

$K_1(1270)$


$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(1^+)$

$K_1(1270)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

1253±7 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 4 datablocks that follow this one. Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.



PRODUCED BY K^- , BACKWARD SCATTERING, HYPERON EXCHANGE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

CHG

COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

1275±10 700 GAVILLET 78 HBC + $4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Xi^- (K\pi\pi)^+$

PRODUCED BY K BEAMS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

CHG

COMMENT

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

1270±10 1 DAUM 81C CNTR – $63 K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 1276	² TORNQVIST	82B	RVUE	
~ 1300	VERGEEST	79	HBC	– $4.2 K^- p \rightarrow (\bar{K}\pi\pi)^- p$
1289±25	³ CARNEGIE	77	ASPK	± $13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
~ 1300	BRANDENB...	76	ASPK	± $13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
~ 1270	OTTER	76	HBC	– $10,14,16 K^- p \rightarrow (\bar{K}\pi\pi)^- p$
1260	DAVIS	72	HBC	+ $12 K^+ p$
1234±12	FIRESTONE	72B	DBC	+ $12 K^+ d$

¹ Well described in the chiral unitary approach of GENG 07 with two poles at 1195 and 1284 MeV and widths of 246 and 146 MeV, respectively.

² From a unitarized quark-model calculation.

³ From a model-dependent fit with Gaussian background to BRANDENBURG 76 data.

PRODUCED BY BEAMS OTHER THAN K MESONS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.				

1248.1 ± 3.3 ±1.4 GULER 11 BELL $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1289.81 ± 0.56 ± 1.66	894k	AAIJ	18AI	LHCb	$D^0 \rightarrow K^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
1279 ± 10	25k	¹ ABLIKIM	06C	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
1294 ± 10	310	RODEBACK	81	HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$
1300	40	CRENNELL	72	HBC	$4.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$
1242 ^{+ 9} _{- 10}		² ASTIER	69	HBC	$\bar{p} p$
1300	45	CRENNELL	67	HBC	$6 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$

¹ Systematic errors not estimated.

² This was called the C meson.

PRODUCED IN τ LEPTON DECAYS


VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.					

1254±33±34 7k ASNER 00B CLEO ± $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$

$K_1(1270)$ WIDTH

90±20 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

101±12 OUR AVERAGE Includes data from the 4 datablocks that follow this one. Error includes scale factor of 2.2. See the ideogram below.



PRODUCED BY K^- , BACKWARD SCATTERING, HYPERON EXCHANGE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

75±15	700	GAVILLET	78	HBC	+ $4.2 K^- p \rightarrow \Xi^- K\pi\pi$
--------------	-----	----------	----	-----	---

PRODUCED BY K BEAMS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	-----	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

90± 8	¹ DAUM	81C	CNTR	-	$63 K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
--------------	-------------------	-----	------	---	-----------------------------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

~ 150	VERGEEST	79	HBC	-	$4.2 K^- p \rightarrow (\bar{K}\pi\pi)^- p$
-------	----------	----	-----	---	---

150±71	² CARNEGIE	77	ASPK	±	$13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
--------	-----------------------	----	------	---	--

~ 200	BRANDENB...	76	ASPK	±	$13 K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$
-------	-------------	----	------	---	--

120	DAVIS	72	HBC	+	$12 K^+ p$
-----	-------	----	-----	---	------------

188±21	FIRESTONE	72B	DBC	+	$12 K^+ d$
--------	-----------	-----	-----	---	------------

¹ Well described in the chiral unitary approach of GENG 07 with two poles at 1195 and 1284 MeV and widths of 246 and 146 MeV, respectively.

² From a model-dependent fit with Gaussian background to BRANDENBURG 76 data.

PRODUCED BY BEAMS OTHER THAN K MESONS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

119.5 ± 5.2 ±6.7	GULER	11	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
-------------------------	-------	----	------	--

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

116.11± 1.65±2.96	894k	AAIJ	18AI	LHCb	$D^0 \rightarrow K^\mp \pi^\pm \pi^\pm \pi^\mp$
-------------------	------	------	------	------	---

131 ±21	25k	¹ ABLIKIM	06C	BES2	$J/\psi \rightarrow \bar{K}^*(892)^0 K^+ \pi^-$
---------	-----	----------------------	-----	------	---

66 ±15	310	RODEBACK	81	HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$
--------	-----	----------	----	-----	--

60	40	CRENNELL	72	HBC	$4.5 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$
----	----	----------	----	-----	--

127 +7 -25		ASTIER	69	HBC	$\bar{p} p$
---------------	--	--------	----	-----	-------------

60	45	CRENNELL	67	HBC	$6 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$
----	----	----------	----	-----	--

¹ Systematic errors not estimated.

PRODUCED IN τ LEPTON DECAYS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

The data in this block is included in the average printed for a previous datablock.

260 +90 -70 ±80	7k	ASNER	00B	CLEO	\pm $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu_\tau$
----------------------------	----	-------	-----	------	---

$K_1(1270)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)	Scale factor
Γ_1 $K\rho$	(38 ±13) %	2.2
Γ_2 $K_0^*(1430)\pi$	(28 ± 4) %	
Γ_3 $K^*(892)\pi$	(21 ±10) %	2.2

Γ_4	$K\omega$	(11.0 \pm 2.0) %
Γ_5	$Kf_0(1370)$	(3.0 \pm 2.0) %
Γ_6	γK^0	seen

 $K_1(1270)$ PARTIAL WIDTHS **$\Gamma(K\rho)$** **Γ_1**

<u>VALUE</u> (MeV)	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
57 \pm 5	MAZZUCATO 79	HBC	+	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Xi^-(K\pi\pi)^+$
75 \pm 6	CARNEGIE 77B	ASPK	\pm	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$

 $\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)\pi)$ **Γ_2**

<u>VALUE</u> (MeV)	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
26 \pm 6	CARNEGIE 77B	ASPK	\pm	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$

 $\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)$ **Γ_3**

<u>VALUE</u> (MeV)	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
14 \pm 11	MAZZUCATO 79	HBC	+	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Xi^-(K\pi\pi)^+$
2 \pm 2	CARNEGIE 77B	ASPK	\pm	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$

 $\Gamma(K\omega)$ **Γ_4**

<u>VALUE</u> (MeV)	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
4 \pm 4	MAZZUCATO 79	HBC	+	4.2 $K^- p \rightarrow \Xi^-(K\pi\pi)^+$
24 \pm 3	CARNEGIE 77B	ASPK	\pm	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$

 $\Gamma(Kf_0(1370))$ **Γ_5**

<u>VALUE</u> (MeV)	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>CHG</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
22 \pm 5	CARNEGIE 77B	ASPK	\pm	13 $K^\pm p \rightarrow (K\pi\pi)^\pm p$

 $\Gamma(\gamma K^0)$ **Γ_6**

<u>VALUE</u> (keV)	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
73.2 \pm 6.1 \pm 28.3	ALAVI-HARATI02B	KTEV	$K + A \rightarrow K^* + A$

 $K_1(1270)$ BRANCHING RATIOS **$\Gamma(K\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$** **$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.38 \pm 0.13 OUR FIT			Error includes scale factor of 2.2.
0.42 \pm 0.06	¹ DAUM 81C	CNTR	$63 K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.584 \pm 0.043	² GULER 11	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
dominant	RODEBACK 81	HBC	$4 \pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$

$\Gamma(K_0^*(1430)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_2/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
0.28 ±0.04	¹ DAUM 81c CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.0201±0.0064	² GULER 11 BELL $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_3/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
0.21 ±0.10 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.2.
0.16 ±0.05	¹ DAUM 81c CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.171±0.023	² GULER 11 BELL $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
$\Gamma(K^*(892)\pi)/\Gamma(K\rho)$	Γ_3/Γ_1
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
0.56±0.29 OUR FIT	Error includes scale factor of 2.2.
0.99±0.15±0.18	ABLIKIM 21U BES3 $D_s^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}_1(1270)^0 K^+$
$\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_4/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
0.11 ±0.02	¹ DAUM 81c CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
0.225±0.052	² GULER 11 BELL $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
$\Gamma(K\omega)/\Gamma(K\rho)$	Γ_4/Γ_1
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u> <u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
<0.30	95 RODEBACK 81 HBC $4\pi^- p \rightarrow \Lambda K 2\pi$
$\Gamma(K f_0(1370))/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_5/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
0.03±0.02	¹ DAUM 81c CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
D-wave/S-wave RATIO FOR $K_1(1270) \rightarrow K^*(892)\pi$	
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u> <u>TECN</u> <u>COMMENT</u>
1.0±0.7	¹ DAUM 81c CNTR 63 $K^- p \rightarrow K^- 2\pi p$
1 Average from low and high t data.	
2 Assuming that decays are saturated by the $K\rho$, $K_0^*(1430)\pi$, $K^*(892)\pi$, $K\omega$ decay modes and neglecting interference between them. The values $B(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) = (1.53^{+0.11}_{-0.13})\%$ and $B(K_0^*(1430) \rightarrow K\pi) = (93 \pm 10)\%$ are used. Systematic uncertainties not estimated.	

$K_1(1270)$ REFERENCES

ABLIKIM	21U	PR D104 032011	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
AAIJ	18AI	EPJ C78 443	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
GULER	11	PR D83 032005	H. Guler <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
GENG	07	PR D75 014017	L.S. Geng <i>et al.</i>	
ABLIKIM	06C	PL B633 681	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BES Collab.)
ALAVI-HARATI	02B	PRL 89 072001	A. Alavi-Harati <i>et al.</i>	(FNAL KTeV Collab.)

ASNER	00B	PR D62 072006	D.M. Asner <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
TORNQVIST	82B	NP B203 268	N.A. Tornqvist	(HELS)
DAUM	81C	NP B187 1	C. Daum <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, CRAC, MPIM+)
RODEBACK	81	ZPHY C9 9	S. Rodeback <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, CDEF, MADR+)
MAZZUCATO	79	NP B156 532	M. Mazzucato <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, ZEEM, NIJM+)
VERGEEST	79	NP B158 265	J.S.M. Vergeest <i>et al.</i>	(NIJM, AMST, CERN+)
GAVILLET	78	PL 76B 517	P. Gavillet <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, NIJM+) JP
CARNEGIE	77	NP B127 509	R.K. Carnegie <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
CARNEGIE	77B	PL 68B 287	R.K. Carnegie <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC)
BRANDENB...	76	PRL 36 703	G.W. Brandenburg <i>et al.</i>	(SLAC) JP
OTTER	76	NP B106 77	G. Otter <i>et al.</i>	(AACH3, BERL, CERN, LOIC+) JP
CRENNELL	72	PR D6 1220	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL)
DAVIS	72	PR D5 2688	P.J. Davis <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
FIRESTONE	72B	PR D5 505	A. Firestone <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
ASTIER	69	NP B10 65	A. Astier <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, CERN, IPNP, LIVP) IJP
CRENNELL	67	PRL 19 44	D.J. Crennell <i>et al.</i>	(BNL) I