

INTRODUCTION TO THREE-NEUTRINO MIXING PARAMETERS LISTINGS

Updated November 2015 by M. Goodman (ANL).

Introduction and Notation: With the exception of possible short-baseline anomalies (such as LSND), current accelerator, reactor, solar and atmospheric neutrino data can be described within the framework of a 3×3 mixing matrix between the flavor eigenstates ν_e , ν_μ and ν_τ and mass eigenstates ν_1 , ν_2 and ν_3 . (See equation 14.78 of the review “Neutrino Mass, Mixing and Oscillations” by K. Nakamura and S.T. Petcov.) Whether or not this is the ultimately correct framework, it is currently widely used to parametrize neutrino mixing data and to plan new experiments.

The mass differences are called $\Delta m_{21}^2 \equiv m_2^2 - m_1^2$ and $\Delta m_{32}^2 \equiv m_3^2 - m_2^2$. In these listings, we assume

$$\Delta m_{32}^2 \sim \Delta m_{31}^2 \quad (1)$$

even though the experimental error is comparable to the difference $\Delta m_{31}^2 - \Delta m_{32}^2 = \Delta m_{21}^2$. The measurements made by ν_μ disappearance at accelerators and by ν_e disappearance at reactors are slightly different mixtures of Δm_{32}^2 and Δm_{31}^2 . The angles are labeled θ_{12} , θ_{23} and θ_{13} . The CP violating phase is called δ . The familiar two neutrino form for oscillations is

$$P(\nu_a \rightarrow \nu_b; a \neq b) = \sin^2(2\theta) \sin^2(\Delta m^2 L/4E). \quad (2)$$

Despite the fact that the mixing angles have been measured to be much larger than in the quark sector, the two neutrino form is often a very good approximation and is used in many situations.

The angles appear in the equations below in many forms. They most often appear as $\sin^2(2\theta)$. The listings currently now use $\sin^2(\theta)$ because this distinguishes whether θ_{23} is larger or smaller than 45° .

Accelerator neutrino experiments: Ignoring Δm_{21}^2 , CP violation, and matter effects, the equations for the probability of

appearance in an accelerator oscillation experiment are:

$$P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau) = \sin^2(2\theta_{23}) \cos^4(\theta_{13}) \sin^2(\Delta m_{32}^2 L/4E) \quad (3)$$

$$P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e) = \sin^2(2\theta_{13}) \sin^2(\theta_{23}) \sin^2(\Delta m_{32}^2 L/4E) \quad (4)$$

$$P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\mu) = \sin^2(2\theta_{13}) \sin^2(\theta_{23}) \sin^2(\Delta m_{32}^2 L/4E) \quad (5)$$

$$P(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_\tau) = \sin^2(2\theta_{13}) \cos^2(\theta_{23}) \sin^2(\Delta m_{32}^2 L/4E) . \quad (6)$$

Current and future long-baseline accelerator experiments are studying non-zero θ_{13} through $P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e)$. Including the CP terms and low mass scale, the equation for neutrino oscillation in vacuum is:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e) &= P1 + P2 + P3 + P4 \\ P1 &= \sin^2(\theta_{23}) \sin^2(2\theta_{13}) \sin^2(\Delta m_{32}^2 L/4E) \\ P2 &= \cos^2(\theta_{23}) \sin^2(2\theta_{13}) \sin^2(\Delta m_{21}^2 L/4E) \\ P3 &= -/+ J \sin(\delta) \sin(\Delta m_{32}^2 L/4E) \\ P4 &= J \cos(\delta) \cos(\Delta m_{32}^2 L/4E) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \cos(\theta_{13}) \sin(2\theta_{12}) \sin(2\theta_{13}) \sin(2\theta_{23}) \times \\ &\quad \sin(\Delta m_{32}^2 L/4E) \sin(\Delta m_{21}^2 L/4E) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and the sign in P3 is negative for neutrinos and positive for anti-neutrinos respectively. For most new long-baseline accelerator experiments, P2 can safely be neglected but the other three terms can all be large. Also, depending on the distance and the mass hierarchy, matter effects will need to be included.

Reactor neutrino experiments: Nuclear reactors are prolific sources of $\bar{\nu}_e$ with an energy near 4 MeV. The oscillation probability can be expressed

$$\begin{aligned} P(\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e) &= 1 - \cos^4(\theta_{13}) \sin^2(2\theta_{12}) \sin^2(\Delta m_{21}^2 L/4E) \\ &\quad - \cos^2(\theta_{12}) \sin^2(2\theta_{13}) \sin^2(\Delta m_{31}^2 L/4E) \\ &\quad - \sin^2(\theta_{12}) \sin^2(2\theta_{13}) \sin^2(\Delta m_{32}^2 L/4E) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

not using the approximation in Eq. (1). For short distances ($L < 5$ km) we can ignore the second term on the right and can

reimpose approximation Eq. (1). This takes the familiar two neutrino form with θ_{13} and Δm_{32}^2 :

$$P(\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e) = 1 - \sin^2(2\theta_{13}) \sin^2(\Delta m_{32}^2 L/4E). \quad (10)$$

Solar and Atmospheric neutrino experiments: Solar neutrino experiments are sensitive to ν_e disappearance and have allowed the measurement of θ_{12} and Δm_{21}^2 . They are also sensitive to θ_{13} . We identify $\Delta m_{\odot}^2 = \Delta m_{21}^2$ and $\theta_{\odot} = \theta_{12}$.

Atmospheric neutrino experiments are primarily sensitive to ν_{μ} disappearance through $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\tau}$ oscillations, and have allowed the measurement of θ_{23} and Δm_{32}^2 . We identify $\Delta m_A^2 = \Delta m_{32}^2$ and $\theta_A = \theta_{23}$. Despite the large ν_e component of the atmospheric neutrino flux, it is difficult to measure Δm_{21}^2 effects. This is because of a cancellation between $\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_e$ and $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}$ together with the fact that the ratio of ν_{μ} and ν_e atmospheric fluxes, which arise from sequential π and μ decay, is near 2.

Oscillation Parameter Listings: In Section (B) we encode the three mixing angles θ_{12} , θ_{23} , θ_{13} and two mass squared differences Δm_{21}^2 and Δm_{32}^2 . Our knowledge of θ_{12} and Δm_{21}^2 comes from the KamLAND reactor neutrino experiment together with solar neutrino experiments. Our knowledge of θ_{23} and Δm_{32}^2 comes from atmospheric, reactor and long-baseline accelerator neutrino experiments. For the earlier experiments, we identified the large mass splitting as Δm_{32}^2 . Now that $\sigma(\Delta m_{32}^2) \approx \Delta m_{21}^2$, some experiments report separate values for the two hierarchies. Results on θ_{13} come from reactor antineutrino disappearance experiments. There are also results from long-baseline accelerator experiments looking for ν_e appearance. The interpretation of both kinds of results depends on Δm_{32}^2 , and the accelerator results also depend on the mass hierarchy, θ_{23} and the CP violating phase δ .

Accelerator and atmospheric experiments are beginning to have some sensitivity to the CP violation phase δ through Eq. (7). Note that P3 depends on the sign of Δm_{32}^2 so the sensitivity depends on the mass hierarchy. For non-maximal θ_{23} mixing, it also depends on the octant of θ_{23} , i.e. whether $\theta_{23} > \pi/4$ or $\theta_{23} < \pi/4$.