

$K_2(1770)$

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(2^-)$$

See our mini-review in the 2004 edition of this *Review*, PDG 04. **$K_2(1770)$ MASS**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1773±8		¹ ASTON	93	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
1743±15		TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC		40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow$ $K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
1810±20		FRAME	86	OMEG +	13 $K^+ p \rightarrow \phi K^+ p$
~ 1730		ARMSTRONG	83	OMEG -	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow 3K p$
~ 1780		² DAUM	81C	CNTR -	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
1710±15	60	CHUNG	74	HBC -	7.3 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$
1767±6		BLIEDEN	72	MMS -	11-16 $K^- p$
1730±20	306	³ FIRESTONE	72B	DBC +	12 $K^+ d$
1765±40		⁴ COLLEY	71	HBC +	10 $K^+ p \rightarrow K 2\pi N$
1740		DENEGRI	71	DBC -	12.6 $K^- d \rightarrow \bar{K} 2\pi d$
1745±20		AGUILAR-...	70C	HBC -	4.6 $K^- p$
1780±15		BARTSCH	70C	HBC -	10.1 $K^- p$
1760±15		LUDLAM	70	HBC -	12.6 $K^- p$

¹ From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- \omega$ system.² From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- 2\pi$ system.³ Produced in conjunction with excited deuteron.⁴ Systematic errors added correspond to spread of different fits. **$K_2(1770)$ WIDTH**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
186±14		⁵ ASTON	93	LASS	11 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
147±70		TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC		40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow$ $K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
140±40		FRAME	86	OMEG +	13 $K^+ p \rightarrow \phi K^+ p$
~ 220		ARMSTRONG	83	OMEG -	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow 3K p$
~ 210		⁶ DAUM	81C	CNTR -	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
110±50	60	CHUNG	74	HBC -	7.3 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$
100±26		BLIEDEN	72	MMS -	11-16 $K^- p$
210±30	306	⁷ FIRESTONE	72B	DBC +	12 $K^+ d$
90±70		⁸ COLLEY	71	HBC +	10 $K^+ p \rightarrow K 2\pi N$
130		DENEGRI	71	DBC -	12.6 $K^- d \rightarrow \bar{K} 2\pi d$
100±50		AGUILAR-...	70C	HBC -	4.6 $K^- p$
138±40		BARTSCH	70C	HBC -	10.1 $K^- p$
50 ⁺⁴⁰ ₋₂₀		LUDLAM	70	HBC -	12.6 $K^- p$

⁵ From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- \omega$ system.

⁶ From a partial wave analysis of the $K^- 2\pi$ system.

⁷ Produced in conjunction with excited deuteron.

⁸ Systematic errors added correspond to spread of different fits.

$K_2(1770)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $K \pi \pi$	
Γ_2 $K_2^*(1430) \pi$	dominant
Γ_3 $K^*(892) \pi$	seen
Γ_4 $K f_2(1270)$	seen
Γ_5 $K f_0(980)$	
Γ_6 $K \phi$	seen
Γ_7 $K \omega$	seen

$K_2(1770)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(K_2^*(1430)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$ Γ_2/Γ_1
 ($K_2^*(1430) \rightarrow K\pi$)

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 0.03	DAUM	81C	CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
~ 1.0	⁹ FIRESTONE	72B	DBC	+ 12 $K^+ d$
< 1.0	COLLEY	71	HBC	10 $K^+ p$
0.2 ± 0.2	AGUILAR-...	70C	HBC	- 4.6 $K^- p$
< 1.0	BARTSCH	70C	HBC	- 10.1 $K^- p$
1.0	BARBARO-...	69	HBC	+ 12.0 $K^+ p$

⁹ Produced in conjunction with excited deuteron.

$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$ Γ_3/Γ_1

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 0.23	DAUM	81C	CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
--------	------	-----	------	-----------------------------------

$\Gamma(K f_2(1270))/\Gamma(K\pi\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_1
 ($f_2(1270) \rightarrow \pi\pi$)

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 0.74	DAUM	81C	CNTR	63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
--------	------	-----	------	-----------------------------------

$\Gamma(K f_0(980))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen	TIKHOMIROV 03	SPEC	40.0 $\pi^- C \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 K_L^0 X$
---------------	---------------	------	--

$\Gamma(K\phi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_6/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	ARMSTRONG 83	OMEG	-	18.5 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \phi N$	

$\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					Γ_7/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
seen	OTTER 81	HBC	\pm	8.25,10,16 $K^\pm p$	
seen	CHUNG 74	HBC	-	7.3 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- \omega p$	

$K_2(1770)$ REFERENCES

PDG	04	PL B592 1	S. Eidelman <i>et al.</i>	
TIKHOMIROV	03	PAN 66 828	G.D. Tikhomirov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from YAF 66 860.		
ASTON	93	PL B308 186	D. Aston <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, NAGO, CINC, INUS)
FRAME	86	NP B276 667	D. Frame <i>et al.</i>	(GLAS)
ARMSTRONG	83	NP B221 1	T.A. Armstrong <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, CERN+)
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
OTTER	81	NP B181 1	G. Otter	(AACH3, BERL, LOIC, VIEN, BIRM+)
CHUNG	74	PL 51B 413	S.U. Chung <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
BLIEDEN	72	PL 39B 668	H.R. Blieden <i>et al.</i>	(STON, NEAS)
FIRESTONE	72B	PR D5 505	A. Firestone <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
COLLEY	71	NP B26 71	D.C. Colley <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, GLAS)
DENEGRI	71	NP B28 13	D. Denegri <i>et al.</i>	(JHU) JP
AGUILAR-...	70C	PRL 25 54	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
BARTSCH	70C	PL 33B 186	J. Bartsch <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN+)
LUDLAM	70	PR D2 1234	T. Ludlam, J. Sandweiss, A.J. Slaughter	(YALE)
BARBARO-...	69	PRL 22 1207	A. Barbaro-Galtieri <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)

OTHER RELATED PAPERS

BERLINGHIERI	67	PRL 18 1087	J.C. Berlinghieri <i>et al.</i>	(ROCH) I
CARMONY	67	PRL 18 615	D.D. Carmony, T. Hendricks, R.L. Lander	(UCSD)
JOBES	67	PL 26B 49	M. Jobes <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, BRUX)
BARTSCH	66	PL 22 357	J. Bartsch <i>et al.</i>	(AACH, BERL, CERN+)