



$$I(J^P) = 0(\frac{1}{2}^+) \text{ Status: } ****$$

The parity of the  $\Lambda_c^+$  is defined to be positive (as are the parities of the proton, neutron, and  $\Lambda$ ). The quark content is  $udc$ . The spin  $J$  has not actually been measured. Results of an analysis of  $pK^-\pi^+$  decays (JEZABEK 92) are consistent with the expected  $J = 1/2$ .

We have omitted some results that have been superseded by later experiments. The omitted results may be found in earlier editions.

## $\Lambda_c^+$ MASS

Our value in 2004,  $2284.9 \pm 0.6$  MeV, was the average of the measurements now filed below as "not used." The BABAR measurement is so much better that we use it alone. Note that it is about 2.6 (old) standard deviations above the 2004 value.

The fit also includes  $\Sigma_c - \Lambda_c^+$  and  $\Lambda_c^{*+} - \Lambda_c^+$  mass-difference measurements, but this doesn't affect the  $\Lambda_c^+$  mass. The new (in 2006)  $\Lambda_c^+$  mass simply pushes all those other masses higher.

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>2286.46 ± 0.14 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>2286.46 ± 0.14</b>	4891	<sup>1</sup> AUBERT,B	05s BABR	$\Lambda K_S^0 K^+$ and $\Sigma^0 K_S^0 K^+$
• • •				We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •
2284.7 ± 0.6 ± 0.7	1134	AVERY	91 CLEO	Six modes
2281.7 ± 2.7 ± 2.6	29	ALVAREZ	90B NA14	$pK^-\pi^+$
2285.8 ± 0.6 ± 1.2	101	BARLAG	89 NA32	$pK^-\pi^+$
2284.7 ± 2.3 ± 0.5	5	AGUILAR-...	88B LEBC	$pK^-\pi^+$
2283.1 ± 1.7 ± 2.0	628	ALBRECHT	88C ARG	$pK^-\pi^+$ , $p\bar{K}^0$ , $\Lambda 3\pi$
2286.2 ± 1.7 ± 0.7	97	ANJOS	88B E691	$pK^-\pi^+$
2281 ± 3	2	JONES	87 HBC	$pK^-\pi^+$
2283 ± 3	3	BOSETTI	82 HBC	$pK^-\pi^+$
2290 ± 3	1	CALICCHIO	80 HYBR	$pK^-\pi^+$


<sup>1</sup>AUBERT,B 05s uses low-Q  $\Lambda K_S^0 K^+$  and  $\Sigma^0 K_S^0 K^+$  decays to minimize systematic errors. The error above includes systematic as well as statistical errors. Many cross checks and adjustments to properties of the BABAR detector, as well as the large number of clean events, make this by far the best measurement of the  $\Lambda_c^+$  mass.

## $\Lambda_c^+$ MEAN LIFE

Measurements with an error  $\geq 100 \times 10^{-15}$  s or with fewer than 20 events have been omitted from the Listings.

<u>VALUE (<math>10^{-15}</math> s)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>200 ± 6 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
204.6 ± 3.4 ± 2.5	8034	LINK	02C FOCS	$pK^-\pi^+$
198.1 ± 7.0 ± 5.6	1630	KUSHNIR...	01 SELX	$\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$

179.6 ± 6.9 ± 4.4	4749	MAHMOOD	01	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
215 ± 16 ± 8	1340	FRABETTI	93D	E687	$\gamma \text{Be}, \Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
180 ± 30 ± 30	29	ALVAREZ	90	NA14	$\gamma, \Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+$
200 ± 30 ± 30	90	FRABETTI	90	E687	$\gamma \text{Be}, \Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+$
196 <sup>+23</sup> <sub>-20</sub>	101	BARLAG	89	NA32	$pK^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$
220 ± 30 ± 20	97	ANJOS	88B	E691	$pK^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$



### $\Lambda_c^+$ DECAY MODES

Nearly all branching fractions of the  $\Lambda_c^+$  are measured relative to the  $pK^- \pi^+$  mode, but there are no model-independent measurements of this branching fraction. We explain how we arrive at our value of  $B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^- \pi^+)$  in a Note at the beginning of the branching-ratio measurements, below. When this branching fraction is eventually well determined, all the other branching fractions will slide up or down proportionally as the true value differs from the value we use here.

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )	Scale factor/ Confidence level
------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------------

**Hadronic modes with a  $p$ :  $S = -1$  final states**

$\Gamma_1$	$p\bar{K}^0$		( 2.3 $\pm$ 0.6 ) %
$\Gamma_2$	$pK^-\pi^+$	[a]	( 5.0 $\pm$ 1.3 ) %
$\Gamma_3$	$p\bar{K}^*(892)^0$	[b]	( 1.6 $\pm$ 0.5 ) %
$\Gamma_4$	$\Delta(1232)^{++}K^-$		( 8.6 $\pm$ 3.0 ) $\times 10^{-3}$
$\Gamma_5$	$\Lambda(1520)\pi^+$	[b]	( 1.8 $\pm$ 0.6 ) %
$\Gamma_6$	$pK^-\pi^+$ nonresonant		( 2.8 $\pm$ 0.8 ) %
$\Gamma_7$	$p\bar{K}^0\pi^0$		( 3.3 $\pm$ 1.0 ) %
$\Gamma_8$	$p\bar{K}^0\eta$		( 1.2 $\pm$ 0.4 ) %
$\Gamma_9$	$p\bar{K}^0\pi^+\pi^-$		( 2.6 $\pm$ 0.7 ) %
$\Gamma_{10}$	$pK^-\pi^+\pi^0$		( 3.4 $\pm$ 1.0 ) %
$\Gamma_{11}$	$pK^*(892)^-\pi^+$	[b]	( 1.1 $\pm$ 0.5 ) %
$\Gamma_{12}$	$p(K^-\pi^+)_{\text{nonresonant}}\pi^0$		( 3.6 $\pm$ 1.2 ) %
$\Gamma_{13}$	$\Delta(1232)\bar{K}^*(892)$	seen	
$\Gamma_{14}$	$pK^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$		( 1.1 $\pm$ 0.8 ) $\times 10^{-3}$
$\Gamma_{15}$	$pK^-\pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$		( 8 $\pm$ 4 ) $\times 10^{-3}$
$\Gamma_{16}$	$pK^-\pi^+3\pi^0$		

**Hadronic modes with a  $p$ :  $S = 0$  final states**

$\Gamma_{17}$	$p\pi^+\pi^-$		( 3.5 $\pm$ 2.0 ) $\times 10^{-3}$
$\Gamma_{18}$	$pf_0(980)$	[b]	( 2.8 $\pm$ 1.9 ) $\times 10^{-3}$
$\Gamma_{19}$	$p\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$		( 1.8 $\pm$ 1.2 ) $\times 10^{-3}$
$\Gamma_{20}$	$pK^+K^-$		( 7.7 $\pm$ 3.5 ) $\times 10^{-4}$
$\Gamma_{21}$	$p\phi$	[b]	( 8.2 $\pm$ 2.7 ) $\times 10^{-4}$
$\Gamma_{22}$	$pK^+K^-$ non- $\phi$		( 3.5 $\pm$ 1.7 ) $\times 10^{-4}$

**Hadronic modes with a hyperon:  $S = -1$  final states**

$\Gamma_{23}$	$\Lambda\pi^+$		( 1.07 $\pm$ 0.28 ) %	
$\Gamma_{24}$	$\Lambda\pi^+\pi^0$		( 3.6 $\pm$ 1.3 ) %	
$\Gamma_{25}$	$\Lambda\rho^+$		< 5	% CL=95%
$\Gamma_{26}$	$\Lambda\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$		( 2.6 $\pm$ 0.7 ) %	
$\Gamma_{27}$	$\Sigma(1385)^+\pi^+\pi^-, \Sigma^{*+} \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+$		( 7 $\pm$ 4 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{28}$	$\Sigma(1385)^-\pi^+\pi^+, \Sigma^{*-} \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^-$		( 5.5 $\pm$ 1.7 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{29}$	$\Lambda\pi^+\rho^0$		( 1.1 $\pm$ 0.5 ) %	
$\Gamma_{30}$	$\Sigma(1385)^+\rho^0, \Sigma^{*+} \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+$		( 3.7 $\pm$ 3.1 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{31}$	$\Lambda\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ nonresonant		< 8 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{32}$	$\Lambda\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ total		( 1.8 $\pm$ 0.8 ) %	
$\Gamma_{33}$	$\Lambda\pi^+\eta$	[b]	( 1.8 $\pm$ 0.6 ) %	
$\Gamma_{34}$	$\Sigma(1385)^+\eta$	[b]	( 8.5 $\pm$ 3.3 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{35}$	$\Lambda\pi^+\omega$	[b]	( 1.2 $\pm$ 0.5 ) %	
$\Gamma_{36}$	$\Lambda\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ , no $\eta$ or $\omega$		< 7 $\times 10^{-3}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{37}$	$\Lambda K^+\bar{K}^0$		( 4.7 $\pm$ 1.5 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	S=1.2
$\Gamma_{38}$	$\Xi(1690)^0K^+, \Xi^{*0} \rightarrow \Lambda\bar{K}^0$		( 1.3 $\pm$ 0.5 ) $\times 10^{-3}$	

$\Gamma_{39}$	$\Sigma^0 \pi^+$		$( 1.05 \pm 0.28 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_{40}$	$\Sigma^+ \pi^0$		$( 1.00 \pm 0.34 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_{41}$	$\Sigma^+ \eta$		$( 5.5 \pm 2.3 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{42}$	$\Sigma^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$		$( 3.6 \pm 1.0 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_{43}$	$\Sigma^+ \rho^0$		$< 1.4$	$\%$ CL=95%
$\Gamma_{44}$	$\Sigma^- \pi^+ \pi^+$		$( 1.7 \pm 0.5 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_{45}$	$\Sigma^0 \pi^+ \pi^0$		$( 1.8 \pm 0.8 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_{46}$	$\Sigma^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$		$( 8.3 \pm 3.1 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{47}$	$\Sigma^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$		—	
$\Gamma_{48}$	$\Sigma^+ \omega$	[b]	$( 2.7 \pm 1.0 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_{49}$	$\Sigma^+ K^+ K^-$		$( 2.8 \pm 0.8 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{50}$	$\Sigma^+ \phi$	[b]	$( 3.1 \pm 0.9 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{51}$	$\Xi(1690)^0 K^+, \Xi^{*0} \rightarrow$ $\Sigma^+ K^-$		$( 8.1 \pm 3.0 ) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{52}$	$\Sigma^+ K^+ K^-$ nonresonant		$< 6$	$\times 10^{-4}$ CL=90%
$\Gamma_{53}$	$\Xi^0 K^+$		$( 3.9 \pm 1.4 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{54}$	$\Xi^- K^+ \pi^+$		$( 5.1 \pm 1.4 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{55}$	$\Xi(1530)^0 K^+$	[b]	$( 2.6 \pm 1.0 ) \times 10^{-3}$	

#### Hadronic modes with a hyperon: $S = 0$ final states

$\Gamma_{56}$	$\Lambda K^+$		$( 5.0 \pm 1.6 ) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{57}$	$\Lambda K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$		$< 4$	$\times 10^{-4}$ CL=90%
$\Gamma_{58}$	$\Sigma^0 K^+$		$( 4.2 \pm 1.3 ) \times 10^{-4}$	
$\Gamma_{59}$	$\Sigma^0 K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$		$< 2.1$	$\times 10^{-4}$ CL=90%
$\Gamma_{60}$	$\Sigma^+ K^+ \pi^-$		$( 1.7 \pm 0.7 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{61}$	$\Sigma^+ K^*(892)^0$	[b]	$( 2.8 \pm 1.1 ) \times 10^{-3}$	
$\Gamma_{62}$	$\Sigma^- K^+ \pi^+$		$< 1.0$	$\times 10^{-3}$ CL=90%

#### Doubly Cabibbo-suppressed modes

$\Gamma_{63}$	$p K^+ \pi^-$		$< 2.3$	$\times 10^{-4}$ CL=90%
---------------	---------------	--	---------	-------------------------

#### Semileptonic modes

$\Gamma_{64}$	$\Lambda \ell^+ \nu_\ell$	[c]	$( 2.0 \pm 0.6 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_{65}$	$\Lambda e^+ \nu_e$		$( 2.1 \pm 0.6 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_{66}$	$\Lambda \mu^+ \nu_\mu$		$( 2.0 \pm 0.7 ) \%$	

#### Inclusive modes

$\Gamma_{67}$	$e^+$ anything		$( 4.5 \pm 1.7 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_{68}$	$p e^+$ anything		$( 1.8 \pm 0.9 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_{69}$	$\Lambda e^+$ anything			
$\Gamma_{70}$	$p$ anything		$( 50 \pm 16 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_{71}$	$p$ anything (no $\Lambda$ )		$( 12 \pm 19 ) \%$	
$\Gamma_{72}$	$p$ hadrons			
$\Gamma_{73}$	$n$ anything		$( 50 \pm 16 ) \%$	

$\Gamma_{74}$	$n$ anything (no $\Lambda$ )	(29 $\pm$ 17 ) %	
$\Gamma_{75}$	$\Lambda$ anything	(35 $\pm$ 11 ) %	S=1.4
$\Gamma_{76}$	$\Sigma^\pm$ anything	[d] (10 $\pm$ 5 ) %	
$\Gamma_{77}$	3prongs	(24 $\pm$ 8 ) %	

**$\Delta C = 1$  weak neutral current (C1) modes, or  
Lepton number (L) violating modes**

$\Gamma_{78}$	$p\mu^+\mu^-$	C1	< 3.4	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%
$\Gamma_{79}$	$\Sigma^-\mu^+\mu^+$	L	< 7.0	$\times 10^{-4}$	CL=90%

- [a] See the note on " $\Lambda_c^+$  Branching Fractions" below.
- [b] This branching fraction includes all the decay modes of the final-state resonance.
- [c] An  $\ell$  indicates an  $e$  or a  $\mu$  mode, not a sum over these modes.
- [d] The value is for the sum of the charge states or particle/antiparticle states indicated.

**CONSTRAINED FIT INFORMATION**

An overall fit to 18 branching ratios uses 33 measurements and one constraint to determine 12 parameters. The overall fit has a  $\chi^2 = 15.5$  for 22 degrees of freedom.

The following *off-diagonal* array elements are the correlation coefficients  $\langle \delta x_i \delta x_j \rangle / (\delta x_i \delta x_j)$ , in percent, from the fit to the branching fractions,  $x_i \equiv \Gamma_i / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ . The fit constrains the  $x_i$  whose labels appear in this array to sum to one.

$x_{23}$	96									
$x_{26}$	97	93								
$x_{37}$	82	83	80							
$x_{39}$	95	98	92	82						
$x_{42}$	93	90	91	77	88					
$x_{44}$	82	79	80	68	78	80				
$x_{46}$	69	66	70	57	66	65	57			
$x_{49}$	88	85	86	72	84	93	75	61		
$x_{50}$	85	82	83	70	81	90	72	59	84	
$x_{54}$	93	96	90	80	94	87	77	64	82	79
	$x_2$	$x_{23}$	$x_{26}$	$x_{37}$	$x_{39}$	$x_{42}$	$x_{44}$	$x_{46}$	$x_{49}$	$x_{50}$

A REVIEW GOES HERE – Check our WWW List of Reviews

## $\Lambda_c^+$ BRANCHING RATIOS

### ————— Hadronic modes with a $p$ : $S = -1$ final states —————

#### $\Gamma(p\bar{K}^0)/\Gamma(pK^-\pi^+)$ $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.47±0.04 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.46±0.02±0.04	1025	ALAM	98	CLE2 $e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.44±0.07±0.05	133	AVERY	91	CLEO $e^+e^-$ 10.5 GeV
0.55±0.17±0.14	45	ANJOS	90	E691 $\gamma$ Be 70–260 GeV
0.62±0.15±0.03	73	ALBRECHT	88C	ARG $e^+e^-$ 10 GeV

#### $\Gamma(pK^-\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ $\Gamma_2/\Gamma$

See the note on " $\Lambda_c^+$  Branching Fractions" above.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.050±0.013 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.050±0.013</b>		PDG	02	See note at top of ratios
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••				
0.050±0.005±0.012	1205	<sup>2</sup> JAFFE	00	CLE2 $e^+e^-$ 10.52–10.58 GeV
0.041±0.010		<sup>3,4</sup> ALBRECHT	920	ARG $e^+e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.044±0.012		<sup>3,5</sup> CRAWFORD	92	CLEO $e^+e^-$ 10.5 GeV

<sup>2</sup> JAFFE 00 assumes that a  $\bar{D}$  meson and an antiproton in opposite hemispheres tags for a  $\Lambda_c^+$  in the hemisphere of the  $\bar{p}$ . The fraction of such  $\bar{D}\bar{p}$  events with a  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$  decay then gives the  $pK^-\pi^+$  branching fraction. See the paper for assumptions, caveats, etc.

<sup>3</sup> To extract  $\Gamma(pK^-\pi^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ , we use  $B(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X) \cdot B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+) = (0.28 \pm 0.06)\%$ , which is the average of measurements from ARGUS (ALBRECHT 88C) and CLEO (CRAWFORD 92).

<sup>4</sup> ALBRECHT 920 measures  $B(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X) = (6.8 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3)\%$ .

<sup>5</sup> CRAWFORD 92 measures  $B(\bar{B} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X) = (6.4 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.8)\%$ .

#### $\Gamma(p\bar{K}^*(892)^0)/\Gamma(pK^-\pi^+)$ $\Gamma_3/\Gamma_2$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\bar{K}^*(892)^0$  are included.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.31±0.04 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.29±0.04±0.03		<sup>6</sup> AITALA	00	E791 $\pi^- N$ , 500 GeV
0.35 <sup>+0.06</sup> <sub>-0.07</sub> ±0.03	39	BOZEK	93	NA32 $\pi^-$ Cu 230 GeV
0.42±0.24	12	BASILE	81B	CNTR $pp \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ e^- X$
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••				
0.35±0.11		BARLAG	90D	NA32 See BOZEK 93

<sup>6</sup> AITALA 00 makes a coherent 5-dimensional amplitude analysis of  $946 \pm 38 \Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+$  decays.

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232)^{++}K^-)/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_4/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.17±0.04 OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
0.18±0.03±0.03		<sup>7</sup> AITALA	00 E791	$\pi^- N$ , 500 GeV
0.12 <sup>+0.04</sup> <sub>-0.05</sub> ±0.05	14	BOZEK	93 NA32	$\pi^- Cu$ 230 GeV
0.40±0.17	17	BASILE	81B CNTR	$pp \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ e^- X$

<sup>7</sup> AITALA 00 makes a coherent 5-dimensional amplitude analysis of  $946 \pm 38 \Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \rho K^- \pi^+$  decays.

$\Gamma(\Lambda(1520)\pi^+)/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_5/\Gamma_2$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\Lambda(1520)$  are included.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.35±0.08 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.34±0.08±0.05		<sup>8</sup> AITALA	00 E791	$\pi^- N$ , 500 GeV
0.40 <sup>+0.18</sup> <sub>-0.13</sub> ±0.09	12	BOZEK	93 NA32	$\pi^- Cu$ 230 GeV

<sup>8</sup> AITALA 00 makes a coherent 5-dimensional amplitude analysis of  $946 \pm 38 \Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \rho K^- \pi^+$  decays.

$\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+ \text{nonresonant})/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_6/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.55±0.06 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.55±0.06±0.04		<sup>9</sup> AITALA	00 E791	$\pi^- N$ , 500 GeV
0.56 <sup>+0.07</sup> <sub>-0.09</sub> ±0.05	71	BOZEK	93 NA32	$\pi^- Cu$ 230 GeV

<sup>9</sup> AITALA 00 makes a coherent 5-dimensional amplitude analysis of  $946 \pm 38 \Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \rho K^- \pi^+$  decays.

$\Gamma(\rho \bar{K}^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.66±0.05±0.07</b>	774	ALAM	98 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\rho \bar{K}^0 \eta)/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma_2$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\eta$  are included.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.25±0.04±0.04</b>	57	AMMAR	95 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\rho \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_9/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.51±0.06 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.52±0.04±0.05	985	ALAM	98 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.43±0.12±0.04	83	AVERY	91 CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10.5 GeV
0.98±0.36±0.08	12	BARLAG	90D NA32	$\pi^-$ 230 GeV

$\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.67±0.04±0.11</b>	2606	ALAM	98 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\rho K^*(892)^- \pi^+) / \Gamma(\rho \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{11} / \Gamma_9$

Unseen decay modes of the  $K^*(892)^-$  are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.44 ± 0.14</b>	17	ALEEV 94	BIS2	$nN$ 20–70 GeV

$\Gamma(\rho(K^- \pi^+)_{\text{nonresonant}} \pi^0) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{12} / \Gamma_2$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.73 ± 0.12 ± 0.05</b>	67	BOZEK 93	NA32	$\pi^-$ Cu 230 GeV

$\Gamma(\Delta(1232) \bar{K}^*(892)) / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{13} / \Gamma$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>seen</b>	35	AMENDOLIA 87	SPEC	$\gamma$ Ge-Si

$\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{14} / \Gamma_2$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.022 ± 0.015</b>	BARLAG 90D	NA32	$\pi^-$ 230 GeV

$\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+ \pi^0 \pi^0) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{15} / \Gamma_2$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.16 ± 0.07 ± 0.03</b>	15	BOZEK 93	NA32	$\pi^-$ Cu 230 GeV

$\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+ 3\pi^0) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{16} / \Gamma_2$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.10 ± 0.06 ± 0.02</b>	8	BOZEK 93	NA32	$\pi^-$ Cu 230 GeV

————— **Hadronic modes with a  $\rho$ :  $S = 0$  final states** —————

$\Gamma(\rho \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{17} / \Gamma_2$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.069 ± 0.036</b>	BARLAG 90D	NA32	$\pi^-$ 230 GeV

$\Gamma(\rho f_0(980)) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{18} / \Gamma_2$

Unseen decay modes of the  $f_0(980)$  are included.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.055 ± 0.036</b>	BARLAG 90D	NA32	$\pi^-$ 230 GeV

$\Gamma(\rho \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{19} / \Gamma_2$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.036 ± 0.023</b>	BARLAG 90D	NA32	$\pi^-$ 230 GeV

$\Gamma(\rho K^+ K^-) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{20} / \Gamma_2$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.015 ± 0.006 OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 2.1.
0.014 ± 0.002 ± 0.002	676	ABE 02C	BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.039 ± 0.009 ± 0.007	214	ALEXANDER 96C	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
<b>0.096 ± 0.029 ± 0.010</b>	30	FRABETTI 93H	E687	$\gamma$ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma$ 220 GeV
0.048 ± 0.027		BARLAG 90D	NA32	$\pi^-$ 230 GeV



$\Gamma(\rho\phi)/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma_2$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\phi$  are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.0164±0.0032 OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.2.
0.015 ±0.002 ±0.002	345	ABE	02C	BELL $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.024 ±0.006 ±0.003	54	ALEXANDER	96C	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.040 ±0.027		BARLAG	90D	NA32 $\pi^-$ 230 GeV

$\Gamma(\rho K^+ K^- \text{non-}\phi)/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{22}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.007±0.002 ±0.002</b>	344	ABE	02C	BELL $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

————— Hadronic modes with a hyperon:  $S = -1$  final states —————

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^+)/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{23}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.214±0.016 OUR FIT</b>					Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
<b>0.204±0.019 OUR AVERAGE</b>					
0.217±0.013±0.020		750	LINK	05F	FOCS $\gamma$ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
0.18 ±0.03 ±0.04			ALBRECHT	92	ARG $e^+ e^- \approx 10.4$ GeV
0.18 ±0.03 ±0.03		87	AVERY	91	CLEO $e^+ e^-$ 10.5 GeV
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
<0.33		90	ANJOS	90	E691 $\gamma$ Be 70–260 GeV
<0.16		90	ALBRECHT	88C	ARG $e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{24}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.73±0.09±0.16</b>	464	AVERY	94	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(3S), \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda\rho^+)/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{25}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b>&lt;0.95</b>		95	AVERY	94	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(3S), \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{26}/\Gamma_2$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.525±0.032 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.522±0.032 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.508±0.024±0.024	1356	LINK	05F	FOCS $\gamma$ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
0.65 ±0.11 ±0.12	289	AVERY	91	CLEO $e^+ e^-$ 10.5 GeV
0.82 ±0.29 ±0.27	44	ANJOS	90	E691 $\gamma$ Be 70–260 GeV
0.94 ±0.41 ±0.13	10	BARLAG	90D	NA32 $\pi^-$ 230 GeV
0.61 ±0.16 ±0.04	105	ALBRECHT	88C	ARG $e^+ e^-$ 10 GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \pi^+ \pi^-, \Sigma^{*+} \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{27}/\Gamma_{26}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.28±0.10±0.08</b>	LINK	05F	FOCS $\gamma$ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^- \pi^+ \pi^+, \Sigma^{*-} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^-) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{28} / \Gamma_{26}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.21±0.03±0.02</b>	LINK	05F	FOCS $\gamma$ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \rho^0) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{29} / \Gamma_{26}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.40±0.12±0.12</b>	LINK	05F	FOCS $\gamma$ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \rho^0, \Sigma^{*+} \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{30} / \Gamma_{26}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.14±0.09±0.07</b>	LINK	05F	FOCS $\gamma$ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \text{ nonresonant}) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{31} / \Gamma_{26}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;0.3</b>	90	LINK	05F	FOCS $\gamma$ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\rho \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_9 / \Gamma_{26}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.6±1.2		ALEEV	96	SPEC	$n$ nucleus, 50 GeV/c
4.3±1.2	130	ALEEV	84	BIS2	$n$ C 40–70 GeV

$\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \text{ total}) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{32} / \Gamma_2$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.36±0.09±0.09</b>	50	<sup>10</sup> CRONIN-HEN..03	CLE3	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

<sup>10</sup>CRONIN-HENNESSY 03 finds this channel to be dominately  $\Lambda \eta \pi^+$  and  $\Lambda \omega \pi^+$ ; see below.

$\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \eta) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{33} / \Gamma_2$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\eta$  are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.36±0.07 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.41±0.17±0.10	11	CRONIN-HEN..03	CLE3	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.35±0.05±0.06	116	AMMAR	95	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)^+ \eta) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{34} / \Gamma_2$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\Sigma(1385)^+$  and  $\eta$  are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.17±0.04±0.03</b>	54	AMMAR	95	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \omega) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{35} / \Gamma_2$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\omega$  are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.24±0.06±0.06</b>	32	CRONIN-HEN..03	CLE3	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0, \text{ no } \eta \text{ or } \omega) / \Gamma(\rho K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{36} / \Gamma_2$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;0.13</b>	90	CRONIN-HEN..03	CLE3	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda K^+ \bar{K}^0)/\Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.093±0.018 OUR FIT</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.7.
<b>0.131±0.020 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.142±0.018±0.022	251	LINK	05F FOCS	$\gamma$ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
0.12 ±0.02 ±0.02	59	AMMAR	95 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Xi(1690)^0 K^+, \Xi^{*0} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{K}^0)/\Gamma(\Lambda K^+ \bar{K}^0)$   $\Gamma_{38}/\Gamma_{37}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.28±0.07 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.32±0.10±0.04	84 ± 24	LINK	05F FOCS	$\gamma$ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
0.26±0.08±0.03	93	ABE	02C BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda K^+ \bar{K}^0)/\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{37}/\Gamma_{23}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.43 ±0.08 OUR FIT</b>				Error includes scale factor of 2.0.
<b>0.395±0.026±0.036</b>	460 ± 30	AUBERT	07U BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{39}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.210±0.018 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.20 ±0.04 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.21 ±0.02 ±0.04	196	AVERY	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(3S), \Upsilon(4S)$
0.17 ±0.06 ±0.04		ALBRECHT	92 ARG	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.4$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{39}/\Gamma_{23}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.98 ±0.05 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.98 ±0.05 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.977±0.015±0.051	33k	AUBERT	07U BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
1.09 ±0.11 ±0.19	750	LINK	05F FOCS	$\gamma$ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{40}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.20±0.03±0.03</b>	93	KUBOTA	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \eta)/\Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{41}/\Gamma_2$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\eta$  are included.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.11±0.03±0.02</b>	26	AMMAR	95 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{42}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.72±0.07 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.69±0.08 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.72±0.14	47 ± 9	VAZQUEZ-JA..08	SELX	$\Sigma^-$ nucleus, 600 GeV
0.74±0.07±0.09	487	KUBOTA	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.54 <sup>+0.18</sup> <sub>-0.15</sub>	11	BARLAG	92 NA32	$\pi^-$ Cu 230 GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \rho^0)/\Gamma(pK^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{43}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<0.27	95	KUBOTA	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^- \pi^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma(pK^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{44}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.33 ± 0.06 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.314 ± 0.067</b>	30 ± 6	VAZQUEZ-JA..08	SELX	$\Sigma^-$ nucleus, 600 GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^- \pi^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{44}/\Gamma_{42}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.46 ± 0.09 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.53 ± 0.15 ± 0.07</b>	56	FRABETTI	94E E687	$\gamma$ Be, $\bar{E}_\gamma$ 220 GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \pi^+ \pi^0)/\Gamma(pK^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{45}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.36 ± 0.09 ± 0.10</b>	117	AVERY	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(3S), \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(pK^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{46}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.17 ± 0.04 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.21 ± 0.05 ± 0.05</b>	90	AVERY	94 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(3S), \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{46}/\Gamma_{26}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.31 ± 0.08 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.26 ± 0.06 ± 0.09</b>	480	LINK	05F FOCS	$\gamma$ nucleus, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \omega)/\Gamma(pK^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{48}/\Gamma_2$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\omega$  are included.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.54 ± 0.13 ± 0.06</b>	107	KUBOTA	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(pK^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{49}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.056 ± 0.008 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.070 ± 0.011 ± 0.011</b>	59	AVERY	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{49}/\Gamma_{42}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.078 ± 0.009 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.074 ± 0.009 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.076 ± 0.007 ± 0.009	246	ABE	02C BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.071 ± 0.011 ± 0.011	103	LINK	02G FOCS	$\gamma$ nucleus, $\approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \phi)/\Gamma(pK^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{50}/\Gamma_2$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\phi$  are included.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.062 ± 0.010 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.069 ± 0.023 ± 0.016</b>	26	AVERY	93 CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \phi) / \Gamma(\Sigma^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{50} / \Gamma_{42}$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\phi$  are included.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.087 ± 0.012 OUR FIT</b>				
<b>0.086 ± 0.012 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.085 ± 0.012 ± 0.012	129	ABE	02C	BELL $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.087 ± 0.016 ± 0.006	57	LINK	02G	FOCS $\gamma$ nucleus, $\approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Xi(1690)^0 K^+, \Xi^{*0} \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^-) / \Gamma(\Sigma^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{51} / \Gamma_{42}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.023 ± 0.005 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.023 ± 0.005 ± 0.005	75	ABE	02C	BELL $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
0.022 ± 0.006 ± 0.006	34	LINK	02G	FOCS $\gamma$ nucleus, $\approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^+ K^- \text{ nonresonant}) / \Gamma(\Sigma^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{52} / \Gamma_{42}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;0.018</b>	90	ABE	02C	BELL $e^+ e^- \approx \Upsilon(4S)$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.028	90	LINK	02G	FOCS $\gamma$ nucleus, $\approx 180$ GeV


$\Gamma(\Xi^0 K^+) / \Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{53} / \Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.078 ± 0.013 ± 0.013</b>	56	AVERY	93	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Xi^- K^+ \pi^+) / \Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{54} / \Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.102 ± 0.010 OUR FIT</b> Error includes scale factor of 1.1.				
<b>0.098 ± 0.021 OUR AVERAGE</b> Error includes scale factor of 1.3. See the ideogram below.				
0.14 ± 0.03 ± 0.02	34	ALBRECHT	95B	ARG $e^+ e^- \approx 10.4$ GeV
0.079 ± 0.013 ± 0.014	60	AVERY	93	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV
0.15 ± 0.04 ± 0.03	30	AVERY	91	CLEO $e^+ e^- 10.5$ GeV

WEIGHTED AVERAGE  
 $0.098 \pm 0.021$  (Error scaled by 1.3)



Values above of weighted average, error, and scale factor are based upon the data in this ideogram only. They are not necessarily the same as our 'best' values, obtained from a least-squares constrained fit utilizing measurements of other (related) quantities as additional information.

			$\chi^2$
ALBRECHT	95B	ARG	1.3
AVERY	93	CLE2	1.0
AVERY	91	CLEO	1.1
			3.4
(Confidence Level = 0.180)			

$\Gamma(\Xi(1530)^0 K^+) / \Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$

$\Gamma_{55} / \Gamma_2$

Unseen decay modes of the  $\Xi(1530)^0$  are included.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>0.052 \pm 0.014</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
$0.05 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01$	11	ALBRECHT 95B	ARG	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.4$ GeV
$0.053 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.010$	24	AVERY 93	CLE2	$e^+ e^- \approx 10.5$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Xi^- K^+ \pi^+) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+)$

$\Gamma_{54} / \Gamma_{23}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>0.47 \pm 0.04</math> OUR FIT</b>				
<b><math>0.480 \pm 0.016 \pm 0.039</math></b>	$2665 \pm 84$	AUBERT	07U BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

———— Hadronic modes with a hyperon:  $S = 0$  final states ————

$\Gamma(\Lambda K^+) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+)$

$\Gamma_{56} / \Gamma_{23}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>0.047 \pm 0.009</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.8.
$0.044 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.003$	$1162 \pm 101$	AUBERT	07U BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
$0.074 \pm 0.010 \pm 0.012$	265	ABE	02C BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-) / \Gamma(\Lambda \pi^+)$

$\Gamma_{57} / \Gamma_{23}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>&lt; 4.1 \times 10^{-2}</math></b>	90	AUBERT	07U BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 K^+)/\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{58}/\Gamma_{39}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.040±0.006 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
0.038±0.005±0.003	366 ± 52	AUBERT	07U BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
0.056±0.014±0.008	75	ABE	02C BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0 K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(\Sigma^0 \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{59}/\Gamma_{39}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$<2.0 \times 10^{-2}$	90	AUBERT	07U BABR	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{60}/\Gamma_{42}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.047±0.011±0.008</b>	105	ABE	02C BELL	$e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^*(892)^0)/\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \pi^+ \pi^-)$   $\Gamma_{61}/\Gamma_{42}$

Unseen decay modes of the  $K^*(892)^0$  are included.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.078±0.018±0.013</b>	49	LINK	02G FOCS	$\gamma$ nucleus, $\approx 180$ GeV

$\Gamma(\Sigma^- K^+ \pi^+)/\Gamma(\Sigma^+ K^*(892)^0)$   $\Gamma_{62}/\Gamma_{61}$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;0.35</b>	90	LINK	02G FOCS	$\gamma$ nucleus, $\approx 180$ GeV

———— Doubly Cabibbo-suppressed modes ————

$\Gamma(p K^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{63}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>&lt;0.0046</b>	90	LINK	05K FOCS	$R = (0.05 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.02)\%$

———— Semileptonic modes ————

$\Gamma(\Lambda \ell^+ \nu_\ell)/\Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{64}/\Gamma_2$

We average here the averages of the next two data blocks.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.41±0.05 OUR AVERAGE</b>		
0.42±0.07	PDG	02 Our $\Gamma(\Lambda e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$
0.39±0.08	PDG	02 Our $\Gamma(\Lambda \mu^+ \nu_\mu)/\Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$

$\Gamma(\Lambda e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(p K^- \pi^+)$   $\Gamma_{65}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.42±0.07 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.43±0.08	11,12 BERGFELD	94	CLE2 $e^+ e^- \approx \gamma(4S)$
0.38±0.14	12,13 ALBRECHT	91G	ARG $e^+ e^- \approx 10.4$ GeV

<sup>11</sup> BERGFELD 94 measures  $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X) \cdot B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ \nu_e) = (4.87 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.69)$  pb.

<sup>12</sup> To extract  $\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+)$ , we use  $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X) \cdot B(\Lambda_c \rightarrow p K^- \pi^+) = (11.2 \pm 1.3)$  pb, which is the weighted average of measurements from ARGUS (ALBRECHT 96E) and CLEO (AVERY 91).

<sup>13</sup> ALBRECHT 91G measures  $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X) \cdot B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ \nu_e) = (4.20 \pm 1.28 \pm 0.71)$  pb.

$\Gamma(\Lambda\mu^+\nu_\mu)/\Gamma(pK^-\pi^+)$

$\Gamma_{66}/\Gamma_2$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.39±0.08 OUR AVERAGE</b>			
0.40±0.09	14,15 BERGFELD 94	CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \mathcal{Y}(4S)$
0.35±0.20	15,16 ALBRECHT 91G	ARG	$e^+e^- \approx 10.4 \text{ GeV}$
<p><sup>14</sup> BERGFELD 94 measures <math>\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X) \cdot B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\mu^+\nu_\mu) = (4.43 \pm 0.51 \pm 0.64) \text{ pb}</math>.</p> <p><sup>15</sup> To extract <math>\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\mu^+\nu_\mu)/\Gamma(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+)</math>, we use <math>\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X) \cdot B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+) = (11.2 \pm 1.3) \text{ pb}</math>, which is the weighted average of measurements from ARGUS (ALBRECHT 96E) and CLEO (AVERY 91).</p> <p><sup>16</sup> ALBRECHT 91G measures <math>\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ X) \cdot B(\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\mu^+\nu_\mu) = (3.91 \pm 2.02 \pm 0.90) \text{ pb}</math>.</p>			

**Inclusive modes**

$\Gamma(e^+ \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{67}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.045±0.017</b>	VELLA 82	MRK2	$e^+e^- 4.5\text{--}6.8 \text{ GeV}$

$\Gamma(pe^+ \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{68}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.018±0.009</b>	<sup>17</sup> VELLA 82	MRK2	$e^+e^- 4.5\text{--}6.8 \text{ GeV}$

<sup>17</sup> VELLA 82 includes protons from  $\Lambda$  decay.

$\Gamma(\Lambda e^+ \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{69}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>•••</b>			We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••

0.011±0.008	<sup>18</sup> VELLA 82	MRK2	$e^+e^- 4.5\text{--}6.8 \text{ GeV}$
-------------	------------------------	------	--------------------------------------

<sup>18</sup> VELLA 82 includes  $\Lambda$ 's from  $\Sigma^0$  decay.

$\Gamma(p \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{70}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.50±0.08±0.14</b>	<sup>19</sup> CRAWFORD 92	CLEO	$e^+e^- 10.5 \text{ GeV}$

<sup>19</sup> This CRAWFORD 92 value includes protons from  $\Lambda$  decay. The value is model dependent, but account is taken of this in the systematic error.

$\Gamma(p \text{ anything (no } \Lambda))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{71}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.12±0.10±0.16</b>	CRAWFORD 92	CLEO	$e^+e^- 10.5 \text{ GeV}$

$\Gamma(n \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{73}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.50±0.08±0.14</b>	<sup>20</sup> CRAWFORD 92	CLEO	$e^+e^- 10.5 \text{ GeV}$

<sup>20</sup> This CRAWFORD 92 value includes neutrons from  $\Lambda$  decay. The value is model dependent, but account is taken of this in the systematic error.

$\Gamma(n \text{ anything (no } \Lambda))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

$\Gamma_{74}/\Gamma$

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.29±0.09±0.15</b>	CRAWFORD 92	CLEO	$e^+e^- 10.5 \text{ GeV}$



**$\Gamma(\rho \text{ hadrons})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

**$\Gamma_{72}/\Gamma$**


<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
$0.41 \pm 0.24$	ADAMOVICH 87	EMUL	$\gamma A$ 20–70 GeV/c

**$\Gamma(\Lambda \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

**$\Gamma_{75}/\Gamma$**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>0.35 \pm 0.11</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.4. See the ideogram below.
$0.59 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.12$		CRAWFORD 92	CLEO	$e^+ e^-$ 10.5 GeV
$0.49 \pm 0.24$		ADAMOVICH 87	EMUL	$\gamma A$ 20–70 GeV/c
$0.23 \pm 0.10$	8 <sup>21</sup>	ABE 86	HYBR	20 GeV $\gamma p$

<sup>21</sup> ABE 86 includes  $\Lambda$ 's from  $\Sigma^0$  decay.



**$\Gamma(\Sigma^\pm \text{ anything})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

**$\Gamma_{76}/\Gamma$**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>0.1 \pm 0.05</math></b>	5	ABE 86	HYBR	20 GeV $\gamma p$

**$\Gamma(3\text{prongs})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**

**$\Gamma_{77}/\Gamma$**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b><math>0.24 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.04</math></b>	KAYIS-TOPAK.03	CHRS	$\nu_\mu$ emulsion, $\bar{E}=27$ GeV

————— **Rare or forbidden modes** —————

**$\Gamma(p\mu^+\mu^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**   **$\Gamma_{78}/\Gamma$**   
 A test for the  $\Delta C=1$  weak neutral current. Allowed by higher-order electroweak interactions.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>&lt;3.4 \times 10^{-4}</math></b>	90	0	KODAMA	95 E653	$\pi^-$ emulsion 600 GeV

**$\Gamma(\Sigma^-\mu^+\mu^+)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$**   **$\Gamma_{79}/\Gamma$**   
 A test of lepton-number conservation.

VALUE	CL%	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>&lt;7.0 \times 10^{-4}</math></b>	90	0	KODAMA	95 E653	$\pi^-$ emulsion 600 GeV

**$\Lambda_c^+$  DECAY PARAMETERS**

See the note on “Baryon Decay Parameters” in the neutron Listings.

**$\alpha$  FOR  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\pi^+$**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>-0.91 \pm 0.15</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
$-0.78 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.19$		LINK	06A FOCS	$\gamma$ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV
$-0.94 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.12$	414	<sup>22</sup> BISHAI	95 CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \mathcal{T}(4S)$
$-0.96 \pm 0.42$		ALBRECHT	92 ARG	$e^+e^- \approx 10.4$ GeV
$-1.1 \pm 0.4$	86	AVERY	90B CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx 10.6$ GeV

<sup>22</sup> BISHAI 95 actually gives  $\alpha = -0.94^{+0.21+0.12}_{-0.06-0.06}$ , chopping the errors at the physical limit  $-1.0$ . However, for  $\alpha \approx -1.0$ , some experiments should *get* unphysical values ( $\alpha < -1.0$ ), and for averaging with other measurements such values (or errors that extend below  $-1.0$ ) should *not* be chopped.

**$\alpha$  FOR  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+\pi^0$**

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>-0.45 \pm 0.31 \pm 0.06</math></b>	89	BISHAI	95 CLE2	$e^+e^- \approx \mathcal{T}(4S)$

**$\alpha$  FOR  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda\ell^+\nu_\ell$**

The experiments don't cover the complete (or same incomplete)  $M(\Lambda\ell^+)$  range, but we average them together anyway.

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>-0.86 \pm 0.04</math> OUR AVERAGE</b>				
$-0.86 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02$	3201	<sup>23</sup> HINSON	05 CLEO	$e^+e^- \approx \mathcal{T}(4S)$
$-0.91 \pm 0.42 \pm 0.25$		<sup>24</sup> ALBRECHT	94B ARG	$e^+e^- \approx 10$ GeV
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●				
$-0.82^{+0.09+0.06}_{-0.06-0.03}$	700	<sup>25</sup> CRAWFORD	95 CLE2	See HINSON 05
$-0.89^{+0.17+0.09}_{-0.11-0.05}$	350	<sup>26</sup> BERGFELD	94 CLE2	See CRAWFORD 95

- <sup>23</sup> HINSON 05 measures the form-factor ratio  $R \equiv f_2/f_1$  for  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ \nu_e$  events to be  $-0.31 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.04$  and the pole mass to be  $2.21 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.14$  GeV/c<sup>2</sup>, and from these calculates  $\alpha$ , averaged over  $q^2$ , where  $\langle q^2 \rangle = 0.67$  (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>.
- <sup>24</sup> ALBRECHT 94B uses  $\Lambda e^+$  and  $\Lambda \mu^+$  events in the mass range  $1.85 < M(\Lambda \ell^+) < 2.20$  GeV.
- <sup>25</sup> CRAWFORD 95 measures the form-factor ratio  $R \equiv f_2/f_1$  for  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ \nu_e$  events to be  $-0.25 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.08$  and from this calculates  $\alpha$ , averaged over  $q^2$ , to be the above.
- <sup>26</sup> BERGFELD 94 uses  $\Lambda e^+$  events.

## $\Lambda_c^+, \bar{\Lambda}_c^-$ CP-VIOLATING DECAY ASYMMETRIES

### $(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})/(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})$ in $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+, \bar{\Lambda}_c^- \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} \pi^-$

This is zero if CP is conserved.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>-0.07 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.24</math></b>	LINK	06A FOCS	$\gamma$ A, $\bar{E}_\gamma \approx 180$ GeV

### $(\alpha + \bar{\alpha})/(\alpha - \bar{\alpha})$ in $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow \Lambda e^+ \nu_e, \bar{\Lambda}_c^- \rightarrow \bar{\Lambda} e^- \bar{\nu}_e$

This is zero if CP is conserved.

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b><math>0.00 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.02</math></b>	HINSON	05 CLEO	$e^+ e^- \approx \mathcal{T}(4S)$

## $\Lambda_c^+$ REFERENCES

We have omitted some papers that have been superseded by later experiments. The omitted papers may be found in our 1992 edition (Physical Review **D45**, 1 June, Part II) or in earlier editions.

VAZQUEZ-JA... 08	PL B666 299	E. Vazquez-Jauregui <i>et al.</i>	(SELEX Collab.)
AUBERT 07U	PR D75 052002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LINK 06A	PL B634 165	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
AUBERT,B 05S	PR D72 052006	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
HINSON 05	PRL 94 191801	J.W. Hinson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
LINK 05F	PL B624 22	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK 05K	PL B624 166	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
CRONIN-HEN... 03	PR D67 012001	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KAYIS-TOPAK... 03	PL B555 156	A. Kayis-Topaksu <i>et al.</i>	(CERN CHORUS Collab.)
ABE 02C	PL B524 33	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	(KEK BELLE Collab.)
LINK 02C	PRL 88 161801	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
LINK 02G	PL B540 25	J.M. Link <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL FOCUS Collab.)
PDG 02	PR D66 010001	K. Hagiwara <i>et al.</i>	
KUSHNIR... 01	PRL 86 5243	A. Kushnirenko <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL SELEX Collab.)
MAHMOOD 01	PRL 86 2232	A.H. Mahmood <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AITALA 00	PL B471 449	E.M. Aitala <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E791 Collab.)
JAFFE 00	PR D62 072005	D.E. Jaffe <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALAM 98	PR D57 4467	M.S. Alam <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT 96E	PRPL 276 223	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALEEV 96	JINRRC 3-77 31	A.N. Aleev <i>et al.</i>	(Serpukhov EXCHARM Collab.)
ALEXANDER 96C	PR D53 R1013	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT 95B	PL B342 397	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
AMMAR 95	PRL 74 3534	R. Ammar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BISHAI 95	PL B350 256	M. Bishai <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
CRAWFORD 95	PRL 75 624	G. Crawford <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
KODAMA 95	PL B345 85	K. Kodama <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E653 Collab.)
ALBRECHT 94B	PL B326 320	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALEEV 94	PAN 57 1370	A.N. Aleev <i>et al.</i>	(Serpukhov BIS-2 Collab.)

Translated from YF 57 1443.

AVERY	94	PL B325 257	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BERGFELD	94	PL B323 219	T. Bergfeld <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
FRABETTI	94E	PL B328 193	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
AVERY	93	PRL 71 2391	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BOZEK	93	PL B312 247	A. Bozek <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA32 Collab.)
FRABETTI	93D	PRL 70 1755	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
FRABETTI	93H	PL B314 477	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
KUBOTA	93	PRL 71 3255	Y. Kubota <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92	PL B274 239	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ALBRECHT	92O	ZPHY C56 1	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
BARLAG	92	PL B283 465	S. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(ACCMOR Collab.)
CRAWFORD	92	PR D45 752	G. Crawford <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
JEZABEK	92	PL B286 175	M. Jezabek, K. Rybicki, R. Rylko	(CRAC)
ALBRECHT	91G	PL B269 234	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
AVERY	91	PR D43 3599	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ALVAREZ	90	ZPHY C47 539	M.P. Alvarez <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA14/2 Collab.)
ALVAREZ	90B	PL B246 256	M.P. Alvarez <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA14/2 Collab.)
ANJOS	90	PR D41 801	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)
AVERY	90B	PRL 65 2842	P. Avery <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
BARLAG	90D	ZPHY C48 29	S. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(ACCMOR Collab.)
FRABETTI	90	PL B251 639	P.L. Frabetti <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E687 Collab.)
BARLAG	89	PL B218 374	S. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(ACCMOR Collab.)
AGUILAR-...	88B	ZPHY C40 321	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)
Also		PL B189 254	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)
Also		PL B199 462	M. Aguilar-Benitez <i>et al.</i>	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)
Also		SJNP 48 833	M. Begalli <i>et al.</i>	(LEBC-EHS Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 48 1310.		
ALBRECHT	88C	PL B207 109	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
ANJOS	88B	PRL 60 1379	J.C. Anjos <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL E691 Collab.)
ADAMOVICH	87	EPL 4 887	M.I. Adamovich <i>et al.</i>	(Photon Emulsion Collab.)
Also		SJNP 46 447	F. Viaggi <i>et al.</i>	(Photon Emulsion Collab.)
		Translated from YAF 46 799.		
AMENDOLIA	87	ZPHY C36 513	S.R. Amendolia <i>et al.</i>	(CERN NA1 Collab.)
JONES	87	ZPHY C36 593	G.T. Jones <i>et al.</i>	(CERN WA21 Collab.)
ABE	86	PR D33 1	K. Abe <i>et al.</i>	
ALEEV	84	ZPHY C23 333	A.N. Aleev <i>et al.</i>	(BIS-2 Collab.)
BOSETTI	82	PL 109B 234	P.C. Bosetti <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BONN, CERN+)
VELLA	82	PRL 48 1515	E. Vella <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC, LBL, UCB)
BASILE	81B	NC 62A 14	M. Basile <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, BGNA, PGIA, FRAS)
CALICCHIO	80	PL 93B 521	M. Calicchio <i>et al.</i>	(BARI, BIRM, BRUX+)

### OTHER RELATED PAPERS

MIGLIOZZI	99	PL B462 217	P. Migliozi <i>et al.</i>
DUNIETZ	98	PR D58 094010	I. Dunietz