

# $f_4(2050)$

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(4^{++})$$

## $f_4(2050)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>2034 ± 11 OUR AVERAGE</b>	Error	includes scale factor of 1.6. See the ideogram below.		
1998 ± 15		ALDE 98	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
1970 ± 30		BELADIDZE 92B	VES	36 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
2060 ± 20		ALDE 90	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
2038 ± 30		AUGUSTIN 87	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
2086 ± 15		BALTRUSAIT..87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
2000 ± 60		ALDE 86D	GAM4	100 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\eta$
2020 ± 20	40k	<sup>1</sup> BINON 84B	GAM2	38 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi^0$
2015 ± 28		<sup>2</sup> CASON 82	STRC	8 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} \pi^0 \pi^0$
2031 <sup>+25</sup> <sub>-36</sub>		ETKIN 82B	MPS	23 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$
2020 ± 30	700	APEL 75	NICE	40 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi^0$
2050 ± 25		BLUM 75	ASPK	18.4 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n K^+ K^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
2018 ± 6		ANISOVICH 00J	SPEC	2.0 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \pi^0, \eta \eta, \eta \eta', \pi \pi$
~ 2000		<sup>3</sup> MARTIN 98	RVUE	$N \bar{N} \rightarrow \pi \pi$
~ 2010		<sup>4</sup> MARTIN 97	RVUE	$\bar{N} N \rightarrow \pi \pi$
~ 2040		<sup>5</sup> OAKDEN 94	RVUE	0.36–1.55 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$
~ 1990		<sup>6</sup> OAKDEN 94	RVUE	0.36–1.55 $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$
1978 ± 5		<sup>7</sup> ALPER 80	CNTR	62 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
2040 ± 10		<sup>7</sup> ROZANSKA 80	SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \bar{p} n$
1935 ± 13		<sup>7</sup> CORDEN 79	OMEG	12–15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi$
1988 ± 7		EVANGELISTA 79B	OMEG	10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
1922 ± 14		<sup>8</sup> ANTIPOV 77	CIBS	25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$

<sup>1</sup> From a partial-wave analysis of the data.

<sup>2</sup> From an amplitude analysis of the reaction  $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow 2\pi^0$ .

<sup>3</sup> Energy-dependent analysis.


<sup>4</sup> Single energy analysis.

<sup>5</sup> From solution A of amplitude analysis of data on  $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$ . See however KLOET 96 who fit  $\pi^+ \pi^-$  only and find waves only up to  $J = 3$  to be important but not significantly resonant.

<sup>6</sup> From solution B of amplitude analysis of data on  $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$ . See however KLOET 96 who fit  $\pi^+ \pi^-$  only and find waves only up to  $J = 3$  to be important but not significantly resonant.

<sup>7</sup>  $I(J^P) = 0(4^+)$  from amplitude analysis assuming one-pion exchange.

<sup>8</sup> Width errors enlarged by us to  $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$ ; see the note with the  $K^*(892)$  mass.



### $f_4(2050)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>222 \pm 19</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.8.		See the ideogram below.
$395 \pm 40$		ALDE 98	GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$
$300 \pm 50$		BELADIDZE 92B	VES	$36 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
$170 \pm 60$		ALDE 90	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
$304 \pm 60$		AUGUSTIN 87	DM2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
$210 \pm 63$		BALTRUSAIT..87	MRK3	$J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^-$
$400 \pm 100$		ALDE 86D	GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 \eta$
$240 \pm 40$	40k	<sup>9</sup> BINON 84B	GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 \pi^0$
$190 \pm 14$		DENNEY 83	LASS	$10 \pi^+ n / \pi^+ p$
$186^{+103}_{-58}$		<sup>10</sup> CASON 82	STRC	$8 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} \pi^0 \pi^0$
$305^{+36}_{-119}$		ETKIN 82B	MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 K^0_S$
$180 \pm 60$	700	APEL 75	NICE	$40 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2 \pi^0$
$225^{+120}_{-70}$		BLUM 75	ASPK	$18.4 \pi^- p \rightarrow n K^+ K^-$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$182 \pm 7$		ANISOVICH 00J	SPEC	$2.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \eta \pi^0 \pi^0, \pi^0 \pi^0, \eta \eta, \eta \eta', \pi \pi$
$\sim 170$		<sup>11</sup> MARTIN 98	RVUE	$N \bar{N} \rightarrow \pi \pi$
$\sim 200$		<sup>12</sup> MARTIN 97	RVUE	$\bar{N} N \rightarrow \pi \pi$
$\sim 60$		<sup>13</sup> OAKDEN 94	RVUE	$0.36-1.55 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$
$\sim 80$		<sup>14</sup> OAKDEN 94	RVUE	$0.36-1.55 \bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$

243 ± 16	15 ALPER	80 CNTR	62 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$
140 ± 15	15 ROZANSKA	80 SPRK	18 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p \bar{p} n$
263 ± 57	15 CORDEN	79 OMEG	12-15 $\pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi$
100 ± 28	EVANGELISTA 79B OMEG 10 $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ K^- n$		
107 ± 56	16 ANTIPOV	77 CIBS	25 $\pi^- p \rightarrow p 3\pi$

<sup>9</sup> From a partial-wave analysis of the data.

<sup>10</sup> From an amplitude analysis of the reaction  $\pi^+ \pi^- \rightarrow 2\pi^0$ .

<sup>11</sup> Energy-dependent analysis.


<sup>12</sup> Single energy analysis.

<sup>13</sup> From solution A of amplitude analysis of data on  $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$ . See however KLOET 96 who fit  $\pi^+ \pi^-$  only and find waves only up to  $J = 3$  to be important but not significantly resonant.

<sup>14</sup> From solution B of amplitude analysis of data on  $\bar{p} p \rightarrow \pi \pi$ . See however KLOET 96 who fit  $\pi^+ \pi^-$  only and find waves only up to  $J = 3$  to be important but not significantly resonant.

<sup>15</sup>  $I(J^P) = 0(4^+)$  from amplitude analysis assuming one-pion exchange.

<sup>16</sup> Width errors enlarged by us to  $4\Gamma/\sqrt{N}$ ; see the note with the  $K^*(892)$  mass.



### $f_4(2050)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $\omega\omega$	not seen
$\Gamma_2$ $\pi\pi$	(17.0 ± 1.5) %
$\Gamma_3$ $K\bar{K}$	( 6.8 <sup>+3.4</sup> <sub>-1.8</sub> ) × 10 <sup>-3</sup>

$\Gamma_4$	$\eta\eta$	$(2.1 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3}$
$\Gamma_5$	$4\pi^0$	$< 1.2$ %
$\Gamma_6$	$\gamma\gamma$	
$\Gamma_7$	$a_2(1320)\pi$	seen

### $f_4(2050) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_3\Gamma_6/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------	------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$< 0.29$	95	ALTHOFF	85B TASS	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K\bar{K}\pi$
----------	----	---------	----------	--

$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_2\Gamma_6/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------	------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

$< 1.1$	95	$13 \pm 4$	OEST	90 JADE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\pi^0\pi^0$
---------	----	------------	------	---------	---------------------------------------

### $f_4(2050)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_1/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen	BARBERIS	00F 450 $p p \rightarrow p_f \omega \omega p_s$
----------	----------	---

$\Gamma(\omega\omega)/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$   $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

$1.5 \pm 0.3$	ALDE	90 GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega \omega n$
---------------	------	---------	--

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

**0.170 ± 0.015 OUR AVERAGE**

$0.18 \pm 0.03$	<sup>17</sup> BINON	83C GAM2	$38 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 4\gamma$
$0.16 \pm 0.03$	<sup>17</sup> CASON	82 STRC	$8 \pi^+ p \rightarrow \Delta^{++} \pi^0 \pi^0$
$0.17 \pm 0.02$	<sup>17</sup> CORDEN	79 OMEG	$12-15 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2\pi$

<sup>17</sup> Assuming one pion exchange.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\pi\pi)$   $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

$0.04 \begin{smallmatrix} +0.02 \\ -0.01 \end{smallmatrix}$	ETKIN	82B MPS	$23 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 2K_S^0$
---	-------	---------	-----------------------------------

$\Gamma(\eta\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE (units <math>10^{-3}</math>)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
---	--------------------	-------------	----------------

$2.1 \pm 0.8$	ALDE	86D GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow n 4\gamma$
---------------	------	----------	-------------------------------------

$\Gamma(4\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

$< 0.012$	ALDE	87 GAM4	$100 \pi^- p \rightarrow 4\pi^0 n$
-----------	------	---------	------------------------------------

$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
seen	AMELIN	00 VES	37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^- n$

 **$f_4(2050)$  REFERENCES**

AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ANISOVICH	00J	PL B491 47	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS	00F	PL B484 198	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(WA 102 Collab.)
ALDE	98	EPJ A3 361	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAM4 Collab.)
Also	99	PAN 62 405	D. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(GAMS Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 62	446.	
MARTIN	98	PR C57 3492	B.R. Martin <i>et al.</i>	
MARTIN	97	PR C56 1114	B.R. Martin, G.C. Oades	(LOUC, AARH)
KLOET	96	PR D53 6120	W.M. Kloet, F. Myhrer	(RUTG, NORD)
OAKDEN	94	NP A574 731	M.N. Oakden, M.R. Pennington	(DURH)
BELADIDZE	92B	ZPHY C54 367	G.M. Beladidze <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ALDE	90	PL B241 600	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LANL, LAPP+)
OEST	90	ZPHY C47 343	T. Oest <i>et al.</i>	(JADE Collab.)
ALDE	87	PL B198 286	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(LANL, BRUX, SERP, LAPP)
AUGUSTIN	87	ZPHY C36 369	J.E. Augustin <i>et al.</i>	(LALO, CLER, FRAS+)
BALTRUSAIT...	87	PR D35 2077	R.M. Baltrusaitis <i>et al.</i>	(Mark III Collab.)
ALDE	86D	NP B269 485	D.M. Alde <i>et al.</i>	(BELG, LAPP, SERP, CERN+)
ALTHOFF	85B	ZPHY C29 189	M. Althoff <i>et al.</i>	(TASSO Collab.)
BINON	84B	LNC 39 41	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BELG, LAPP)
BINON	83C	SJNP 38 723	F.G. Binon <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, BRUX+)
		Translated from YAF 38	1199.	
DENNEY	83	PR D28 2726	D.L. Denney <i>et al.</i>	(IOWA, MICH)
CASON	82	PRL 48 1316	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
ETKIN	82B	PR D25 1786	A. Etkin <i>et al.</i>	(BNL, CUNY, TUFTS, VAND)
ALPER	80	PL 94B 422	B. Alper <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
ROZANSKA	80	NP B162 505	M. Rozanska <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM, CERN)
CORDEN	79	NP B157 250	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, RHEL, TELA+ JP)
EVANGELISTA	79B	NP B154 381	C. Evangelista <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BONN, CERN+)
ANTIPOV	77	NP B119 45	Y.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(SERP, GEVA)
APEL	75	PL 57B 398	W.D. Apel <i>et al.</i>	(KARLK, KARLE, PISA, SERP+ JP)
BLUM	75	PL 57B 403	W. Blum <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, MPIM) JP

**OTHER RELATED PAPERS**

ANISOVICH	99D	PL B452 180	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
Also	99F	NP A651 253	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
ANISOVICH	99F	NP A651 253	A.V. Anisovich <i>et al.</i>	
PROKOSHKIN	97	SPD 42 117	Y.D. Prokoshkin <i>et al.</i>	(SERP)
		Translated from DANS 353	323.	
CASON	83	PR D28 1586	N.M. Cason <i>et al.</i>	(NDAM, ANL)
GOTTESMAN	80	PR D22 1503	S.R. Gottesman <i>et al.</i>	(SYRA, BRAN, BNL+)
EISENHAND...	75	NP B96 109	E. Eisenhandler <i>et al.</i>	(LOQM, LIVP, DARE+)
WAGNER	74	London Conf. 2 27	F. Wagner	(MPIM)