

$K_0^*(1430)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(0^+)$$

See our minireview in the 1994 edition and in this edition under the $f_0(600)$.

$K_0^*(1430)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
1414 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE					
1455 ± 20 ± 15		ABLIKIM	05Q BES2		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
1412 ± 6		¹ ASTON	88 LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1406 ± 29		² BUGG	06 RVUE		
1456 ± 8		³ ZHENG	04 RVUE		$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 1419		⁴ BUGG	03 RVUE		11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 1440		⁵ LI	03 RVUE		11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
1459 ± 9	15090	⁶ AITALA	02 E791		$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
~ 1440		⁷ JAMIN	00 RVUE		$K p \rightarrow K p$
1436 ± 8		⁸ BARBERIS	98E OMEG		450 $p p \rightarrow p_f p_s K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
1415 ± 25		⁴ ANISOVICH	97C RVUE		11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 1450		⁹ TORNQVIST	96 RVUE		$\pi \pi \rightarrow \pi \pi, K \bar{K}, K \pi$
~ 1430		BAUBILLIER	84B HBC	-	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
~ 1425		^{10,11} ESTABROOKS	78 ASPK		13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K^\pm \pi^\pm (n, \Delta)$
~ 1450.0		MARTIN	78 SPEC		10 $K^\pm p \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi p$

¹ Uses a model for the background, without this background they get a mass 1340 MeV, where the phase shift passes 90°.

² S-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C including the κ with an s -dependent width and an Adler zero near threshold.

³ Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(800)$.

⁴ T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.

⁵ Breit-Wigner fit. Using ASTON 88.

⁶ Assuming a low-mass scalar $K\pi$ resonance, $\kappa(800)$.

⁷ T-matrix pole. Using data from ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88.

⁸ J^P not determined, could be $K_2^*(1430)$.

⁹ T-matrix pole.

¹⁰ Mass defined by pole position.

¹¹ From elastic $K\pi$ partial-wave analysis.

$K_0^*(1430)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
290 ± 21 OUR AVERAGE					
270 ± 45 ⁺³⁰ / ₋₃₅		ABLIKIM	05Q BES2		$\psi(2S) \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- K^+ K^-$
294 ± 23		ASTON	88 LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

350±40		12 BUGG	06 RVUE	
217±31		13 ZHENG	04 RVUE	$K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 316		14 BUGG	03 RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 350		15 LI	03 RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
175±17	15090	16 AITALA	02 E791	$D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$
~ 300		17 JAMIN	00 RVUE	$K p \rightarrow K p$
196±45		18 BARBERIS	98E OMEG	450 $p p \rightarrow$ $p_f p_s K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$
330±50		14 ANISOVICH	97C RVUE	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$
~ 320		19 TORNQVIST	96 RVUE	$\pi\pi \rightarrow \pi\pi, K\bar{K}, K\pi$
~ 200		BAUBILLIER	84B HBC	8.25 $K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^- p$
200 to 300		20 ESTABROOKS	78 ASPK	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow$ $K^\pm \pi^\pm (n, \Delta)$

12 S-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88, AITALA 02, and ABLIKIM 06C including the κ with an s -dependent width and an Adler zero near threshold.

13 Using ASTON 88 and assuming $K_0^*(800)$.

14 T-matrix pole. Reanalysis of ASTON 88 data.

15 Breit-Wigner fit. Using ASTON 88.

16 Assuming a low-mass scalar $K\pi$ resonance, $\kappa(800)$.

17 T-matrix pole. Using data from ESTABROOKS 78 and ASTON 88.

18 J^P not determined, could be $K_2^*(1430)$.

19 T-matrix pole.

20 From elastic $K\pi$ partial-wave analysis.

$K_0^*(1430)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \quad K\pi$	(93±10) %

$K_0^*(1430)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT	
0.93±0.04±0.09	ASTON	88	LASS	0	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ n$

$K_0^*(1430)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	06C	PL B633 681	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BUGG	06	PL B632 471	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
ABLIKIM	05Q	PR D72 092002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ZHENG	04	NP A733 235	H.Q. Zheng <i>et al.</i>	
BUGG	03	PL B572 1	D.V. Bugg	
LI	03	PR D67 034025	L. Li, B. Zou, G. Li	
AITALA	02	PRL 89 121801	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
JAMIN	00	NP B587 331	M. Jamin <i>et al.</i>	
BARBERIS	98E	PL B436 204	D. Barberis <i>et al.</i>	(Omega Expt.)
ANISOVICH	97C	PL B413 137	A.V. Anisovich, A.V. Sarantsev	
TORNQVIST	96	PRL 76 1575	N.A. Tornqvist, M. Roos	(HELS)
ASTON	88	NP B296 493	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
BAUBILLIER	84B	ZPHY C26 37	M. Baubillier <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, GLAS+)
ESTABROOKS	78	NP B133 490	P.G. Estabrooks <i>et al.</i>	(MCGI, CARL, DURH+)
MARTIN	78	NP B134 392	A.D. Martin <i>et al.</i>	(DURH, GEVA)

————— **OTHER RELATED PAPERS** —————

AUBERT,B	05N	PR D72 072003	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BUGG	05A	EPJ A25 107	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
BUGG	05B	EPJ A26 151	D.V. Bugg	(LOQM)
AUBERT,B	04O	PR D70 091103R	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT,B	04P	PR D70 092001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
SHAKIN	00	PR D62 114014	C.M. Shakin, H. Wang	
BEVEREN	99	EPJ C10 469	E. Van Beveren, G. Rupp	
OLLER	99	PR D60 099906 (erratum)	J.A. Oller <i>et al.</i>	
OLLER	99C	PR D60 074023	J.A. Oller, E. Oset	
TORNQVIST	82	PRL 49 624	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
GOLDBERG	69	PL 30B 434	J. Goldberg <i>et al.</i>	(SABRE Collab.)
TRIPPE	68	PL 28B 203	T.G. Trippe <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA)
