

$\psi(4040)$

$$J^{PC} = 0^{-}(1^{-}-)$$

$\psi(4040)$ MASS

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
4039 ± 1 OUR ESTIMATE			
4039.6 ± 4.3	¹ ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
4034 ± 6	² MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4037 ± 2	³ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4040 ± 1	⁴ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
4040 ± 10	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-

¹ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^\circ$.

² Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects.

³ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.

⁴ From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

$\psi(4040)$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
80 ± 10 OUR ESTIMATE			
84.5 ± 12.3	⁵ ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
87 ± 11	⁶ MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
85 ± 10	⁷ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
89 ± 6	⁸ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
52 ± 10	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-

⁵ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^\circ$.

⁶ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8–4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects.

⁷ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.

⁸ From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.

$\psi(4040)$ DECAY MODES

Due to the complexity of the $c\bar{c}$ threshold region, in this listing, “seen” (“not seen”) means that a cross section for the mode in question has been measured at effective \sqrt{s} near this particle’s central mass value, more (less) than 2σ above zero, without regard to any peaking behavior in \sqrt{s} or absence thereof. See mode listing(s) for details and references.

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Confidence level
Γ_1 e^+e^-	$(1.07 \pm 0.16) \times 10^{-5}$	
Γ_2 $D\bar{D}$	seen	
Γ_3 $D^0\bar{D}^0$	seen	
Γ_4 D^+D^-	seen	
Γ_5 $D^*\bar{D} + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_6 $D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_7 $D^*(2010)^+D^- + \text{c.c.}$	seen	
Γ_8 $D^*\bar{D}^*$	seen	
Γ_9 $D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^*(2007)^0$	seen	
Γ_{10} $D^*(2010)^+D^*(2010)^-$	seen	
Γ_{11} $D\bar{D}\pi$ (excl. $D^*\bar{D}$)		
Γ_{12} $D^0D^-\pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$ (excl. $D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.}$, $D^*(2010)^+D^- + \text{c.c.}$)	not seen	
Γ_{13} $D\bar{D}^*\pi$ (excl. $D^*\bar{D}^*$)	not seen	
Γ_{14} $D^0\bar{D}^{*-}\pi^+ + \text{c.c.}$ (excl. $D^*(2010)^+D^*(2010)^-$)	seen	
Γ_{15} $D_s^+D_s^-$	seen	
Γ_{16} $J/\psi(1S)$ hadrons		
Γ_{17} $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 4 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{18} $J/\psi\pi^0\pi^0$	$< 2 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{19} $J/\psi\eta$	$(5.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$	
Γ_{20} $J/\psi\pi^0$	$< 2.8 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{21} $J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	$< 2 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{22} $\chi_{c1}\gamma$	< 1.1 %	90%
Γ_{23} $\chi_{c2}\gamma$	< 1.7 %	90%
Γ_{24} $\chi_{c1}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	< 1.1 %	90%
Γ_{25} $\chi_{c2}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	< 3.2 %	90%
Γ_{26} $h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 3 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{27} $\phi\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 3 \times 10^{-3}$	90%
Γ_{28} $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-$	$< 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{29} $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^0$	$< 9 \times 10^{-5}$	90%
Γ_{30} $\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta$	$< 3.0 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{31} $\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-$	$< 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{32} $\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0$	$< 7 \times 10^{-5}$	90%

Γ_{33}	$\Xi^+ \Xi^-$	< 1.6	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{34}	$\Xi^0 \Xi^0$	< 1.8	$\times 10^{-4}$	90%
Γ_{35}	$\mu^+ \mu^-$			

$\psi(4040)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)$				Γ_1
<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
0.86±0.07 OUR ESTIMATE				
0.83±0.20	⁹ ABLIKIM	08D BES2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.6 to 1.4	¹⁰ MO	10 RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	
0.88±0.11	¹¹ SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	
0.91±0.13	¹² SETH	05A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons	
0.75±0.15	BRANDELIK	78C DASP	e^+e^-	
⁹ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy region 3.7–5.0 GeV covering the $\psi(3770)$, $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$, and $\psi(4415)$ resonances. Phase angle fixed in the fit to $\delta = (130 \pm 46)^\circ$.				
¹⁰ Reanalysis of data presented in BAI 00 and BAI 02C. From a global fit over the center-of-mass energy 3.8-4.8 GeV covering the $\psi(4040)$, $\psi(4160)$ and $\psi(4415)$ resonances and including interference effects. Four sets of solutions are obtained with the same fit quality, mass and total width, but with different e^+e^- partial widths. We quote only the range of values.				
¹¹ From a fit to Crystal Ball (OSTERHELD 86) data.				
¹² From a fit to BES (BAI 02C) data.				

$\psi(4040) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma^2(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(J/\psi\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				$\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-8})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
5.1±1.4±1.5	¹³ WANG	13B BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta\gamma$	
12.8±2.1±1.9	¹⁴ WANG	13B BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta\gamma$	
¹³ Solution I of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances. Mass and width fixed at 4039 MeV and 80 MeV, respectively.				
¹⁴ Solution II of two equivalent solutions in a fit using two interfering resonances. Mass and width fixed at 4039 MeV and 80 MeV, respectively.				

$\psi(4040)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_1/Γ
<u>VALUE (units 10^{-5})</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
~ 1.0	FELDMAN	77 MRK1	e^+e^-	

$\Gamma(D^0\bar{D}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_3/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0\gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0$
seen	PAKHLOVA 08	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^0\bar{D}^0\gamma$

$\Gamma(D^+D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_4/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+D^-\gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+D^-$
seen	PAKHLOVA 08	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^+D^-\gamma$

$\Gamma(D\bar{D})/\Gamma(D^*\bar{D} + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_2/Γ_5

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.24 ± 0.05 ± 0.12	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{(*)}\bar{D}$

$\Gamma(D^0\bar{D}^0)/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_3/Γ_6

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.05 ± 0.03	¹⁵ GOLDHABER 77	MRK1	e^+e^-

¹⁵ Phase-space factor (p^3) explicitly removed.

$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0}\bar{D}^0\gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0}\bar{D}^0$

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+D^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_7/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}D^-\gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}D^-$
seen	PAKHLOVA 07	BELL	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*+}D^-\gamma$

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+D^- + \text{c.c.})/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_7/Γ_6

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.95 ± 0.09 ± 0.10	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{*}\bar{D}$

$\Gamma(D^*\bar{D}^*)/\Gamma(D^*\bar{D} + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_8/Γ_5

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.18 ± 0.14 ± 0.03	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$

$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^*(2007)^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0}\bar{D}^{*0}\gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+e^- \rightarrow D^{*0}\bar{D}^{*0}$

$\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^*(2007)^0)/\Gamma(D^*(2007)^0\bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c.})$ Γ_9/Γ_6

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
32.0 ± 12.0	¹⁶ GOLDHABER 77	MRK1	e^+e^-

¹⁶ Phase-space factor (p^3) explicitly removed.

$\Gamma(D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	AUBERT 09M	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-}$
seen	PAKHLOVA 07	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^{*+} D^{*-} \gamma$

$\Gamma(D^0 D^- \pi^+ + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*(2007)^0 \bar{D}^0 + \text{c.c., } D^*(2010)^+ D^- + \text{c.c.))}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{12}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	PAKHLOVA 08A	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^- \pi^+ \gamma$

$\Gamma(D \bar{D}^* \pi (\text{excl. } D^* \bar{D}^*))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{13}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
not seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D \bar{D}^* \pi$

$\Gamma(D^0 \bar{D}^{*-} \pi^+ + \text{c.c. (excl. } D^*(2010)^+ D^*(2010)^-))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{14}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	PAKHLOVA 09	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D^0 D^{*-} \pi^+ \gamma$

$\Gamma(D_s^+ D_s^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{15}/Γ

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
seen	PAKHLOVA 11	BELL	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$
seen	DEL-AMO-SA..10N	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- \gamma$
seen	CRONIN-HEN..09	CLEO	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^-$

$\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{17}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4	90	COAN 06	CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{18}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90	COAN 06	CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(J/\psi \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{19}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$5.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.5$		¹⁷ ABLIKIM 12K	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- 2\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<7	90	COAN 06	CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
--------------	----	---------	------	---

¹⁷ ABLIKIM 12K measure $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \eta) = 32.1 \pm 2.8 \pm 1.3$ pb. They assume the $\eta J/\psi$ fully originates from $\psi(4040)$ decays.

$\Gamma(J/\psi \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{20}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.28	90	¹⁸ ABLIKIM 12K	BES3	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- 2\gamma$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<2	90	COAN 06	CLEO	3.97–4.06 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons
--------------	----	---------	------	---

¹⁸ ABLIKIM 12K measure $\sigma(e^+ e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0) < 1.6$ pb. They assume the $\eta J/\psi$ fully originates from $\psi(4040)$ decays.

$\Gamma(J/\psi\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{21}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2	90	COAN	06	CLEO 3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{22}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<11	90	COAN	06	CLEO 3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{23}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<17	90	COAN	06	CLEO 3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\chi_{c1}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{24}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<11	90	COAN	06	CLEO 3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\chi_{c2}\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{25}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<32	90	COAN	06	CLEO 3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{26}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3	90	¹⁹ PEDLAR	11	CLEO $e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-$

¹⁹ From several values of \sqrt{s} near the peak of the $\psi(4040)$, PEDLAR 11 measures $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow h_c(1P)\pi^+\pi^-) = 1.0 \pm 8.0 \pm 5.4 \pm 0.2$ pb, where the errors are statistical, systematic, and due to uncertainty in $B(\psi(2S) \rightarrow \pi^0 h_c(1P))$, respectively.

$\Gamma(\phi\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{27}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-3})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3	90	COAN	06	CLEO 3.97–4.06 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^+\pi^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{28}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.9	90	²⁰ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

²⁰ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{29}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.9	90	²¹ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

²¹ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Lambda\bar{\Lambda}\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{30}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.0	90	²² ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

²² Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^+\bar{\Sigma}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{31}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.3	90	²³ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

²³ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{32}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.7	90	²⁴ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

²⁴ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Xi^+\bar{\Xi}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{33}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.6	90	²⁵ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

²⁵ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\Gamma(\Xi^0\bar{\Xi}^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{34}/Γ

VALUE (units 10^{-4})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.8	90	²⁶ ABLIKIM	13Q	BES3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi(4040)$

²⁶ Assuming that interference effects between resonance and continuum can be neglected.

$\psi(4040)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	13Q	PR D87 112011	Ablikim M. <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
WANG	13B	PR D87 051101	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	12K	PR D86 071101	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES III Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	11	PR D83 011101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PEDLAR	11	PRL 107 041803	T. Pedlar <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	10N	PR D82 052004	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
MO	10	PR D82 077501	X.H. Mo, C.Z. Yuan, P. Wang	(BHEP)
AUBERT	09M	PR D79 092001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
CRONIN-HEN...	09	PR D80 072001	D. Cronin-Hennessy <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	09	PR D80 091101	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ABLIKIM	08D	PL B660 315	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	08	PR D77 011103	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	08A	PRL 100 062001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
PAKHLOVA	07	PRL 98 092001	G. Pakhlova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
COAN	06	PRL 96 162003	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
SETH	05A	PR D72 017501	K.K. Seth	
BAI	02C	PRL 88 101802	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
BAI	00	PRL 84 594	J.Z. Bai <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
OSTERHELD	86	SLAC-PUB-4160	A. Osterheld <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC Crystal Ball Collab.)
BRANDELIK	78C	PL 76B 361	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)
Also		ZPHY C1 233	R. Brandelik <i>et al.</i>	(DASP Collab.)
FELDMAN	77	PRPL 33C 285	G.J. Feldman, M.L. Perl	(LBL, SLAC)
GOLDHABER	77	PL 69B 503	G. Goldhaber <i>et al.</i>	(Mark I Collab.)