

N(2200) D_{15}

$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{5}{2}^-) \text{ Status: } **$$

OMITTED FROM SUMMARY TABLE

The mass is not well determined. A few early results have been omitted.

The latest GWU analysis (ARNDT 06) finds no evidence for this resonance.

N(2200) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
≈ 2200 OUR ESTIMATE			
1900	BELL	83	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
2180 ± 80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
1920	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
2228 ± 30	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2217 ± 27	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

N(2200) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
130	BELL	83	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
400 ± 100	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
220	SAXON	80	DPWA $\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$
310 ± 50	HOEHLER	79	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
481 ± 17	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

N(2200) POLE POSITION

REAL PART

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
2100 ± 60	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
2144 ± 31	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

−2×IMAGINARY PART

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
360 ± 80	CUTKOSKY	80	IPWA $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
438 ± 13	BATINIC	10	DPWA $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

N(2200) ELASTIC POLE RESIDUE

MODULUS $|r|$

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
20 ± 10	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
26	BATINIC 10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

PHASE θ

<u>VALUE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-90 ± 50	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
-71	BATINIC 10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$

N(2200) DECAY MODES

Mode
Γ_1 $N\pi$
Γ_2 $N\eta$
Γ_3 ΛK

N(2200) BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(N\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_1/Γ
	0.10 ± 0.03	CUTKOSKY 80	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
	0.07 ± 0.02	HOEHLER 79	IPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$	
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
	0.13 ± 0.04	BATINIC 10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$	

$\Gamma(N\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	Γ_2/Γ
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
	0.002 ± 0.010	BATINIC 10	DPWA	$\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$	

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2200) \rightarrow N\eta$	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
	0.066	BAKER 79	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$	

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\pi \rightarrow N(2200) \rightarrow \Lambda K$	<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_3)^{1/2}/\Gamma$
	-0.03	BELL 83	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$	
	-0.05	SAXON 80	DPWA	$\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$	

N(2200) REFERENCES

BATINIC	10	PR C82 038203	M. Batinic <i>et al.</i>	(ZAGR)
ARNDT	06	PR C74 045205	R.A. Arndt <i>et al.</i>	(GWU)
BELL	83	NP B222 389	K.W. Bell <i>et al.</i>	(RL) IJP
CUTKOSKY	80	Toronto Conf. 19	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL) IJP
Also		PR D20 2839	R.E. Cutkosky <i>et al.</i>	(CMU, LBL)
SAXON	80	NP B162 522	D.H. Saxon <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, BRIS) IJP
BAKER	79	NP B156 93	R.D. Baker <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL) IJP
HOEHLER	79	PDAT 12-1	G. Hohler <i>et al.</i>	(KARLT) IJP
Also		Toronto Conf. 3	R. Koch	(KARLT) IJP
