

$\Lambda(1830) D_{05}$

$$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{5}{2}^-) \text{ Status: } ****$$

For results published before 1973 (they are now obsolete), see our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

The best evidence for this resonance is in the $\Sigma\pi$ channel.

$\Lambda(1830)$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1810 to 1830 (≈ 1830) OUR ESTIMATE			
1831 \pm 10	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1825 \pm 10	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1825 \pm 1	KANE	74	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
1817 or 1818	¹ MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

$\Lambda(1830)$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
60 to 110 (≈ 95) OUR ESTIMATE			
100 \pm 10	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
94 \pm 10	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
119 \pm 3	KANE	74	DPWA $K^-p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
56 or 56	¹ MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

$\Lambda(1830)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
Γ_1 $N\bar{K}$	3–10 %
Γ_2 $\Sigma\pi$	35–75 %
Γ_3 $\Sigma(1385)\pi$	>15 %
Γ_4 $\Sigma(1385)\pi, D\text{-wave}$	
Γ_5 $\Lambda\eta$	

The above branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

$\Lambda(1830)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

See “Sign conventions for resonance couplings” in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_1/Γ		
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.03 to 0.10 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.08 \pm 0.03	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
0.02 \pm 0.02	ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			

0.04 ± 0.03	GOPAL	77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80
0.04 or 0.04	¹ MARTIN	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1830) \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$ $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2)^{1/2} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.17 ± 0.03	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.15 ± 0.01	KANE	74	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma \pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
-0.17 or -0.17	¹ MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1830) \rightarrow \Lambda \eta$ $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_5)^{1/2} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.044 ± 0.020	RADER	73	MPWA

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1830) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385) \pi$ $(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2} / \Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.141 ± 0.014	² CAMERON	78	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma(1385) \pi$
+0.13 ± 0.03	PREVOST	74	DPWA $K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma(1385) \pi$

Λ(1830) FOOTNOTES

- ¹ The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.
² The CAMERON 78 upper limit on G-wave decay is 0.03. The published sign has been changed to be in accord with the baryon-first convention.

Λ(1830) REFERENCES

PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
Also		PRL 38 1007	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
CAMERON	78	NP B143 189	W. Cameron <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOIC) IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MARTIN	77	NP B127 349	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock, R.G. Moorhouse	(LOUC+) IJP
Also		NP B126 266	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC)
Also		NP B126 285	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC) IJP
KANE	74	LBL-2452	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP
PREVOST	74	NP B69 246	J. Prevost <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, CERN, HEID)
RADER	73	NC 16A 178	R.K. Rader <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, HEID, CERN+)