ν_e

$$J = \frac{1}{2}$$

The following results are obtained using neutrinos associated with e^+ or e^- . See Note on "Electron, muon, and tau neutrino listings."

$\overline{\nu}$ MASS

Those limits given below for $\overline{\nu}$ mass that come from the kinematics of ${}^{3}H\beta^{-}\overline{\nu}$ decay are the square roots of limits for $m_{\nu_{e}}^{2(eff)}$. These are obtained from the measurements reported in the Listings for " $\overline{\nu}$ Mass Squared," below.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 3 OUR EVALUATI	ON				
< 5.7	95	¹ LOREDO	02	ASTR	SN1987A
< 2.5	95	² LOBASHEV	99	SPEC	³ H β decay
< 2.8	95	³ WEINHEIMER	99	SPEC	³ H β decay
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the	following	data for averages	, fits,	limits,	etc. ● ● ●
<21.7	90	⁴ ARNABOLDI	03 A	BOLO	187 Re eta -decay
< 4.35	95	⁵ BELESEV	95	SPEC	3 H eta decay
<12.4	95	⁶ CHING	95	SPEC	${}^{3}Heta$ decay
<92	95	⁷ HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC	3 H eta decay
$15 \begin{array}{c} +32 \\ -15 \end{array}$		HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC	3 H eta decay
<19.6	95	KERNAN	95	ASTR	SN 1987A
< 7.0	95	⁸ STOEFFL	95	SPEC	³ H β decay
< 7.2	95	⁹ WEINHEIMER	93	SPEC	³ H β decay
<11.7	95	¹⁰ HOLZSCHUH	9 2B	SPEC	3 H eta decay
<13.1	95	¹¹ KAWAKAMI	91	SPEC	³ H β decay
< 9.3	95	¹² ROBERTSON	91	SPEC	³ H β decay
<14	95	AVIGNONE	90	ASTR	SN 1987A
<16		SPERGEL	88	ASTR	SN 1987A
17 to 40		¹³ BORIS	87	SPEC	3 H eta decay

¹LOREDO 02 updates LOREDO 89.

²LOBASHEV 99 report a new measurement which continues the work reported in BELE-SEV 95. This limit depends on phenomenological fit parameters used to derive their best fit to $m_{1,2}^2$, making unambiguous interpretation difficult. See the footnote under " $\overline{\nu}$ Mass Squared."

 3 WEINHEIMER 99 presents two analyses which exclude the spectral anomaly and result in an acceptable m_{ij}^2 . We report the most conservative limit, but the other (< 2.7 eV) is nearly the same. See the footnote under " $\overline{\nu}$ Mass Squared."

⁴ARNABOLDI 03A *etal*. report kinematical neutrino mass limit using β -decay of ¹⁸⁷Re. Bolometric Ag ReO_4 micro-calorimeters are used. Mass bound is substantially weaker than those derived from tritium β -decays but has different systematic uncertainties.

 $^5\,{\sf BELESEV}$ 95 (Moscow) use an integral electrostatic spectrometer with adiabatic magnetic collimation and a gaseous tritium sources. A fit to a normal Kurie plot above 18300–18350 eV (to avoid a low-energy anomaly) plus a monochromatic line 7–15 eV below the endpoint yields $m_{\nu}^2=-4.1\pm10.9~{\rm eV}^2$, leading to this Bayesian limit.

 6 CHING 95 quotes results previously given by SUN 93; no experimental details are given. A possible explanation for consistently negative values of m_{ν}^2 is given.

⁷ HIDDEMANN 95 (Munich) experiment uses atomic tritium embedded in a metal-dioxide lattice. Bayesian limit calculated from the weighted mean $m_{\mu}^2 = 221 \pm 4244 \text{ eV}^2$ from the two runs listed below.

⁸STOEFFL 95 (LLNL) result is the Bayesian limit obtained from the m_{ν}^2 errors given below but with $m_{
u}^2$ set equal to 0. The anomalous endpoint accumulation leads to a value of m_{μ}^2 which is negative by more than 5 standard deviations.

 9 WEINHEIMER 93 (Mainz) is a measurement of the endpoint of the tritium eta spectrum using an electrostatic spectrometer with a magnetic guiding field. The source is molecular tritium frozen onto an aluminum substrate.

¹⁰ HOLZSCHUH 92B (Zurich) result is obtained from the measurement $m_{\mu}^2 = -24 \pm 48 \pm 61$ $(1\sigma \text{ errors})$, in eV², using the PDG prescription for conversion to a limit in m_{μ} .

 11 KAWAKAMI 91 (Tokyo) experiment uses tritium-labeled arachidic acid. This result is the Bayesian limit obtained from the m_{ν}^2 limit with the errors combined in quadrature. This was also done in ROBERTSON 91, although the authors report a different procedure.

 12 ROBERTSON 91 (LANL) experiment uses gaseous molecular tritium. The result is in strong disagreement with the earlier claims by the ITEP group [LUBIMOV 80, BORIS 87 (+ BORIS 88 erratum)] that m_{ν} lies between 17 and 40 eV. However, the probability of a positive m^2 is only 3% if statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature. ¹³ See also comment in BORIS 87B and erratum in BORIS 88.

$\overline{\nu}$ MASS SQUARED

Given troubling systematics which result in improbably negative estimators of $m_{\nu}^{2(\text{eff})}$ in many experiments, we use only WEINHEIMER 99 and LOBASHEV 99 for our average, as discussed above in the Note on the "Electron, muon, and tau neutrino listings."

VALUE ((eV^2)			CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
- 2.	5±	3.	3 OUR A	VERAGE				
- 1.9	$9\pm$	3.4	4± 2.2		¹⁴ LOBASHEV	99	SPEC	3 H eta decay
- 3.7	$7\pm$	5.3	$3\pm$ 2.1		¹⁵ WEINHEIMER	99	SPEC	3 H eta decay
• • • `	We c	lo no	ot use the	e followin	g data for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. ● ● ●
- 22	±	4.8	3		¹⁶ BELESEV	95	SPEC	3 H eta decay
129	± 60	010			¹⁷ HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC	3 H eta decay
313	± 59	994			¹⁷ HIDDEMANN	95	SPEC	3 H eta decay
-130	\pm	20	± 15	95	¹⁸ STOEFFL	95	SPEC	3 H eta decay
- 31	\pm	75	± 48		¹⁹ SUN	93	SPEC	3 H eta decay
- 39	\pm	34	± 15		²⁰ WEINHEIMER	93	SPEC	3 H eta decay
- 24	\pm	48	± 61		²¹ HOLZSCHUH	9 2B	SPEC	3 H eta decay
- 65	\pm	85	± 65		²² KAWAKAMI	91	SPEC	3 H eta decay
-147	\pm	68	± 41		²³ ROBERTSON	91	SPEC	3 H eta decay

 14 LOBASHEV 99 report a new measurement which continues the work reported in BELE-SEV 95. The data were corrected for electron trapping effects in the source, eliminating the dependence of the fitted neutrino mass on the fit interval. The analysis assuming a pure beta spectrum yields significantly negative fitted $m_{\mu}^2 \approx -(20-10) \text{ eV}^2$. This problem is attributed to a discrete spectral anomaly of about 6×10^{-11} intensity with a time-dependent energy of 5-15 eV below the endpoint. The data analysis accounts for this anomaly by introducing two extra phenomenological fit parameters resulting in a best fit of $m_{\nu}^2 = -1.9 \pm 3.4 \pm 2.2 \text{ eV}^2$ which is used to derive a neutrino mass limit.

However, the introduction of phenomenological fit parameters which are correlated with the derived m_{ij}^2 limit makes unambiguous interpretation of this result difficult.

- ¹⁵ WEINHEIMER 99 is a continuation of the work reported in WEINHEIMER 93 . Using a lower temperature of the frozen tritium source eliminated the dewetting of the T_2 film, which introduced a dependence of the fitted neutrino mass on the fit interval in the earlier work. An indication for a spectral anomaly reported in LOBASHEV 99 has been seen, but its time dependence does not agree with LOBASHEV 99. Two analyses, which exclude the spectral anomaly either by choice of the analysis interval or by using a particular data set which does not exhibit the anomaly, result in acceptable m_{ν}^2 fits and are used to derive the neutrino mass limit published by the authors. We list the most conservative of the two.
- ¹⁶ BELESEV 95 (Moscow) use an integral electrostatic spectrometer with adiabatic magnetic collimation and a gaseous tritium sources. This value comes from a fit to a normal Kurie plot above 18300–18350 eV (to avoid a low-energy anomaly), including the effects of an apparent peak 7–15 eV below the endpoint.
- ¹⁷ HIDDEMANN 95 (Munich) experiment uses atomic tritium embedded in a metal-dioxide lattice. They quote measurements from two data sets.
- ¹⁸ STOEFFL 95 (LLNL) uses a gaseous source of molecular tritium. An anomalous pileup of events at the endpoint leads to the negative value for m_{ν}^2 . The authors acknowledge

that "the negative value for the best fit of m_{ν}^2 has no physical meaning" and discuss possible explanations for this effect.

- $^{19}{\rm SUN}$ 93 uses a tritiated hydrocarbon source. See also CHING 95.
- ²⁰ WEINHEIMER 93 (Mainz) is a measurement of the endpoint of the tritium β spectrum using an electrostatic spectrometer with a magnetic guiding field. The source is molecular tritium frozen onto an aluminum substrate.
- ²¹ HOLZSCHUH 92B (Zurich) source is a monolayer of tritiated hydrocarbon.
- ²² KAWAKAMI 91 (Tokyo) experiment uses tritium-labeled arachidic acid.
- ²³ ROBERTSON 91 (LANL) experiment uses gaseous molecular tritium. The result is in strong disagreement with the earlier claims by the ITEP group [LUBIMOV 80, BORIS 87 (+ BORIS 88 erratum)] that m_{ν} lies between 17 and 40 eV. However, the probability of a positive m_{ν}^2 is only 3% if statistical and systematic error are combined in quadrature.

ν MASS

These are measurement of m_{ν} (in contrast to $m_{\overline{\nu}}$, given above). The masses can be different for a Dirac neutrino in the absence of CPT invariance. The possible distinction between ν and $\overline{\nu}$ properties is usually ignored elsewhere in these Listings.

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 460	68	YASUMI	94	CNTR	¹⁶³ Ho decay
< 225	95	SPRINGER	87	CNTR	¹⁶³ Ho decay
• • • We do not use the	following d	ata for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
$< 4.5 \times 10^5$	90	CLARK	74	ASPK	K _{e3} decay
<4100	67	BECK	68	CNTR	²² Na decay

ν CHARGE

VALUE (units: electron charge)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following	g data for averages	, fits	, limits,	etc. • • •
$< 2 \times 10^{-14}$	²⁴ RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
$< 6 \times 10^{-14}$	²⁵ RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Solar cooling
$< 2 \times 10^{-15}$	²⁶ BARBIELLINI	87	ASTR	SN 1987A
$< 1 \times 10^{-13}$	BERNSTEIN	63	ASTR	Solar energy losses

 24 This RAFFELT 99 limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<5 keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants.

 25 This RAFFELT 99 limit is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun, and applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<1 keV) to be emitted from the sun.

²⁶ Precise BARBIELLINI 87 limit depends on assumptions about the intergalactic or galactic magnetic fields and about the direct distance and time through the field.

ν MEAN LIFE

Measures $\left[\sum |U_{\ell j}|^2 \Gamma_j\right]^{-1}$, where the sum is over mass eigenstates which cannot be resolved experimentally. In most cases the limit pertains to any decaying neutrino. See footnotes for qualifications and exceptions.

VALUE (s)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the	following	g data for averages,	, fits,	limits,	etc. ● ● ●
		²⁷ BILLER	98	ASTR	$m_{ m v} = 0.05 - 1 { m eV}$
		²⁸ COWSIK	89	ASTR	$m_{ u}^{ m r}=$ 1–50 MeV
		²⁹ RAFFELT	89	RVUE	$\overline{\nu}$ (Dirac, Majorana)
		³⁰ RAFFELT	89 B	ASTR	
>278	90	³¹ LOSECCO	87 B	IMB	
$> 1.1 \times 10^{25}$		³² HENRY	81	ASTR	$m_{ m v} = 16-20 { m eV}$
$> 10^{22} - 10^{23}$		³³ KIMBLE	81	ASTR	$m_{\nu} = 10 - 100 \text{ eV}$

 27 BILLER 98 use the observed TeV $\gamma\text{-ray}$ spectra to set limits on the mean life of any radiatively decaying neutrino between 0.05 and 1 eV. Curve shows $\tau_{\nu}/\text{B}_{\gamma} > 0.15 \times 10^{21}$ s

at 0.05 eV, $> 1.2 \times 10^{21}$ s at 0.17 eV, $> 3 \times 10^{21}$ s at 1 eV, where B_{γ} is the branching ratio to photons.

 28 COWSIK 89 use observations of supernova SN 1987A to set the limit for the lifetime of a neutrino with 1 < m < 50 MeV decaying through $\nu_{H} \rightarrow ~\nu\,e\,e$ to be $\tau ~>~ 4 \times 10^{15}$ exp(-m/5 MeV) s.

²⁹ RAFFELT 89 uses KYULDJIEV 84 to obtain $\tau m^3 > 3 \times 10^{18}$ s eV³ (based on $\overline{\nu}e^-$ cross sections). The bound is not valid if electric and magnetic transition moments are equal for Dirac neutrinos.

 30 RAFFELT 89B analyze stellar evolution and exclude the region 3 \times 10 12 < τm^3 < 3 \times 10 21 s eV 3 .

 31 LOSECCO 87B assumes observed rate of 2.1 SNU (solar neutrino units) comes from sun while 7.0 \pm 3.0 is theory.

 32 HENRY 81 uses UV flux from clusters of galaxies to find limit for radiative decay.

³³ KIMBLE 81 uses extreme UV flux limits.

ν (MEAN LIFE) / MASS

Measures $\left[\sum |U_{ej}|^2 \Gamma_j m_j\right]^{-1}$, where the sum is over mass eigenstates which cannot be resolved experimentally. For many of the ASTR papers (RAFFELT 85 excepted), the limit applies to any ν in the indicated mass range.

VAL	UE (s/eV)		CL%		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
>	7	× 10 ⁹		34	RAFFELT	85	ASTR	
>	300		90	35	REINES	74	CNTR	$\overline{\nu}$
• •	• We d	lo not use the	follow	ing da	ata for averages,	, fits,	limits,	etc. • • •
>	0.004		90	36	AHARMIM	04	SNO	quasidegen. $ u$ masses
>	4.4	imes 10 ⁻⁵	90	36	AHARMIM	04	SNO	hierarchical $ u$ masses
\gtrsim	100		95	37	CECCHINI	04	ASTR	Radiative decay for ν
>	0.067		90	38	EGUCHI	04	KLND	quasidegen. ν masses
>	1.1	imes 10 ⁻³	90	38	EGUCHI	04	KLND	hierarchical $ u$ masses
>	8.7	imes 10 ⁻⁵	99	39	BANDYOPA	03	FIT	nonradiative decay
\geq 4	200		90	40	DERBIN	0 2B	CNTR	Solar pp and Be ν
>	2.8	imes 10 ⁻⁵	99	41	JOSHIPURA	0 2B	FIT	nonradiative decay
>	2.8	imes 10 ¹⁵	4	42,43	BLUDMAN	92	ASTR	$m_{\nu} < 50 \text{ eV}$
>	6.4		90	44	KRAKAUER	91	CNTR	ν at LAMPF
>	6.3	imes 10 ¹⁵	4	43,45	CHUPP	89	ASTR	$m_{ m v} < 20 { m eV}$
>	1.7	imes 10 ¹⁵		43	KOLB	89	ASTR	$m_{\nu} < 20 \text{ eV}$
>	8.3	imes 10 ¹⁴		46	VONFEILIT	88	ASTR	-
>	22		68	47	OBERAUER	87		$\overline{\nu}_R$ (Dirac)
>	38		68	47	OBERAUER	87		$\overline{\nu}$ (Majorana)
>	59		68	47	OBERAUER	87		$\overline{\nu}_L$ (Dirac)
>	30		68		KETOV	86	CNTR	$\overline{ u}$ (Dirac)
>	20		68		KETOV	86	CNTR	$\overline{ u}$ (Majorana)
>	2	imes 10 ²¹		48	STECKER	80	ASTR	$m_{ m u} =$ 10–100 eV

³⁴ RAFFELT 85 limit is from solar x- and γ -ray fluxes. Limit depends on ν flux from pp, now established from GALLEX and SAGE to be > 0.5 of expectation.

³⁵ REINES 74 looked for ν of nonzero mass decaying to a neutral of lesser mass + γ . Used liquid scintillator detector near fission reactor. Finds lab lifetime 6×10^7 s or more. Above value of (mean life)/mass assumes average effective neutrino energy of 0.2 MeV. To obtain the limit 6×10^7 s REINES 74 assumed that the full $\overline{\nu}$ reactor flux could be responsible for yielding decays with photon energies in the interval 0.1 MeV – 0.5 MeV. This represents some overestimate so their lower limit is an over-estimate of the lab lifetime (VOGEL 84). If so, OBERAUER 87 may be comparable or better.

- ³⁶AHARMIM 04 obtained these results from the solar $\overline{\nu}_e$ flux limit set by the SNO measurement assuming ν_2 decay through nonradiative process $\nu_2 \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_1 X$, where X is a Majoron or other invisible particle. Limits are given for the cases of quasidegenerate and hierarchical neutrino masses.
- ³⁷ CECCHINI 04 obtained this bound through the observations performed in the occasion of the 21 June 2001 total solar eclipse, looking for visible photons from radiative decays of solar neutrinos. Limit is a τ/m_{ν_2} in $\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu_1 \gamma$. Limit ranges from ~ 100 to 10^7 s/eV for $0.01 < m_{\nu_1} < 0.1$ eV.
- ³⁸ EGUCHI 04 obtained these results from the solar $\overline{\nu}_e$ flux limit set by the KamLAND measurement assuming ν_2 decay through nonradiative process $\nu_2 \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_1 X$, where X is a Majoron or other invisible particle. Limits are given for the cases of quasidegenerate and hierarchical neutrino masses.

- ³⁹ The ratio of the lifetime over the mass derived by BANDYOPADHYAY 03 is for ν_2 . They obtained this result using the following solar-neutrino data: total rates measured in Cl and Ga experiments, the Super-Kamiokande's zenith-angle spectra, and SNO's day and night spectra. They assumed that ν_1 is the lowest mass, stable or nearly stable neutrino state and ν_2 decays through nonradiative Majoron emission process, $\nu_2 \rightarrow \overline{\nu}_1 + J$, or through nonradiative process with all the final state particles being sterile. The best fit is obtained in the region of the LMA solution.
- ⁴⁰ DERBIN 02B (also BACK 03B) obtained this bound from the results of background measurements with Counting Test Facility (the prototype of the Borexino detector). The laboratory gamma spectrum is given as $dN_{\gamma}/d\cos\theta = (1/2) (1 + \alpha\cos\theta)$ with $\alpha = 0$ for a Majorana neutrino, and α varying to -1 to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The listed bound is for the case of $\alpha = 0$. The most conservative bound $1.5 \times 10^3 \text{ s eV}^{-1}$ is obtained for the case of $\alpha = -1$.
- ⁴¹ The ratio of the lifetime over the mass derived by JOSHIPURA 02B is for ν_2 . They obtained this result from the total rates measured in all solar neutrino experiments. They assumed that ν_1 is the lowest mass, stable or nearly stable neutrino state and ν_2 decays through nonradiative process like Majoron emission decay, $\nu_2 \rightarrow \nu'_1 + J$ where

 ν'_1 state is sterile. The exact limit depends on the specific solution of the solar neutrino problem. The quoted limit is for the LMA solution.

⁴² BLUDMAN 92 sets additional limits by this method for higher mass ranges. Cosmological limits are also obtained.

⁴³Nonobservation of γ 's in coincidence with ν 's from SN 1987A.

⁴⁴ KRAKAUER 91 quotes the limit $\tau/m_{\nu} > (0.3a^2 + 9.8a + 15.9) \text{ s/eV}$, where *a* is a parameter describing the asymmetry in the neutrino decay defined as $dN_{\gamma}/d\cos\theta = (1/2)(1 + a\cos\theta) a = 0$ for a Majorana neutrino, but can vary from -1 to 1 for a Dirac neutrino. The bound given by the authors is the most conservative (which applies for a = -1).

 45 CHUPP 89 should be multiplied by a branching ratio (about 1) and a detection efficiency (about 1/4), and pertains to radiative decay of any neutrino to a lighter or sterile neutrino.

 46 Model-dependent theoretical analysis of SN 1987A neutrinos.

⁴⁷ OBERAUER 87 bounds are from comparison of observed and expected rate of reactor neutrinos.

 $^{48}\,{\rm STECKER}$ 80 limit based on UV background; result given is $\tau>4\times10^{22}\,{\rm s}$ at $m_{\nu}=$ 20 eV.

$|(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{c}) / \mathbf{c}| (\mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{v} \text{ VELOCITY})$

Expected to be zero for massless neutrino, but tests also whether photons and neutrinos have the same limiting velocity in vacuum.

VALUE (units 10 ⁻⁸)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
<1	17	⁴⁹ STODOLSKY	88	ASTR	SN 1987A
<0.2		⁵⁰ LONGO	87	ASTR	SN 1987A

 49 STODOLSKY 88 result based on $<\!10$ hr between $\overline{\nu}$ detection in IMB and KAMI detectors and beginning of light signal. Inclusion of the problematic 5 neutrino events from Mont Blanc (four hours later) does not change the result.

 50 LONGO 87 argues that uncertainty between light and neutrino transit times is $\pm 3\,\text{hr},$ ignoring Mont Blanc events.

ν MAGNETIC MOMENT

Must vanish for a purely chiral massless Dirac neutrino. A massive Dirac or Majorana neutrino can have a transition magnetic moment connecting one mass eigenstate to another one. The experimental limits below usually cannot distinguish between the true (diagonal, in mass) magnetic moment and a transition magnetic moment. The value of the magnetic moment for the standard $SU(2) \times U(1)$ electroweak theory extended to include massive neutrinos (see FUJIKAWA 80) is $\mu_{
u} = 3eG_F m_{
u}/(8\pi^2\sqrt{2})$ = $(3.20 \times 10^{-19})m_{\nu}\mu_B$ where m_{ν} is in eV and $\mu_B = e\hbar/2m_e$ is the Bohr magneton. Given the upper bound $m_{\nu} < 3$ eV, it follows that for the extended standard electroweak theory, $\mu_{\nu} <~1 \times 10^{-18}~\mu_B.$ Current experiments are not yet challenging this limit. There is considerable controversy over the validity of many of the claimed upper limits on the magnetic moment from the astrophysical data. For example, VOLOSHIN 90 states that "in connection with the astrophysical limits on $\mu_{
u}$, … there is by now a general consensus that contrary to the initial claims (BAR-BIERI 88, LATTIMER 88, GOLDMAN 88, NOTZOLD 88), essentially no better than quoted limits (from previous constraints) can be derived from detection of the neutrino flux from the supernova SN1987A." See VOLOSHIN 88 and VOLOSHIN 88C.

<u>VALUE (10⁻¹⁰ μ_B)</u>	CL%		DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 1.0	90	51	DARAKTCH	03		Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$
\bullet \bullet \bullet We do not use the	followin	g d	ata for averages,	, fits,	limits,	etc. • • •
<37	95	52	GRIFOLS	04	FIT	Solar ⁸ B ν (SNO NC)
< 3.6	90	53	LIU	04	SKAM	Solar ν spectrum shape
< 1.1	90	54	LIU	04	SKAM	Solar ν spectrum shape (LMA region)
< 5.5	90	55	BACK	03 B	CNTR	Solar pp and Be ν
< 1.3	90	50	LI	03 B	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}_e$
< 2	90	57	GRIMUS	02	FIT	solar $+$ reactor (Majo- rana $ u$)
< 0.01–0.04		58	AYALA	99	ASTR	$\nu_L \rightarrow \nu_R$ in SN 1987A
< 1.5	90	59	BEACOM	99	SKAM	u spectrum shape
< 0.03		60	RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
< 4		61	RAFFELT	99	ASTR	Solar cooling
< 0.62		62	ELMFORS	97	COSM	Depolarization in early universe plasma
< 1.9	95	63	DERBIN	93	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu} e \rightarrow \overline{\nu} e$
< 2.4	90	64	VIDYAKIN	92	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu} e \rightarrow \overline{\nu} e$
<10.8	90	65	KRAKAUER	90	CNTR	LAMPF $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$
< 0.02		66	RAFFELT	90	ASTR	Red giant luminosity
< 0.1		67	RAFFELT	89 B	ASTR	Cooling helium stars
		68	FUKUGITA	88	COSM	Primordial magn. fields
≤ .3		67	RAFFELT	88 B	ASTR	He burning stars
< 0.11		67	FUKUGITA	87	ASTR	Cooling helium stars
< 0.1–0.2			MORGAN	81	COSM	⁴ He abundance
< 0.85		~~~	BEG	78	ASTR	Stellar plasmons
< 0.6		69	SUTHERLAND	76	ASTR	$\begin{array}{l} {\sf Red \ giants} \ + \ {\sf degenerate} \\ {\sf dwarfs} \end{array}$
< 1			BERNSTEIN	63	ASTR	Solar cooling
<14			COWAN	57	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu}$

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Created: 6/24/2005 17:12

- ⁵¹ Search for non-standard $\overline{\nu}_e$ -e scattering component at Bugey nuclear reactor. Full kinematical event reconstruction by use of TPC. Most stringent laboratory limit on magnetic _____ moment.
- ⁵² GRIFOLS 04 obtained this bound using the SNO data of the solar ⁸B neutrino flux measured with deuteron breakup. This bound applies to $\mu_{\text{eff}} = (\mu_{21}^2 + \mu_{22}^2 + \mu_{23}^2)^{1/2}$.
- ⁵³LIU 04 obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Super-Kamiokande-I 1496 days of solar neutrino data. Neutrinos are assumed to have only diagonal magnetic moments, $\mu_{\nu 1} = \mu_{\nu 2}$. This limit corresponds to the oscillation parameters in the vacuum oscillation region.
- ⁵⁴LIU 04 obtained this limit using the shape of the recoil electron energy spectrum from the Super-Kamiokande-I 1496 live-day solar neutrino data, by limiting the oscillation parameter region in the LMA region allowed by solar neutrino experiments plus KamLAND. $\mu_{\nu 1} = \mu_{\nu 2}$ is assumed. In the LMA region, the same limit would be obtained even if neutrinos have off-diagonal magnetic moments.
- 55 BACK 03B obtained this bound from the results of background measurements with Counting Test Facility (the prototype of the Borexino detector). Standard Solar Model flux was assumed. This μ_{ν} can be different from the reactor μ_{ν} in certain oscillation scenarios (see BEACOM 99).
- 56 LI 03B used Ge detector in active shield near nuclear reactor to test for nonstandard $\overline{\nu}_e\text{-}e$ scattering.
- 57 GRIMUS 02 obtain stringent bounds on all Majorana neutrino transition moments from a simultaneous fit of LMA-MSW oscillation parameters and transition moments to global solar neutrino data + reactor data. Using only solar neutrino data, a 90% CL bound of $6.3 \times 10^{-10} \mu_B$ is obtained.
- ⁵⁸ AYALA 99 improves the limit of BARBIERI 88.
- ⁵⁹ BEACOM 99 obtain the limit using the shape, but not the absolute magnitude which is affected by oscillations, of the solar neutrino spectrum obtained by Superkamiokande (825 days). This μ_{ν} can be different from the reactor μ_{ν} in certain oscillation scenarios.
- ⁶⁰ RAFFELT 99 is an update of RAFFELT 90. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (< 5 keV) to be emitted from globular-cluster red giants. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole moments and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.</p>
- ⁶¹ RAFFELT 99 is essentially an update of BERNSTEIN 63, but is derived from the helioseismological limit on a new energy-loss channel of the Sun. This limit applies to all neutrino flavors which are light enough (<1 keV) to be emitted from the Sun. This limit pertains equally to electric dipole and magnetic transition moments, and it applies to both Dirac and Majorana neutrinos.
- ⁶² ELMFORS 97 calculate the rate of depolarization in a plasma for neutrinos with a magnetic moment and use the constraints from a big-bang nucleosynthesis on additional degrees of freedom.
- ⁶³ DERBIN 93 determine the cross section for 0.6–2.0 MeV electron energy as $(1.28 \pm 0.63) \times \sigma_{weak}$. However, the (reactor on reactor off)/(reactor off) is only $\sim 1/100$.
- ⁶⁴ VIDYAKIN 92 limit is from a $e\overline{\nu}_e$ elastic scattering experiment. No experimental details are given except for the cross section from which this limit is derived. Signal/noise was 1/10. The limit uses $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$ as input.
- ⁶⁵ KRAKAUER 90 experiment fully reported in ALLEN 93.
- ⁶⁶ RAFFELT 90 limit applies for a diagonal magnetic moment of a Dirac neutrino, or for a transition magnetic moment of a Majorana neutrino. In the latter case, the same analysis gives $< 1.4 \times 10^{-12}$. Limit at 95%CL obtained from δM_c .
- ⁶⁷ Significant dependence on details of stellar models.
- ⁶⁸ FUKUGITA 88 find magnetic dipole moments of any two neutrino species are bounded by $\mu < 10^{-16} [10^{-9} G/B_0]$ where B_0 is the present-day intergalactic field strength. ⁶⁹ We obtain above limit from SUTHERLAND 76 using their limit f < 1/3.
- HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

NONSTANDARD CONTRIBUTIONS TO NEUTRINO SCATTERING

We report limits on the so-called neutrino charge radius squared. While the straight-forward definition of a neutrino charge radius has been proven to be gauge-dependent and, hence, unphysical (LEE 77C), there have been recent attempts to define a physically observable neutrino charge radius (BERNABEU 00, BERNABEU 02). The issue is still controversial (FU-JIKAWA 03, BERNABEU 03). A more general interpretation of the experimental results is that they are limits on certain nonstandard contributions to neutrino scattering.

VAL	$UE (10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2)$	CL%	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
_	-2.97 to 4.14	90	70 AUERBACH	01	LSND	$\nu_e e \rightarrow \nu_e e$
• •	• We do not us	e the followin	g data for averages	, fits,	limits,	etc. • • •
	$0.9 \hspace{0.1in} \pm 2.7$		ALLEN	93	CNTR	LAMPF $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$
<	2.3	95	MOURAO	92	ASTR	HOME/KAM2 $ u$ rates
<	7.3	90	⁷¹ VIDYAKIN	92	CNTR	Reactor $\overline{\nu} e \rightarrow \overline{\nu} e$
	$1.1 \hspace{0.1in} \pm 2.3 \hspace{0.1in}$		ALLEN	91	CNTR	Repl. by ALLEN 93
			⁷² GRIFOLS	89 B	ASTR	SN 1987A

 70 AUERBACH 01 measure $\nu_e\,e$ elastic scattering with LSND detector. The cross section agrees with the Standard Model expectation, including the charge and neutral current interference. The 90% CL applies to the range shown.

 71 VIDYAKIN 92 limit is from a $e\overline{\nu}$ elastic scattering experiment. No experimental details are given except for the cross section from which this limit is derived. Signal/noise was

1/10. The limit uses $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$ as input.

⁷² GRIFOLS 89B sets a limit of $\langle r^2 \rangle < 0.2 \times 10^{-32} \text{ cm}^2$ for right-handed neutrinos.

ν_e REFERENCES

04 04	PR D70 093014 ASP 21 183	B. Aharmim <i>et al.</i> S. Cecchini <i>et al.</i>	(SNO Collab.) (BGNA+)
04	PRL 92 071301	K. Eguchi <i>et al.</i>	(RADC AUMED)
04	PL D307 104	D.W. Liu et al. (Super k	(DARC, ANIVIED)
04	PRI 01 161802	C Arnaboldi et al. (Super-r	Valillokallue Collab.)
03R	PI R563 35	$H \cap Back et al$	(Borevino, Collab.)
03	PL B555 33	A Bandyonadhyay S Choubey S Gos	wami (SAHA+)
03	hep-ph/ 0303202	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal	(0, 11, 11)
03	PL B564 190	Z. Daraktchieva <i>et al.</i>	(MUNU Collab.)
03	hep-ph/0303188	K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock	(,
03B	PRL 90 131802	H.B. Li <i>et al.</i>	(TEXONO Collab.)
02	PRL 89 101802	J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal	, ,
02B	PRL 89 229902	(erratum)J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal	
02B	JETPL 76 409	A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov	
00	I ranslated from	ZETFP /6 483.	
02 02P	NP D048 3/0	VV. Grimus <i>et al.</i>	
02B	PR D00 113008	A.S. Josnipura, E. Masso, S. Monanty	
02	PR D05 005002	I.J. Loredo, D.Q. Lamb	(ISND Callah)
00	PR D03 112001	L.D. Auerbach et al.	(LSND Collab.)
00	PR D50 111001	J. Defilabel <i>et al.</i> A Avala I.C. D'Olivo M. Torres	
00	PRI 83 5222	LE Beacom P Vogel	
99	PI R460 227	V M Lobashev et al	
99	PRPI 320 310	G.G. Raffelt	
99	PI B460 219	Ch Weinheimer <i>et al</i>	
98	PRL 80 2992	S.D. Biller <i>et al.</i>	(WHIPPLE Collab.)
97	NP B503 3	P. Elmfors <i>et al.</i>	()
95	PL B350 263	A.I. Belesev et al.	(INRM, KIAE)
95	IJMP A10 2841	C.R. Ching et al.	CST, BEIJT, CIAE
95	JPG 21 639	K.H. Hiddemann, H. Daniel, O. Schwe	ntker (MUNT)
	04 04 04 04 03A 03B 03 03 03 03 03 03B 02 02B 02B 02B 02B 02 02B 02 02B 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02 02	04 PR D70 093014 04 ASP 21 183 04 PRL 92 071301 04 PL B587 184 04 PRL 93 021802 03A PRL 91 161802 03B PL B563 35 03 PL B563 35 03 hep-ph/0303202 03 PL B564 190 03 hep-ph/0303188 03B PRL 90 131802 02 PRL 89 101802 02B PRL 89 229902 02B JETPL 76 409 Translated from 02 NP B648 376 02B PR D65 103008 01 PR D63 112001 00 PR D63 112001 99 PL B460 227 99 PL B460 219 98 PRL 80 2992 97 NP B503 3 95 PL B350 263 95 JJMP A10 2841 95 JPG 21 639	04 PR D70 093014 B. Aharmim et al. 04 ASP 21 183 S. Cecchini et al. 04 PRL 92 071301 K. Eguchi et al. () 04 PRL 92 071301 K. Eguchi et al. () 04 PRL 93 021802 D.W. Liu et al. (Super-K) 03A PRL 91 161802 C. Arnaboldi et al. () 03B PL B563 35 H.O. Back et al. () 03B PL B555 33 A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Choubey, S. Gos 03 hep-ph/0303202 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal 03 PL B564 190 Z. Daraktchieva et al. 03 hep-ph/0303188 K. Fujikawa, R. Shrock 03B PRL 89 101802 J. Bernabeu, J. Papavassiliou, J. Vidal 02B PRL 90 131802 H.B. Li et al. 02B PRL 76 409 A.V. Derbin, O.Ju. Smirnov Translated from ZETFP 76 483. S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty 02B PR D66 113008 A.S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty 02 PR D66 113008 A.S. Joshipura, E. Masso, S. Mohanty 03 PR D65 113012 J. Bernabeu et al. 04

HTTP://PDG.LBL.GOV

Page 9

Created: 6/24/2005 17:12

KERNAN	95	NP B437 243	P.J. Kernan, L.M. Krauss	(CASE)
STOEFFL	95	PRL 75 3237	W. Stoeffl, D.J. Decman	(LLNL)
YASUMI	94	PL B334 229	S. Yasumi <i>et al.</i>	(KEK. TSUK. KYOT+)
ALLEN	93	PR D47 11	R C Allen et al	(UCLIANI ANI +)
DERBIN	93	IETPI 57 768	ΔV Derbin et al	(000, 2, 112, 7, 112) (PNPI)
DERDIN	55	Translated from 7FTFP	57 755	(1111)
SUN	93	CINP 15 261	HC Sun et al	(CIAE CST BELIT)
WEINHEIMER	03	PL B300 210	C Weinheimer et al	
	90	DD D45 4720	CA Pludman	
	92 02P	DI D107 201	E Holzechub M Eritechi W/ k	(Undia (711PI)
MOUDAO	92D	FL D207 301	L. HOIZSCHUII, IVI. FRIISCHI, VV. F	
MOURAU	92	PL B285 304	A.IVI. IVIOURAO, J. PUIIdo, J.P. R	aiston (LISB, LISB I +)
VIDYAKIN	92	JETPL 55 206	G.S. Vidyakin <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)
	01	Iranslated from ZEIFP	55 212.	
ALLEN	91	PR D43 RI	R.C. Allen <i>et al.</i>	(UCI, LANL, UMD)
KAWAKAMI	91	PL B256 105	H. Kawakami <i>et al.</i>	(INUS, TOHOK, TINT+)
KRAKAUER	91	PR D44 R6	D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF E225 Collab.)
ROBERTSON	91	PRL 67 957	R.G.H. Robertson <i>et al.</i>	(LASL, LLL)
AVIGNONE	90	PR D41 682	F.T. Avignone, J.I. Collar	(SCUC)
KRAKAUER	90	PL B252 177	D.A. Krakauer <i>et al.</i>	(LAMPF E225 Collab.)
RAFFELT	90	PRL 64 2856	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
VOLOSHIN	90	NPBPS 19 433	M. Voloshin	(ITEP)
Neutrino 90	0 Conf	erence		· · · · ·
CHUPP	89	PRL 62 505	E.L. Chupp, W.T. Vestrand, C.	Reppin (UNH, MPIM)
COWSIK	89	PL B218 91	R Cowsik D.N. Schramm P H	Hoflich (WUSI TATA+)
GRIEOLS	89B	PR D40 3819	I A Grifols F Masso	(BARC)
	80	PRI 62 500	EW Kolb MS Turner	
	09	ANVAS 571 601	T L Lorodo D O Lomb	
	09	ANTAS 571 001		
RAFFELI	89	PR D39 2000	G.G. Raffelt	(PRIN, UCB)
RAFFELI	89B	APJ 336 61	G. Raffelt, D. Dearborn, J. Silk	(UCB, LLL)
BARBIERI	88	PRL 61 27	R. Barbieri, R.N. Mohapatra	(PISA, UMD)
BORIS	88	PRL 61 245 erratum	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(TEP, ASCI)
FUKUGITA	88	PRL 60 879	M. Fukugita <i>et al.</i>	(KYOTU, MPIM, UCB)
GOLDMAN	88	PRL 60 1789	I. Goldman <i>et al.</i>	(TELA)
LATTIMER	88	PRL 61 23	J.M. Lattimer, J. Cooperstein	(STON, BNL)
Also	88B	PRL 61 2633 erratum	J.M. Lattimer, J. Cooperstein	(STON, BNL)
NOTZOLD	88	PR D38 1658	D. Notzold) (MPIM)
RAFFELT	88B	PR D37 549	G.G. Raffelt. D.S.P. Dearborn	(UCB. LLL)
SPERGEI	88	PL B200_366	D.N. Spergel I.N. Bahcall	(IAS)
	88	PL B201 353	I Stodolsky	(MPIM)
VOLOSHIN	88	PL B209 360	M B Voloshin	(ITEP)
Also	88B	IETDI 47 501	M.B. Voloshin	
AISO	000	Translated from 7FTEP	A7 A21	
	880	IFTPI 68 600	M B Voloshin	(ITEP)
	88	DI B200 580	E von Foilitzsch I Oborauer	
	00	NAT 200 01	C Parhiellini C Cosconi	
	07	NAT 529 21	G. Darbiellini, G. Cocconi	
BORIS	87	PRL 58 2019	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, ASCI)
Also	88	PRL 61 245 erratum	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP, ASCI)
BORIS	81B	JETPL 45 333	S.D. Boris <i>et al.</i>	(ITEP)
	07	Iranslated from ZEIFP	45 267.	
FUKUGITA	87	PR D36 3817	M. Fukugita, S. Yazaki	(KYUTU, TOKY)
LONGO	87	PR D36 3276	M.J. Longo	(MICH)
LOSECCO	87B	PR D35 2073	J.M. LoSecco <i>et al.</i>	(IMB Collab.)
OBERAUER	87	PL B198 113	L.F. Oberauer, F. von Feilitzsch	, R.L. Mossbauer
SPRINGER	87	PR A35 679	P.T. Springer <i>et al.</i>	(LLNL)
KETOV	86	JETPL 44 146	S.N. Ketov <i>et al.</i>	(KIAE)
		Translated from ZETFP	44 114.	
RAFFELT	85	PR D31 3002	G.G. Raffelt	(MPIM)
KYULDJIEV	84	NP B243 387	A.V. Kyuldjiev	(SOFI)
VOGEL	84	PR D30 1505	P. Vogel	
HENRY	81	PRL 47 618	R.C. Henry, P.D. Feldman	(UHL)
KIMBLE	81	PRL 46 80	R. Kimble, S. Bowver, P. Jakob	sen (UCB)
MORGAN	81	PI 102B 247	I A Morgan	
FU IIK A\\/A	80	PRI 45 963	K Eujikawa R Shrock	(STON)
	80	PI 94B 266	$V \land I_{yubimov} \neq 2l$	(ITED)
	80	SIND 32 154	VS Kozik et al	
7150	00	Translated from VAE 22	V.J. INUZIN <i>EL dI.</i> 201	(ITEP)
Also	81	IFTP 54 616	VA Lyubimov et al	
JUSU	01	Translated from 7FTF 8	1 1158	(ITLF)

Citation: S. Eidelman et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B 592, 1 (2004) and 2005 partial update for edition 2006 (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)