	95	AKRAWY	90I OPAL	$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$, $\lambda_Z > 1$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

139	ABBIENDI	00I	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
140	ACCIARRI	00E	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
141	ABREU	99O	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
142	ACKERSTAFF	98C	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
143	BARATE	98U	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
144,145	ABREU	97B	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
144,146	ACCIARRI	97G	L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
147	ACKERSTAFF	97	OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
148	ABREU	96K	DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$

		149	ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
		150	ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
		151	BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*$
>85	95	152	ADEVA	90F L3	$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
>75	95	152	ADEVA	90F L3	$Z \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_Z > 0.1$
>80	95	153	DECAMP	90G ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_Z=1$
>50	95		ADACHI	89B TOPZ	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_\gamma=0.7$
>46	95		KIM	89 AMY	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu^*, \lambda_\gamma=0.2$

139 ABBIENDI 00I result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161-183$ GeV. See their Fig. 7 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

140 ACCIARRI 00E result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

141 ABREU 99O result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

142 ACKERSTAFF 98C from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170-172$ GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

143 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the $Z\mu\mu^*$ coupling. See their Fig. 12 for limits in mass-coupling plane

144 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.

145 See Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

146 See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

147 ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

148 ABREU 96K result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-136$ GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

149 ACCIARRI 96D result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

150 ALEXANDER 96Q result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV. See their Fig. 3a for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

151 BUSKULIC 96W result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

152 Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.

153 Superseded by DECAMP 92.

Indirect Limits for Excited μ (μ^*)

These limits make use of loop effects involving μ^* and are therefore subject to theoretical uncertainty.

<u>VALUE (GeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

154	RENARD	82	THEO	$g-2$ of muon
-----	--------	----	------	---------------

154 RENARD 82 derived from $g-2$ data limits on mass and couplings of e^* and μ^* . See figures 2 and 3 of the paper.

MASS LIMITS for Excited τ (τ^*)

Limits for Excited τ (τ^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^{*+}\tau^{*-}$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of τ^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. For the case of limits from Z decay, the τ^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type. All limits assume $\tau^* \rightarrow \tau\gamma$ decay except for the limits from $\Gamma(Z)$.

For limits prior to 1987, see our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II (1992)).

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>94.2	95	155 ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
>91.2	95	156 ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
>89.7	95	157 ABREU	99O DLPH	Homodoublet type
>84.6	95	158 ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
		159 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
>79.4	95	160,161 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
>77.4	95	160,162 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Sequential type
>79.3	95	160 ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Sequential type
>79.1	95	160,163 ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
>62.2	95	164 ABREU	96K DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
>64.2	95	165 ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Sequential type
>65.3	95	165 ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Homodoublet type
>64.8	95	165 BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$ Sequential type
>45.6	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
>45.3	95	ABREU	92C DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
>29.8	95	166 BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	$\Gamma(Z)$
>26.1	95	167 DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$; $\Gamma(Z)$
>46.0	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
>33	95	167 ABREU	91F DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$; $\Gamma(Z)$
>45.5	95	168 ADEVA	90L L3	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
>44.9	95	AKRAWY	90I OPAL	$Z \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
>41.2	95	169 DECAMP	90G ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$
>29.0	95	ADACHI	89B TOPZ	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau^*$

155 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ACCIARRI 00E also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\tau^*} > 92.6$ GeV.

156 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161-183$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ABBIENDI 00I also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\tau^*} > 86.0$ GeV.

157 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. $f=f'$ is assumed. ABREU 99O also obtain limit for $f=-f'$ ($\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$): $m_{\tau^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

158 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170-172$ GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from $\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$ decay mode: $m_{\tau^*} > 81.3$ GeV.

159 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

160 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.

161 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\tau^*} > 70.9$ GeV.

- 162 ABREU 97B also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\tau^*} > 44.6$ GeV.
 163 ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limit from charged current decay mode $\tau^* \rightarrow \nu W$, $m_{\nu_{\tau^*}} > 77.1$ GeV.
 164 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-136$ GeV.
 165 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV.
 166 BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is independent of decay modes. Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 36$ MeV.
 167 Limit is independent of τ^* decay mode.
 168 Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
 169 Superseded by DECAMP 92.

Limits for Excited τ (τ^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^*\tau$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between τ and τ^* . All limits assume $\tau^* \rightarrow \tau\gamma$ decay. Limits from LEP are for chiral coupling, whereas all other limits are for nonchiral coupling, $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$. In most papers, the limit is expressed in the form of an excluded region in the $\lambda-m_{\tau^*}$ plane. See the original papers.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>88	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
>87	95	ABREU	92C DLPH	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.5$
>90	95	DECAMP	92 ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_Z > 0.18$
>86.5	95	AKRAWY	90I OPAL	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_Z > 1$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		170 ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		171 ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		172 ABREU	99O DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		173 ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		174 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		175,176 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		175,177 ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		178 ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		179 ABREU	96K DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		180 ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		181 ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
		182 BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$
>88	95	183 ADEVA	90L L3	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_Z > 1$
>59	95	184 DECAMP	90G ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_Z = 1$
>40	95	185 BARTEL	86 JADE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_\gamma = 1$
>41.4	95	186 BEHREND	86 CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_\gamma = 1$
>40.8	95	186 BEHREND	86 CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau\tau^*$, $\lambda_\gamma = 0.7$

170 ABBIENDI 00I result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161-183$ GeV. See their Fig. 7 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

171 ACCIARRI 00E result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

172 ABREU 99O result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

173 ACKERSTAFF 98C from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170-172$ GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

- 174 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the $Z\tau\tau^*$ coupling. See their Fig. 12 for limits in mass-coupling plane
- 175 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.
- 176 See Fig. 4a and Fig. 5a of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 177 See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 178 ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 179 ABREU 96K result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-136$ GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 180 ACCIARRI 96D result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 181 ALEXANDER 96Q result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV. See their Fig. 3a for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 182 BUSKULIC 96W result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 183 Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
- 184 Superseded by DECAMP 92.
- 185 BARTEL 86 is at $E_{cm} = 30-46.78$ GeV.
- 186 BEHREND 86 limit is at $E_{cm} = 33-46.8$ GeV.

MASS LIMITS for Excited Neutrino (ν^*)

Limits for Excited ν (ν^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of ν^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. The ν^* coupling is assumed to be of sequential type unless otherwise noted. Limits assume $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$ decay except for the $\Gamma(Z)$ measurement which makes no assumption about decay mode.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 94.1	95	187 ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
>114	95	188 ADLOFF	00E H1	$ep \rightarrow \nu^*X$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
> 91.2	95	189 ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
		190 ABBIENDI	99F OPAL	
> 90.0	95	191 ABREU	99O DLPH	Homodoublet type
> 84.9	95	192 ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
		193 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$
> 77.6	95	194,195 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 64.4	95	194,196 ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Sequential type
> 71.2	95	194,197 ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Sequential type
> 77.8	95	194,198 ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 61.4	95	199,200 ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Sequential type
> 65.0	95	201,202 ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
> 63.6	95	199 BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Sequential type
> 43.7	95	203 BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	$\Gamma(Z)$
> 47	95	204 DECAMP	92 ALEP	
> 42.6	95	205 DECAMP	92 ALEP	$\Gamma(Z)$
> 35.4	95	206,207 DECAMP	90O ALEP	$\Gamma(Z)$
> 46	95	207,208 DECAMP	90O ALEP	

- 187 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. $f=-f'$ (photonic decay) is assumed. ACCIARRI 00E also obtain limit for $f=f'$ ($\nu^* \rightarrow \ell W$): $m_{\nu_e^*} > 93.9$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 94.0$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 91.5$ GeV.
- 188 ADLOFF 00E search for single ν^* production in ep collisions with the decays $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$, νZ , eW . $f=-f'=\Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ is assumed for the ν^* coupling. See their Fig. 10 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.
- 189 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161-183$ GeV. $f=-f'$ (photonic decay) is assumed. ABBIENDI 00I also obtain limit for $f=f'$ ($\nu^* \rightarrow \ell W$): $m_{\nu_e^*} > 91.1$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 91.1$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 83.1$ GeV.
- 190 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-183$ GeV, ABBIENDI 99F obtain limit on $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*) B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)^2$. See their Fig. 13. The limit ranges from 0.094 to 0.14 pb for $\sqrt{s}/2 > m_{\nu^*} > 45$ GeV.
- 191 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. $f=-f'$ is assumed. ABREU 99O also obtain limit for $f=f'$: $m_{\nu_{e^*}} > 87.3$ GeV, $m_{\nu_{\mu^*}} > 88.0$ GeV, $m_{\nu_{\tau^*}} > 81.0$ GeV.
- 192 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170-172$ GeV. ACKERSTAFF 98C also obtain limit from charged decay modes: $m_{\nu_e^*} > 84.1$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 83.9$ GeV, and $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 79.4$ GeV.
- 193 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 14 for limits in mass-form factor plane.
- 194 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.
- 195 ABREU 97B also obtain limits from charged current decay modes, $m_{\nu^*} > 56.4$ GeV.
- 196 ABREU 97B also obtain limits from charged current decay modes, $m_{\nu^*} > 44.9$ GeV.
- 197 ACCIARRI 97G also obtain limits from charged current decay mode $\nu_e^* \rightarrow eW$, $m_{\nu^*} > 64.5$ GeV.
- 198 ACKERSTAFF 97 also obtain limits from charged current decay modes $m_{\nu_e^*} > 78.3$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 78.9$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 76.2$ GeV.
- 199 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV.
- 200 ACCIARRI 96D also obtain limit from $\nu^* \rightarrow eW$ decay mode: $m_{\nu^*} > 57.3$ GeV.
- 201 From e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-136$ GeV.
- 202 ALEXANDER 96Q also obtain limits from charged current decay modes: $m_{\nu_e^*} > 66.2$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\mu^*} > 66.5$ GeV, $m_{\nu_\tau^*} > 64.7$ GeV.
- 203 BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit is for Dirac ν^* . Based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 36$ MeV. The limit is 36.4 GeV for Majorana ν^* , 45.4 GeV for homodoublet ν^* .
- 204 Limit is based on $B(Z \rightarrow \nu^*\bar{\nu}^*) \times B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)^2 < 5 \times 10^{-5}$ (95%CL) assuming Dirac ν^* , $B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma) = 1$.
- 205 Limit is for Dirac ν^* . The limit is 34.6 GeV for Majorana ν^* , 45.4 GeV for homodoublet ν^* .
- 206 DECAMP 900 limit is from excess $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 89$ MeV. The above value is for Dirac ν^* ; 26.6 GeV for Majorana ν^* ; 44.8 GeV for homodoublet ν^* .
- 207 Superseded by DECAMP 92.
- 208 DECAMP 900 limit based on $B(Z \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*) \cdot B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)^2 < 7 \times 10^{-5}$ (95%CL), assuming Dirac ν^* , $B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma) = 1$.

Limits for Excited ν (ν^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$ or $e p \rightarrow \nu^* X$ and depend on transition magnetic coupling between ν/e and ν^* . Assumptions about ν^* decay mode are given in footnotes.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
		209 ABBIENDI	00I OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		210 ACCIARRI	00E L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		211 ABBIENDI	99F OPAL	
		212 ABREU	99O DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		213 ACKERSTAFF	98C OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu^*\nu^*$ Homodoublet type
		214 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
	215,216	ABREU	97B DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		217 ABREU	97I DLPH	$\nu^* \rightarrow \ell W, \nu Z$
		218 ABREU	97J DLPH	$\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$
	215,219	ACCIARRI	97G L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		220 ACKERSTAFF	97 OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		221 ADLOFF	97 H1	Lepton-flavor violation
none 40–96	95	222 BREITWEG	97C ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow \nu^* X$
		223 ACCIARRI	96D L3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		224 ALEXANDER	96Q OPAL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		225 BUSKULIC	96W ALEP	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$
		226 DERRICK	95B ZEUS	$e p \rightarrow \nu^* X$
		227 ABT	93 H1	$e p \rightarrow \nu^* X$
>91	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z > 1, \nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$
>89	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z > 1, \nu_e^* \rightarrow eW$
>87	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1, \nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$
>74	95	ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1, \nu_e^* \rightarrow eW$
		228 BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	
>91	95	229 DECAMP	92 ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 1$
>74	95	229 DECAMP	92 ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 0.034$
>91	95	230,231 ADEVA	90O L3	$\lambda_Z > 1$
>83	95	231 ADEVA	90O L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1, \nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$
>74	95	231 ADEVA	90O L3	$\lambda_Z > 0.1, \nu_e^* \rightarrow eW$
>90	95	232,233 DECAMP	90O ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 1$
>74.7	95	232,233 DECAMP	90O ALEP	$\lambda_Z > 0.06$

209 ABBIENDI 00I result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161-183$ GeV. See their Fig. 7 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

210 ACCIARRI 00E result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=189$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for limits in mass-coupling plane.

211 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-183$ GeV, ABBIENDI 99F obtain limit on $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \nu\nu^*) B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma)$. See their Fig. 8.

212 ABREU 99O result is from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. See their Figs. 4 and 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

213 ACKERSTAFF 98C from $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=170-172$ GeV. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.

214 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the $Z\nu\nu^*$ coupling. See their Fig. 13 for limits in mass-coupling plane

215 From $e^+ e^-$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV.

- 216 See Fig. 4b and Fig. 5b of ABREU 97B for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 217 ABREU 97I limit is from $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$. See their Fig. 12 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 218 ABREU 97J limit is from $Z \rightarrow \nu\nu^*$. See their Fig. 5 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 219 See Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 of ACCIARRI 97G for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 220 ACKERSTAFF 97 result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=161$ GeV, for homodoublet ν^* . See their Fig. 3 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 221 ADLOFF 97 search for single e^* production in ep collisions with the decays $e^* \rightarrow e\gamma, eZ, \nu W$. See their Fig. 4 for the rejection limits on the product of the production cross section and the branching ratio.
- 222 BREITWEG 97C search for single ν^* production in ep collisions with the decay $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$. $f=-f'=2\Lambda/m_{\nu^*}$ is assumed for the ν^* coupling. See their Fig. 10 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.
- 223 ACCIARRI 96D result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV. See their Fig. 2 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 224 ALEXANDER 96Q result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV for homodoublet ν^* . See their Fig. 3b and Fig. 3c for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 225 BUSKULIC 96W result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=130-140$ GeV. See their Fig. 4 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 226 DERRICK 95B search for single ν^* production via ν^*eW coupling in ep collisions with the decays $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma, \nu Z, eW$. See their Fig. 14 for the exclusion plot in the $m_{\nu^*}-\lambda\gamma$ plane.
- 227 ABT 93 search for single ν^* production via ν^*eW coupling in ep collisions with the decays $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma, \nu Z, eW$. See their Fig. 4 for exclusion plot in the $m_{\nu^*}-\lambda_W$ plane.
- 228 See Fig. 5 of BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 for combined limit of ADEVA 900, DECAMP 900, and DECAMP 92.
- 229 DECAMP 92 limit is based on $B(Z \rightarrow \nu^*\bar{\nu}) \times B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma) < 2.7 \times 10^{-5}$ (95%CL) assuming Dirac ν^* , $B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma) = 1$.
- 230 Limit is either for $\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma$ or $\nu^* \rightarrow eW$.
- 231 Superseded by ADRIANI 93M.
- 232 DECAMP 900 limit based on $B(Z \rightarrow \nu\nu^*) \cdot B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma) < 6 \times 10^{-5}$ (95%CL), assuming $B(\nu^* \rightarrow \nu\gamma) = 1$.
- 233 Superseded by DECAMP 92.

MASS LIMITS for Excited q (q^*)

Limits for Excited q (q^*) from Pair Production

These limits are obtained from $e^+e^- \rightarrow q^*\bar{q}^*$ and thus rely only on the (electroweak) charge of the q^* . Form factor effects are ignored unless noted. Assumptions about the q^* decay are given in the comments and footnotes.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>45.6	95	234 ADRIANI	93M L3	u or d type, $Z \rightarrow q^*q^*$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

		235 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow q^* q^*$
		236 ADRIANI	92F L3	$Z \rightarrow q^* q^*$
>41.7	95	237 BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>44.7	95	237 BARDADIN-...	92 RVUE	d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>40.6	95	238 DECAMP	92 ALEP	u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>44.2	95	238 DECAMP	92 ALEP	d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>45	95	239 DECAMP	92 ALEP	u or d type, $Z \rightarrow q^* q^*$
>45	95	238 ABREU	91F DLPH	u -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>45	95	238 ABREU	91F DLPH	d -type, $\Gamma(Z)$
>21.1	95	240 BEHREND	86C CELL	$e(q^*) = -1/3, q^* \rightarrow$ qg
>22.3	95	240 BEHREND	86C CELL	$e(q^*) = 2/3, q^* \rightarrow qg$
>22.5	95	240 BEHREND	86C CELL	$e(q^*) = -1/3, q^* \rightarrow$ $q\gamma$
>23.2	95	240 BEHREND	86C CELL	$e(q^*) = 2/3, q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$

234 ADRIANI 93M limit is valid for $B(q^* \rightarrow qg) > 0.25$ (0.17) for up (down) type.

235 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the form factor. See their Fig. 16 for limits in mass-form factor plane.

236 ADRIANI 92F search for $Z \rightarrow q^* \bar{q}^*$ followed with $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$ decays and give the limit $\sigma_Z \cdot B(Z \rightarrow q^* \bar{q}^*) \cdot B^2(q^* \rightarrow q\gamma) < 2$ pb at 95%CL. Assuming five flavors of degenerate q^* of homodoublet type, $B(q^* \rightarrow q\gamma) < 4\%$ is obtained for $m_{q^*} < 45$ GeV.

237 BARDADIN-OTWINOWSKA 92 limit based on $\Delta\Gamma(Z) < 36$ MeV.

238 These limits are independent of decay modes.

239 Limit is for $B(q^* \rightarrow qg) + B(q^* \rightarrow q\gamma) = 1$.

240 BEHREND 86C search for $e^+ e^- \rightarrow q^* \bar{q}^*$ for $m_{q^*} > 5$ GeV. But $m < 5$ GeV excluded by total hadronic cross section. The limits are for point-like photon couplings of excited quarks.

Limits for Excited q (q^*) from Single Production

These limits are from $e^+ e^- \rightarrow q^* \bar{q}$ or $p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^* X$ and depend on transition magnetic couplings between q and q^* . Assumptions about q^* decay mode are given in the footnotes and comments.

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
> 570, none 580–760 (CL = 95%) OUR EVALUATION				
none 200–520 and 580–760	95	241 ABE	97G CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^* X, q^* \rightarrow 2$ jets
none 80–570	95	242 ABE	95N CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^* X, q^* \rightarrow qg$ $q\gamma, qW$
>288	90	243 ALITTI	93 UA2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^* X, q^* \rightarrow qg$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

>188	95	244 ADLOFF	00E H1	$ep \rightarrow q^* X$
		245 ABREU	99O DLPH	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow qq^*$
		246 BARATE	98U ALEP	$Z \rightarrow qq^*$
		247 ADLOFF	97 H1	Lepton-flavor violation
none 40–169	95	248 BREITWEG	97C ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow q^* X$
		249 DERRICK	95B ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow q^* X$
none 80–540	95	250 ABE	94 CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^* X, q^* \rightarrow q\gamma,$ qW
> 79	95	251 ADRIANI	93M L3	$\lambda_Z(L3) > 0.06$

		252	ABREU	92D	DLPH	$Z \rightarrow qq^*$
		253	ADRIANI	92F	L3	$Z \rightarrow qq^*$
> 75	95	251	DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow qq^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 88	95	254	DECAMP	92	ALEP	$Z \rightarrow qq^*, \lambda_Z > 1$
> 86	95	254	AKRAWY	90J	OPAL	$Z \rightarrow qq^*, \lambda_Z > 1.2$
		255	ALBAJAR	89	UA1	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q^*X,$ $q^* \rightarrow qW$
> 39	95	256	BEHREND	86C	CELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow q^*\bar{q} (q^* \rightarrow$ $qg, q\gamma), \lambda_\gamma=1$

- 241 ABE 97G search for new particle decaying to dijets.
- 242 ABE 95N assume a degenerate u^* and d^* with $f_s=f=f'=\Lambda/m_{q^*}$. See their Fig. 4 for the excluded region in $m_{q^*} - f$ plane.
- 243 ALITTI 93 search for resonances in the two-jet invariant mass. The limit is for $f_s = f = f' = \Lambda/m_{q^*}$. u^* and d^* are assumed to be degenerate. If not, the limit for u^* (d^*) is 277 (247) GeV if $m_{d^*} \gg m_{u^*}$ ($m_{u^*} \gg m_{d^*}$).
- 244 ADLOFF 00E search for single q^* production in ep collisions with the decays $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma, qZ, qW$. $f_s=0$ and $f=f'=\Lambda/m_{q^*}$ is assumed for the q^* coupling. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.
- 245 ABREU 99O result is from e^+e^- collisions at $\sqrt{s}=183$ GeV. See their Fig. 6 for the exclusion limit in the mass-coupling plane.
- 246 BARATE 98U obtain limits on the Zq^* coupling. See their Fig. 16 for limits in mass-coupling plane
- 247 ADLOFF 97 search for single q^* production in ep collisions with the decay $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$. See their Fig. 6 for the rejection limits on the product of the production cross section and the branching ratio.
- 248 BREITWEG 97C search for single q^* production in ep collisions with the decays $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma, qW$. $f_s=0$, and $f=-f'=2\Lambda/m_{q^*}$ is assumed for the q^* coupling. See their Fig. 11 for the exclusion plot in the mass-coupling plane.
- 249 DERRICK 95B search for single q^* production via $q^*q\gamma$ coupling in ep collisions with the decays $q^* \rightarrow qW, qZ, qg, q\gamma$. See their Fig. 15 for the exclusion plot in the $m_{q^*}-\lambda_\gamma$ plane.
- 250 ABE 94 search for resonances in jet- γ and jet- W invariant mass in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $E_{cm} = 1.8$ TeV. The limit is for $f_s = f = f' = \Lambda/m_{q^*}$ and u^* and d^* are assumed to be degenerate. See their Fig. 4 for the excluded region in $m_{q^*}-f$ plane.
- 251 Assumes $B(q^* \rightarrow qg) = 1$.
- 252 ABREU 92D give $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow q^*\bar{q} \text{ or } q\bar{q}^*) \times B(q^* \rightarrow q\gamma) < 15$ pb (95% CL) for $m_{q^*} < 80$ GeV.
- 253 ADRIANI 92F search for $Z \rightarrow qq^*$ with $q^* \rightarrow q\gamma$ and give the limit $\sigma_Z \cdot B(Z \rightarrow qq^*) \cdot B(q^* \rightarrow q\gamma) < (2-10)$ pb (95%CL) for $m_{q^*} = (46-82)$ GeV.
- 254 Assumes $B(q^* \rightarrow q\gamma) = 0.1$.
- 255 ALBAJAR 89 give $\sigma(q^* \rightarrow W + \text{jet})/\sigma(W) < 0.019$ (90% CL) for $m_{q^*} > 220$ GeV.
- 256 BEHREND 86C has $E_{cm} = 42.5-46.8$ GeV. See their Fig. 3 for excluded region in the $m_{q^*} - (\lambda_\gamma/m_{q^*})^2$ plane. The limit is for $\lambda_\gamma = 1$ with $\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$.

MASS LIMITS for Color Sextet Quarks (q_6)

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>84	95	257 ABE	89D CDF	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow q_6\bar{q}_6$

257 ABE 89D look for pair production of unit-charged particles which leave the detector before decaying. In the above limit the color sextet quark is assumed to fragment into a unit-charged or neutral hadron with equal probability and to have long enough lifetime not to decay within the detector. A limit of 121 GeV is obtained for a color decuplet.

MASS LIMITS for Color Octet Charged Leptons (l_8)

$$\lambda \equiv m_{l_8}/\Lambda$$

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>86	95	258 ABE	89D CDF	Stable l_8 : $p\bar{p} \rightarrow l_8\bar{l}_8$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
		259 ABT	93 H1	$e_8: e p \rightarrow e_8 X$
none 3.0–30.3	95	260 KIM	90 AMY	$e_8: e^+ e^- \rightarrow e e +$ jets
none 3.5–30.3	95	260 KIM	90 AMY	$\mu_8: e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu +$ jets
		261 KIM	90 AMY	$e_8: e^+ e^- \rightarrow g g; R$
>19.8	95	262 BARTEL	87B JADE	$e_8, \mu_8, \tau_8: e^+ e^-; R$
none 5–23.2	95	262 BARTEL	87B JADE	$\mu_8: e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu\mu +$ jets
		263 BARTEL	85K JADE	$e_8: e^+ e^- \rightarrow g g; R$

258 ABE 89D look for pair production of unit-charged particles which leave the detector before decaying. In the above limit the color octet lepton is assumed to fragment into a unit-charged or neutral hadron with equal probability and to have long enough lifetime not to decay within the detector. The limit improves to 99 GeV if it always fragments into a unit-charged hadron.

259 ABT 93 search for e_8 production via e-gluon fusion in $e p$ collisions with $e_8 \rightarrow e g$. See their Fig. 3 for exclusion plot in the $m_{e_8}-\Lambda$ plane for $m_{e_8} = 35-220$ GeV.

260 KIM 90 is at $E_{cm} = 50-60.8$ GeV. The same assumptions as in BARTEL 87B are used.

261 KIM 90 result $(m_{e_8} \Lambda_M)^{1/2} > 178.4$ GeV (95%CL, $\alpha_s = 0.16$ used) is subject to the same restriction as for BARTEL 85K.

262 BARTEL 87B is at $E_{cm} = 46.3-46.78$ GeV. The limits assume l_8 pair production cross sections to be eight times larger than those of the corresponding heavy lepton pair production.

263 In BARTEL 85K, R can be affected by $e^+ e^- \rightarrow g g$ via e_q exchange. Their limit $m_{e_8} > 173$ GeV (CL=95%) at $\lambda = m_{e_8}/\Lambda_M = 1$ ($\eta_L = \eta_R = 1$) is not listed above because the cross section is sensitive to the product $\eta_L \eta_R$, which should be absent in ordinary theory with electronic chiral invariance.

MASS LIMITS for Color Octet Neutrinos (ν_8)

$$\lambda \equiv m_{\nu_8}/\Lambda$$

VALUE (GeV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
>110	90	264 BARGER	89 RVUE	$\nu_8: p\bar{p} \rightarrow \nu_8\bar{\nu}_8$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

none 3.8–29.8	95	265 KIM	90 AMY	$\nu_8: e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ acoplanar jets
none 9–21.9	95	266 BARTEL	87B JADE	$\nu_8: e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ acoplanar jets

²⁶⁴ BARGER 89 used ABE 89B limit for events with large missing transverse momentum. Two-body decay $\nu_8 \rightarrow \nu g$ is assumed.

²⁶⁵ KIM 90 is at $E_{cm} = 50\text{--}60.8$ GeV. The same assumptions as in BARTEL 87B are used.

²⁶⁶ BARTEL 87B is at $E_{cm} = 46.3\text{--}46.78$ GeV. The limit assumes the ν_8 pair production cross section to be eight times larger than that of the corresponding heavy neutrino pair production. This assumption is not valid in general for the weak couplings, and the limit can be sensitive to its $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ quantum numbers.

MASS LIMITS for W_8 (Color Octet W Boson)

<u>VALUE (GeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •		
	²⁶⁷ ALBAJAR	89 UA1	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow W_8 X,$ $W_8 \rightarrow W g$
²⁶⁷ ALBAJAR 89	give $\sigma(W_8 \rightarrow W + \text{jet})/\sigma(W) < 0.019$ (90% CL) for $m_{W_8} > 220$ GeV.		

REFERENCES FOR Searches for Quark and Lepton Compositeness

ABBIENDI	00I	EPJ C14 73	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	00R	EPJ C13 553	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBOTT	00E	PR D62 031101	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABREU	00A	PL B491 67	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	00S	PL B485 45	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	00E	PL B473 177	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	00G	PL B475 198	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	00P	PL B489 81	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADLOFF	00	PL B479 358	C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
ADLOFF	00E	EPJ C17 567	C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
BARATE	00I	EPJ C12 183	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARGER	00	PL B480 149	V. Barger, K. Cheung	
BREITWEG	00B	EPJ C14 239	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99	EPJ C6 1	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99F	EPJ C8 23	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBIENDI	99P	PL B465 303	G. Abbiendi <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ABBOTT	99C	PRL 82 2457	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABBOTT	99D	PRL 82 4769	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABREU	99A	EPJ C11 383	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	99O	EPJ C8 41	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ZARNECKI	99	EPJ C11 539	A.F. Zarnecki	
ABBOTT	98G	PRL 80 666	B. Abbott <i>et al.</i>	(D0 Collab.)
ABREU	98J	PL B433 429	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98J	PL B433 163	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	98T	PL B439 183	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98	EPJ C1 21	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98C	EPJ C1 45	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	98V	EPJ C2 441	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKER...K...	98B	PL B438 379	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BARATE	98J	PL B429 201	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARATE	98U	EPJ C4 571	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BARGER	98E	PR D57 391	V. Barger <i>et al.</i>	
BERTRAM	98	PL B443 347	I. Bertram, E.H. Simmons	
MCFARLAND	98	EPJ C1 509	K.S. McFarland <i>et al.</i>	(CCFR/NuTeV Collab.)
MIURA	98	PR D57 5345	M. Miura <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
ABE	97G	PR D55 R5263	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	97T	PRL 79 2198	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	97B	PL B393 245	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)

ABREU	97I	ZPHY C74 57	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
Also	97L	ZPHY C75 580 erratum	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	97J	ZPHY C74 577	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97G	PL B401 139	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	97W	PL B413 159	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97	PL B391 197	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ACKERSTAFF	97C	PL B391 221	K. Ackerstaff <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ADLOFF	97	NP B483 44	C. Adloff <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
ARIMA	97	PR D55 19	T. Arima <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
BREITWEG	97C	ZPHY C76 631	J. Breitweg <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
DEANDREA	97	PL B409 277	A. Deandrea	(MARS)
ABE	96	PRL 77 438	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	96S	PRL 77 5336	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	96K	PL B380 480	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ACCIARRI	96D	PL B370 211	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ACCIARRI	96L	PL B384 323	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96K	PL B377 222	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALEXANDER	96Q	PL B386 463	G. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96W	PL B385 445	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BUSKULIC	96Z	PL B384 333	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
ABE	95N	PRL 74 3538	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ACCIARRI	95G	PL B353 136	M. Acciarri <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AID	95	PL B353 578	S. Aid <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
DERRICK	95B	ZPHY C65 627	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABE	94	PRL 72 3004	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
DIAZCRUZ	94	PR D49 R2149	J.L. Diaz Cruz, O.A. Sampayo	(CINV)
VELISSARIS	94	PL B331 227	C. Velissaris <i>et al.</i>	(AMY Collab.)
ABE	93G	PRL 71 2542	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABT	93	NP B396 3	I. Abt <i>et al.</i>	(H1 Collab.)
ADRIANI	93M	PRPL 236 1	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ALITTI	93	NP B400 3	J. Alitti <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)
BUSKULIC	93Q	ZPHY C59 215	D. Buskulic <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
DERRICK	93B	PL B316 207	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ABE	92B	PRL 68 1463	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	92D	PRL 68 1104	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	92M	PRL 69 2896	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	92C	ZPHY C53 41	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	92D	ZPHY C53 555	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADRIANI	92B	PL B288 404	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADRIANI	92F	PL B292 472	O. Adriani <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
BARADIN...	92	ZPHY C55 163	M. Bardadin-Otwinowska	(CLER)
DECAMP	92	PRPL 216 253	D. Decamp <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
HOWELL	92	PL B291 206	B. Howell <i>et al.</i>	(TOPAZ Collab.)
KROHA	92	PR D46 58	H. Kroha	(ROCH)
PDG	92	PR D45, 1 June, Part II	K. Hikasa <i>et al.</i>	(KEK, LBL, BOST+)
SHIMOZAWA	92	PL B284 144	K. Shimozawa <i>et al.</i>	(TOPAZ Collab.)
ABE	91D	PRL 67 2418	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABREU	91E	PL B268 296	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ABREU	91F	NP B367 511	P. Abreu <i>et al.</i>	(DELPHI Collab.)
ADACHI	91	PL B255 613	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(TOPAZ Collab.)
AKRAWY	91F	PL B257 531	M.Z. Akrawy <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
ALITTI	91B	PL B257 232	J. Alitti <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)
BEHREND	91B	ZPHY C51 143	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
BEHREND	91C	ZPHY C51 149	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
Also	91B	ZPHY C51 143	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
ABE	90I	ZPHY C48 13	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
ADEVA	90F	PL B247 177	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADEVA	90K	PL B250 199	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADEVA	90L	PL B250 205	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
ADEVA	90O	PL B252 525	B. Adeva <i>et al.</i>	(L3 Collab.)
AKRAWY	90F	PL B241 133	M.Z. Akrawy <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AKRAWY	90I	PL B244 135	M.Z. Akrawy <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
AKRAWY	90J	PL B246 285	M.Z. Akrawy <i>et al.</i>	(OPAL Collab.)
DECAMP	90G	PL B236 501	D. Decamp <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
DECAMP	90O	PL B250 172	D. Decamp <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
KIM	90	PL B240 243	G.N. Kim <i>et al.</i>	(AMY Collab.)
ABE	89	PRL 62 613	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89B	PRL 62 1825	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)

ABE	89D	PRL 63 1447	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89H	PRL 62 3020	F. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(CDF Collab.)
ABE	89J	ZPHY C45 175	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
ABE	89L	PL B232 425	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
ADACHI	89B	PL B228 553	I. Adachi <i>et al.</i>	(TOPAZ Collab.)
ALBAJAR	89	ZPHY C44 15	C. Albajar <i>et al.</i>	(UA1 Collab.)
BARGER	89	PL B220 464	V. Barger <i>et al.</i>	(WISC, KEK)
BEHREND	89B	PL B222 163	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	89C	ZPHY C43 549	W. Braunschweig <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
DORENBOS...	89	ZPHY C41 567	J. Dorenbosch <i>et al.</i>	(CHARM Collab.)
HAGIWARA	89	PL B219 369	K. Hagiwara, M. Sakuda, N. Terunuma	(KEK, DURH+)
KIM	89	PL B223 476	S.K. Kim <i>et al.</i>	(AMY Collab.)
ABE	88B	PL B213 400	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(VENUS Collab.)
BARINGER	88	PL B206 551	P. Baringer <i>et al.</i>	(HRS Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	88	ZPHY C37 171	W. Braunschweig <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
BRAUNSCH...	88D	ZPHY C40 163	W. Braunschweig <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
ANSARI	87D	PL B195 613	R. Ansari <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)
BARTEL	87B	ZPHY C36 15	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BEHREND	87C	PL B191 209	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
FERNANDEZ	87B	PR D35 10	E. Fernandez <i>et al.</i>	(MAC Collab.)
ARNISON	86C	PL B172 461	G.T.J. Arnison <i>et al.</i>	(UA1 Collab.)
ARNISON	86D	PL B177 244	G.T.J. Arnison <i>et al.</i>	(UA1 Collab.)
BARTEL	86	ZPHY C31 359	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BARTEL	86C	ZPHY C30 371	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BEHREND	86	PL 168B 420	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
BEHREND	86C	PL B181 178	H.J. Behrend <i>et al.</i>	(CELLO Collab.)
DERRICK	86	PL 166B 463	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(HRS Collab.)
Also	86B	PR D34 3286	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(HRS Collab.)
DERRICK	86B	PR D34 3286	M. Derrick <i>et al.</i>	(HRS Collab.)
GRIFOLS	86	PL 168B 264	J.A. Grifols, S. Peris	(BARC)
JODIDIO	86	PR D34 1967	A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
Also	88	PR D37 237 erratum	A. Jodidio <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, NWES, TRIU)
APPEL	85	PL 160B 349	J.A. Appel <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)
BARTEL	85K	PL 160B 337	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BERGER	85	ZPHY C28 1	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)
BERGER	85B	ZPHY C27 341	C. Berger <i>et al.</i>	(PLUTO Collab.)
BAGNAIA	84C	PL 138B 430	P. Bagnaia <i>et al.</i>	(UA2 Collab.)
BARTEL	84D	PL 146B 437	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
BARTEL	84E	PL 146B 121	W. Bartel <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
EICHTEN	84	RMP 56 579	E. Eichten <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL, LBL, OSU)
ALTHOFF	83C	PL 126B 493	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
RENARD	82	PL 116B 264	F.M. Renard	(CERN)