$\Delta(1910)\ P_{31}$

$I(J^P) = \frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$ Status: ****

Most of the results published before 1975 were last included in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982). Some further obsolete results published before 1984 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

$\Delta(1910)$ BREIT-WIGNER MASS

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1870 to 1920 (≈ 1910) OUR ESTIMATE				
2067.9± 1.7	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N, \eta N$
1882 ±10	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
1910 ±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1888 ±20	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1995 ±12	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
2152	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1960.1±21.0	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
$2121.4^{+13.0}_{-14.3}$	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
1790	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1910)$ BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
190 to 270 (≈ 250) OUR ESTIMATE				
543 ± 10	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N, \eta N$
239 ± 25	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
225 ± 50	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
280 ± 50	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
713 ±465	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
760	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
152.9± 60.0	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
172.2± 37.0	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
170	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$\Delta(1910)$ POLE POSITION

REAL PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
1830 to 1880 (≈ 1855) OUR ESTIMATE				
1771	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N, \eta N$
1874	[3] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
1880±30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1880	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
1810	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
1950	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
1792 or 1801	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
200 to 500 (≈ 350) OUR ESTIMATE				
479	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N, \eta N$
283	[3] HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
200±40	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
496	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
494	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
398	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90
172 or 165	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

Δ(1910) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

VALUE (MeV)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
45	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N, \eta N$
38	HOEHLER	93	SPED	$\pi N \to \pi N$
20±4	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
53	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
37	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

PHASE θ

VALUE (°)	*DOCUMENT ID*		*TECN*	*COMMENT*
+172	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N, \eta N$
− 90±30	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−176	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
− 91	ARNDT	91	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ Soln SM90

Δ(1910) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

	Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1	$N\pi$	15–30 %
Γ_2	ΣK	
Γ_3	$N\pi\pi$	
Γ_4	$\Delta\pi$	
Γ_5	$\Delta(1232)\pi$, *P*-wave	

Γ_6	$N\rho$	
Γ_7	$N\rho$, S=3/2, P-wave	
Γ_8	$N(1440)\pi$	
Γ_9	$N(1440)\pi$, P-wave	
Γ_{10}	$N\gamma$	0.0–0.2 %
Γ_{11}	$N\gamma$, helicity=1/2	0.0–0.2 %

$\Delta(1910)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_1/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.15 to 0.3 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.239±0.001	ARNDT	06	DPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$, ηN
0.23 ±0.08	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$
0.19 ±0.03	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
0.24 ±0.06	HOEHLER	79	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.29 ±0.21	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel
0.26	ARNDT	95	DPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi$
0.17	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$
0.40	[1] CHEW	80	BPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \pi^+ p$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1910) \to \Sigma K$ $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
< 0.03	CANDLIN	84	DPWA	$\pi^+ p \to \Sigma^+ K^+$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
−0.019	LIVANOS	80	DPWA	$\pi p \to \Sigma K$

Note: Signs of couplings from $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ analyses were changed in the 1986 edition to agree with the baryon-first convention; the overall phase ambiguity is resolved by choosing a negative sign for the $\Delta(1620)$ S_{31} coupling to $\Delta(1232)\pi$.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1910) \to \Delta(1232)\pi$, P-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_5)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.06	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1910) \to N\rho$, S=3/2, P-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_7)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.29	[2] LONGACRE	77	IPWA	$\pi N \to N\pi\pi$

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{total}$ in $N\pi \to \Delta(1910) \to N(1440)\pi$, P-wave $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_9)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
−0.39±0.04	MANLEY	92	IPWA	$\pi N \to \pi N$ & $N\pi\pi$

$\Gamma(N(1440)\pi)/\Gamma_{total}$ Γ_8/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
0.56±0.07	VRANA	00	DPWA	Multichannel

Δ(1910) PHOTON DECAY AMPLITUDES

Papers on γN amplitudes predating 1981 may be found in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

Δ(1910) → $N\gamma$, helicity-1/2 amplitude $A_{1/2}$

VALUE ($GeV^{-1/2}$)	DOCUMENT ID		TECN	COMMENT
+0.003±0.014 OUR ESTIMATE				
−0.002±0.008	ARNDT	96	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.014±0.030	CRAWFORD	83	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
0.025±0.011	AWAJI	81	DPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.032±0.003	LI	93	IPWA	$\gamma N \to \pi N$

Δ(1910) FOOTNOTES

[1] CHEW 80 reports four resonances in the P_{31} wave — see also the Δ(1750). Problems with this analysis are discussed in section 2.1.11 of HOEHLER 83.

[2] LONGACRE 77 pole positions are from a search for poles in the unitarized T-matrix; the first (second) value uses, in addition to $\pi N \to N\pi\pi$ data, elastic amplitudes from a Saclay (CERN) partial-wave analysis. The other LONGACRE 77 values are from eyeball fits with Breit-Wigner circles to the T-matrix amplitudes.

[3] See HOEHLER 93 for a detailed discussion of the evidence for and the pole parameters of N and Δ resonances as determined from Argand diagrams of πN elastic partial-wave amplitudes and from plots of the speeds with which the amplitudes traverse the diagrams.

Δ(1910) REFERENCES

For early references, see Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(GWU)
PDG	06	JPG 33 1	W.-M. Yao *et al.*	(PDG Collab.)
VRANA	00	PRPL 328 181	T.P. Vrana, S.A. Dytman,, T.-S.H. Lee	(PITT+)
ARNDT	96	PR C53 430	R.A. Arndt, I.I. Strakovsky, R.L. Workman	(VPI)
ARNDT	95	PR C52 2120	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(VPI, BRCO)
HOEHLER	93	πN Newsletter 9 1	G. Hohler	(KARL)
LI	93	PR C47 2759	Z.J. Li *et al.*	(VPI)
MANLEY	92	PR D45 4002	D.M. Manley, E.M. Saleski	(KENT) IJP
Also		PR D30 904	D.M. Manley *et al.*	(VPI)
ARNDT	91	PR D43 2131	R.A. Arndt *et al.*	(VPI, TELE) IJP
CANDLIN	84	NP B238 477	D.J. Candlin *et al.*	(EDIN, RAL, LOWC)
CRAWFORD	83	NP B211 1	R.L. Crawford, W.T. Morton	(GLAS)
HOEHLER	83	Landolt-Boernstein 1/9B2	G. Hohler	(KARLT)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos *et al.*	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
AWAJI	81	Bonn Conf. 352	N. Awaji, R. Kajikawa	(NAGO)
Also		NP B197 365	K. Fujii *et al.*	(NAGO)
CHEW	80	Toronto Conf. 123	D.M. Chew	(LBL) IJP
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky *et al.*	(CMU, LBL) IJP
LIVANOS	80	Toronto Conf. 35	P. Livanos *et al.*	(SACL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler *et al.*	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
LONGACRE	77	NP B122 493	R.S. Longacre, J. Dolbeau	(SACL) IJP
Also		NP B108 365	J. Dolbeau *et al.*	(SACL) IJP