

**$\rho(1450)$** 

$$I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^- -)$$

**THE  $\rho(1450)$  AND THE  $\rho(1700)$** 

Updated September 2019 by S. Eidelman (Novosibirsk), C. Hanhart (Juelich) and G. Venanzoni (Pisa).

In our 1988 edition, we replaced the  $\rho(1600)$  entry with two new ones, the  $\rho(1450)$  and the  $\rho(1700)$ , because there was emerging evidence that the 1600-MeV region actually contains two  $\rho$ -like resonances. Erkal [1] had pointed out this possibility with a theoretical analysis on the consistency of  $2\pi$  and  $4\pi$  electromagnetic form factors and the  $\pi\pi$  scattering length. Donnachie [2], with a full analysis of data on the  $2\pi$  and  $4\pi$  final states in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation and photoproduction reactions, had also argued that in order to obtain a consistent picture, two resonances were necessary. The existence of  $\rho(1450)$  was supported by the analysis of  $\eta\rho^0$  mass spectra obtained in photoproduction and  $e^+e^-$  annihilation [3], as well as that of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi$  [4].

The analysis of [2] was further extended by [5,6] to include new data on  $4\pi$ -systems produced in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation, and in  $\tau$ -decays ( $\tau$  decays to  $4\pi$ , and  $e^+e^-$  annihilation to  $4\pi$  can be related by the Conserved Vector Current assumption). These systems were successfully analyzed using interfering contributions from two  $\rho$ -like states, and from the tail of the  $\rho(770)$  decaying into two-body states. While specific conclusions on  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow 4\pi$  were obtained, little could be said about the  $\rho(1700)$ .

Independent evidence for two  $1^-$  states is provided by [7] in  $4\pi$  electroproduction at  $\langle Q^2 \rangle = 1$  (GeV/c)<sup>2</sup>, and by [8] in a high-statistics sample of the  $\eta\pi\pi$  system in  $\pi^-p$  charge exchange.

This scenario with two overlapping resonances is supported by other data. Bisello [9] measured the pion form factor in the interval 1.35–2.4 GeV, and observed a deep minimum around 1.6 GeV. The best fit was obtained with the hypothesis of  $\rho$ -like resonances at 1420 and 1770 MeV, with widths of about 250 MeV. Antonelli [10] found that the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$  cross section is better fitted with two fully interfering Breit-Wigners, with parameters in fair agreement with those of [2] and [9]. These results can be considered as a confirmation of the  $\rho(1450)$ .

Decisive evidence for the  $\pi\pi$  decay mode of both  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  comes from  $\bar{p}p$  annihilation at rest [11]. It has been shown that these resonances also possess a  $K\bar{K}$  decay mode [12–14]. High-statistics studies of the decays  $\tau \rightarrow \pi\pi\nu_\tau$  [15,16], and  $\tau \rightarrow 4\pi\nu_\tau$  [17] also require the  $\rho(1450)$ , but are not sensitive to the  $\rho(1700)$ , because it is too close to the  $\tau$  mass. A recent very-high-statistics study of the  $\tau \rightarrow \pi\pi\nu_\tau$  decay performed at Belle [18] reports the first observation of both  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  in  $\tau$  decays. A clear picture of the two  $\pi^+\pi^-$  resonances interfering with the  $\rho(770)$  in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation was also reported by BaBar using the ISR method [19].

The structure of these  $\rho$  states is not yet completely clear. Barnes [20] and Close [21] claim that  $\rho(1450)$  has a mass consistent with radial  $2S$ , but its decays show characteristics of hybrids, and suggest that this state may be a  $2S$ -hybrid mixture. Donnachie [22] argues that hybrid states could have a  $4\pi$  decay mode dominated by the  $a_1\pi$ . Such behavior has been observed by [23] in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 4\pi$  in the energy range 1.05–1.38 GeV, and by [17] in  $\tau \rightarrow 4\pi$  decays. CLEO [24] and Belle [25] observe the  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$  decay mode in  $B$ -meson decays, however, do not find  $\rho(1700) \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$ . A similar conclusion is made by

[26,27], who studied the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^0$  and do not observe a statistically significant signal of the  $\rho(1700)$ . Various decay modes of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  are observed in  $\bar{p}n$  and  $\bar{p}p$  annihilation [28,29], but no definite conclusions can be drawn. More data should be collected to clarify the nature of the  $\rho$  states, particularly in the energy range above 1.6 GeV.

We now list under a separate entry the  $\rho(1570)$ , the  $\phi\pi$  state with  $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$  earlier observed by [30] (referred to as  $C(1480)$ ) and recently confirmed by [31]. While [32] shows that it may be a threshold effect, [5] and [33] suggest two independent vector states with this decay mode. The  $C(1480)$  has not been seen in the  $\bar{p}p$  [34] and  $e^+e^-$  [35,36] experiments. However, the sensitivity of the two latter is an order of magnitude lower than that of [31]. Note that [31] can not exclude that their observation is due to an OZI-suppressed decay mode of the  $\rho(1700)$ .

Several observations on the  $\omega\pi$  system in the 1200-MeV region [37–43] may be interpreted in terms of either  $J^P = 1^-$   $\rho(770) \rightarrow \omega\pi$  production [44], or  $J^P = 1^+$   $b_1(1235)$  production [42,43]. We argue that no special entry for a  $\rho(1250)$  is needed. The LASS amplitude analysis [45] showing evidence for  $\rho(1270)$  is preliminary and needs confirmation. For completeness, the relevant observations are listed under the  $\rho(1450)$ .

Recently [46] reported a very broad  $1^{--}$  resonance-like  $K^+K^-$  state in  $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$  decays. Its pole position corresponds to mass of 1576 MeV and width of 818 MeV. [47–49] suggest its exotic structure (molecular or multiquark), while [50] and [51] explain it by the interference between the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$ . The latter statement is qualitatively supported by BaBar [52] and SND [53]. We quote [46] as  $X(1575)$  in the section “Further States.”

Evidence for  $\rho$ -like mesons decaying into  $6\pi$  states was first noted by [54] in the analysis of  $6\pi$  mass spectra from  $e^+e^-$  annihilation [55,56] and diffractive photoproduction [57]. Clegg [54] argued that two states at about 2.1 and 1.8 GeV exist: while the former is a candidate for the  $\rho(2150)$ , the latter could be a manifestation of the  $\rho(1700)$  distorted by threshold effects. BaBar reported observations of the new decay modes of the  $\rho(2150)$  in the channels  $\eta'(958)\pi^+\pi^-$  and  $f_1(1285)\pi^+\pi^-$  [58]. The relativistic quark model [59] predicts the  $2^3D_1$  state with  $J^{PC} = 1^{--}$  at 2.15 GeV which can be identified with the  $\rho(2150)$ .

We no longer list under a separate particle  $\rho(1900)$  various observations of irregular behavior of the cross sections near the  $N\bar{N}$  threshold. Dips of various width around 1.9 GeV were reported by the E687 Collaboration (a narrow one in the  $3\pi^+3\pi^-$  diffractive photoproduction [60,61]), by the FENICE experiment (a narrow structure in the  $R$  value [62]), by BaBar in ISR (a narrow structure in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \phi\pi$  final state [63], but much broader in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$  [64]), by CMD-3 (also a rather broad dip in  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-$  [65]). A dedicated scan of the  $N\bar{N}$ -threshold region by CMD-3 confirms this effect in the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi^+3\pi^-$  and  $e^+e^- \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-$  final states, but does not see it in the cross section of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-$  [66]. Most probably, these structures emerge as a threshold effect due to the opening of the  $N\bar{N}$  channel [67,68,69].

## References

1. C. Erkal, Z. Phys. **C31**, 615 (1986).
2. A. Donnachie and H. Mirzaie, Z. Phys. **C33**, 407 (1987).
3. A. Donnachie and A.B. Clegg, Z. Phys. **C34**, 257 (1987).
4. A. Donnachie and A.B. Clegg, Z. Phys. **C51**, 689 (1991).

5. A.B. Clegg and A. Donnachie, Z. Phys. **C40**, 313 (1988).
6. A.B. Clegg and A. Donnachie, Z. Phys. **C62**, 455 (1994).
7. T.J. Killian *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D21**, 3005 (1980).
8. S. Fukui *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B202**, 441 (1988).
9. D. Bisello *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B220**, 321 (1989).
10. A. Antonelli *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B212**, 133 (1988).
11. A. Abele *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B391**, 191 (1997).
12. A. Abele *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D57**, 3860 (1998).
13. A. Bertin *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B434**, 180 (1998).
14. A. Abele *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B468**, 178 (1999).
15. R. Barate *et al.*, Z. Phys. **C76**, 15 (1997).
16. S. Anderson, Phys. Rev. **D61**, 112002 (2000).
17. K.W. Edwards *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D61**, 072003 (2000).
18. M. Fujikawa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D78**, 072006 (2008).
19. J.P. Lees *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D86**, 032013 (2012).
20. T. Barnes *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D55**, 4157 (1997).
21. F.E. Close *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D56**, 1584 (1997).
22. A. Donnachie and Yu.S. Kalashnikova, Phys. Rev. **D60**, 114011 (1999).
23. R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B466**, 392 (1999).
24. J.P. Alexander *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D64**, 092001 (2001).
25. D. Matvienko *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D92**, 012013 (2015).
26. R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B562**, 173 (2003).
27. M.N. Achasov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D94**, 112001 (2016).
28. A. Abele *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. **C21**, 261 (2001).
29. M. Bargiotti *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B561**, 233 (2003).
30. S.I. Bityukov *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B188**, 383 (1987).
31. B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 092002 (2008).
32. N.N. Achasov and G.N. Shestakov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **59**, 1262 (1996).
33. L.G. Landsberg, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **55**, 1051 (1992).
34. A. Abele *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B415**, 280 (1997).

35. V.M. Aulchenko *et al.*, Sov. Phys. JETP Lett. **45**, 145 (1987).
36. D. Bisello *et al.*, Z. Phys. **C52**, 227 (1991).
37. P. Frenkiel *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B47**, 61 (1972).
38. G. Cosme *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B63**, 352 (1976).
39. D.P. Barber *et al.*, Z. Phys. **C4**, 169 (1980).
40. D. Aston, Phys. Lett. **B92**, 211 (1980).
41. M. Atkinson *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **B243**, 1 (1984).
42. J.E. Brau *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D37**, 2379 (1988).
43. C. Amsler *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B311**, 362 (1993).
44. J. Layssac and F.M. Renard, Nuovo Cimento **6A**, 134 (1971).
45. D. Aston *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Supp.) **B21**, 105 (1991).
46. M. Ablikim *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 142002 (2006).
47. G.-J. Ding and M.-L. Yan, Phys. Lett. **B643**, 33 (2006).
48. F.K. Guo *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. **A773**, 78 (2006).
49. A. Zhang *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 036004 (2007).
50. B.A. Li, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 094016 (2007).
51. X. Liu *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D75**, 074017 (2007).
52. J.P. Lees *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D88**, 032013 (2013).
53. M.N. Achasov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D94**, 112006 (2016).
54. A.B. Clegg and A. Donnachie, Z. Phys. **C45**, 677 (1990).
55. D. Bisello *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **107B**, 145 (1981).
56. A. Castro *et al.*, LAL-88-58(1988).
57. M. Atkinson *et al.*, Z. Phys. **C29**, 333 (1985).
58. B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 092005 (2007).
59. S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. **D32**, 189 (1985).
60. P.L. Frabetti *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B514**, 240 (2001).
61. P.L. Frabetti *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B578**, 290 (2004).
62. A. Antonelli *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B365**, 427 (1996).
63. B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D77**, 092002 (2008).

64. B. Aubert *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 052003 (2006).  
 65. R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B723**, 83 (2013).  
 66. R.R. Akhmetshin *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B794**, 64 (2019).  
 67. A. Obrazovsky and S. Serednyakov, Sov. Phys. JETP Lett. **99**, 315 (2014).  
 68. J. Heidenauer *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D92**, 054032 (2015).  
 69. A.I. Milstein and S.G. Salnikov, Nucl. Phys. **A977**, 60 (2018).

---

## $\rho(1450)$ MASS

### $\rho(1450)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

**1465±25 OUR ESTIMATE** This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

### $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1500±10	7.4k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV 18	SND	1.22–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1497±14		<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
1421±15		<sup>3</sup> AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1470±20		ANTONELLI 88	DM2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1446±10		FUKUI 88	SPEC	8.95	$\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

<sup>1</sup>From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\rho(2150)$  with the parameters of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  floating and the mass and width of the  $\rho(2150)$  fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are  $\pi$ , 0 and  $\pi$ , respectively.

<sup>2</sup>Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$ , AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ .

<sup>3</sup>Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  mesons assumed.

### $\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)

EVTS

DOCUMENT ID

TECN

COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1510±7	10.2k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV 16D	SND	1.05–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1544±22 <sup>+11</sup> <sub>-46</sub>	821	<sup>2</sup> MATVIENKO 15	BELL		$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
1491±19	7815	<sup>3</sup> ACHASOV 13	SND	1.05–2.00	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1582±17±25	2382	<sup>4</sup> AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1349±25 <sup>+10</sup> <sub>-5</sub>	341	<sup>5</sup> ALEXANDER 01B	CLE2		$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
1523±10		<sup>6</sup> EDWARDS 00A	CLE2		$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$
1463±25		<sup>7</sup> CLEGG 94	RVUE		
1250		<sup>8</sup> ASTON 80C	OMEG	20–70	$\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
1290±40		<sup>8</sup> BARBER 80C	SPEC	3–5	$\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

- <sup>1</sup>From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$ . The  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.
- <sup>2</sup>Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the  $\rho(1450)$  and assuming equal probabilities of the  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$  and  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$  decays.
- <sup>3</sup>From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.
- <sup>4</sup>Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the  $\omega\pi^0$  and  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass dependence of the total width.  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.
- <sup>5</sup>Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the  $\rho(1450)$  and assuming the  $\omega\pi^-$  mass dependence for the total width.
- <sup>6</sup>Mass-independent width parameterization.  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.
- <sup>7</sup>Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.
- <sup>8</sup>Not separated from  $b_1(1235)$ , not pure  $J^P = 1^-$  effect.

#### 4 $\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••			
1435 ± 40	ABELE	01B	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0 \pi^+$
1350 ± 50	ACHASOV	97	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$
1449 ± 4	<sup>1</sup> ARMSTRONG	89E	OMEG 300 $pp \rightarrow p\rho 2(\pi^+\pi^-)$

<sup>1</sup> Not clear whether this observation has  $l=1$  or 0.

#### $\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
••• We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. •••				
1326.35 ± 3.46		<sup>1</sup> BARTOS	17	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1342.31 ± 46.62		<sup>2</sup> BARTOS	17A	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1373.83 ± 11.37		<sup>3</sup> BARTOS	17A	RVUE $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
1429 ± 41	20K	<sup>4</sup> LEES	17C	BABR $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1350 ± 20	$^{+20}_{-30}$ 63.5k	<sup>5</sup> ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
1493 ± 15		<sup>6</sup> LEES	12G	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
1446 ± 7	$\pm 28$ 5.4M	<sup>7,8</sup> FUJIKAWA	08	BELL $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
1328 ± 15		<sup>9</sup> SCHAEEL	05C	ALEP $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
1406 ± 15	87k	<sup>7,10</sup> ANDERSON	00A	CLE2 $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
~ 1368		<sup>11</sup> ABELE	99C	CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$
1348 ± 33		BERTIN	98	OBLX 0.05–0.405 $\bar{n}p \rightarrow$ $2\pi^+\pi^-$
1411 ± 14		<sup>12</sup> ABELE	97	CBAR $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
1370 $^{+90}_{-70}$		ACHASOV	97	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1359 ± 40		<sup>10</sup> BERTIN	97C	OBLX 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
1282 ± 37		BERTIN	97D	OBLX 0.05 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1424 ± 25		BISELLO	89	DM2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1265.5 ± 75.3		DUBNICKA	89	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
1292 ± 17		<sup>13</sup> KURDADZE	83	OLYA 0.64–1.4 $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ $\pi^+\pi^-$

- <sup>1</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16c.
- <sup>2</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.
- <sup>3</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.
- <sup>4</sup> From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  masses and widths floating.
- <sup>5</sup> Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting  $\rho$ - $\omega$  interference.
- <sup>6</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ , and  $\rho(2150)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit.
- <sup>7</sup> From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.
- <sup>8</sup>  $|F_\pi(0)|^2$  fixed to 1.
- <sup>9</sup> From the combined fit of the  $\tau^-$  data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05C and  $e^+e^-$  data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05.  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.
- <sup>10</sup>  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.
- <sup>11</sup>  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.
- <sup>12</sup> T-matrix pole.
- <sup>13</sup> Using for  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width  $1600 \pm 20$  and  $300 \pm 10$  MeV respectively.

### $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
-------------	------	-------------	------	-----	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1208 ± 8 ± 9	190k	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1422.8 ± 6.5	27k	<sup>2</sup> ABELE	99D	CBAR ±	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with fixed width.

<sup>2</sup> K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be  $\omega(1420)$ .

### $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

1505 ± 19 ± 7	AUBERT	08S BABR	10.6 $e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$
---------------	--------	----------	---

### $m_{\rho(1450)^0} - m_{\rho(1450)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

-31.53 ± 47.99	<sup>1</sup> BARTOS	17A RVUE	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
----------------	---------------------	----------	--

<sup>1</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

## $\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

### $\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>400 ± 60 OUR ESTIMATE</b>	This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.		

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

480 ± 180	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	10D	SND	1.075–2.0 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\gamma$
-----------	----------------------	-----	-----	--

<sup>1</sup>From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states  $\omega(1420)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\omega(1650)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$ . Systematic errors not evaluated.

### $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
280 ± 20	7.4k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	18	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
226 ± 44		<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
211 ± 31		<sup>3</sup> AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
230 ± 30		ANTONELLI 88	DM2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
60 ± 15		FUKUI	88	SPEC	8.95 $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

<sup>1</sup>From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\rho(2150)$  with the parameters of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  floating and the mass and width of the  $\rho(2150)$  fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are  $\pi$ , 0 and  $\pi$ , respectively.

<sup>2</sup>Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$ , AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ .

<sup>3</sup>Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  mesons assumed.

### $\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
440 ± 40	10.2k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	16D	SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
303 <sup>+</sup> <sub>–</sub> 31 <sup>+</sup> <sub>–</sub> 69 <sup>+</sup> <sub>–</sub> 52 <sup>–</sup> <sub>–</sub> 7	821	<sup>2</sup> MATVIENKO	15	BELL	$\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
429 ± 42 ± 10	2382	<sup>3</sup> AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2		$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
547 ± 86 <sup>+</sup> <sub>–</sub> 46 <sup>+</sup> <sub>–</sub> 45	341	<sup>4</sup> ALEXANDER	01B	CLE2	$B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
400 ± 35		<sup>5</sup> EDWARDS	00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$
311 ± 62		<sup>6</sup> CLEGG	94	RVUE	
300		<sup>7</sup> ASTON	80C	OMEG	20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
320 ± 100		<sup>7</sup> BARBER	80C	SPEC	3–5 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

<sup>1</sup>From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$ . The  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

<sup>2</sup>Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the  $\rho(1450)$  and assuming equal probabilities of the  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$  and  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$  decays.

<sup>3</sup>Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the  $\omega\pi^0$  and  $\pi^+\pi^-$  mass dependence of the total width.  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.

- <sup>4</sup> Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the  $\rho(1450)$  and assuming the  $\omega\pi^-$  mass dependence for the total width.  
<sup>5</sup> Mass-independent width parameterization.  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.  
<sup>6</sup> Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.  
<sup>7</sup> Not separated from  $b_1(1235)$ , not pure  $J^P = 1^-$  effect.

#### 4 $\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
$325 \pm 100$	ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0 \pi^+$

#### $\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
$324.13 \pm 12.01$		<sup>1</sup> BARTOS	17	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$492.17 \pm 138.38$		<sup>2</sup> BARTOS	17A	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$340.87 \pm 23.84$		<sup>3</sup> BARTOS	17A	RVUE $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
$576 \pm 29$	20K	<sup>4</sup> LEES	17C	BABR $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$460 \pm 30$	$\begin{smallmatrix} +40 \\ -45 \end{smallmatrix}$ 63.5k	<sup>5</sup> ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+\pi^-p$
$427 \pm 31$		<sup>6</sup> LEES	12G	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma$
$434 \pm 16$	$\pm 60$ 5.4M	<sup>7,8</sup> FUJIKAWA	08	BELL $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
$468 \pm 41$		<sup>9</sup> SCHAEEL	05C	ALEP $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
$455 \pm 41$	87k	<sup>7,10</sup> ANDERSON	00A	CLE2 $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\nu_\tau$
$\sim 374$		<sup>11</sup> ABELE	99C	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^-p$
$275 \pm 10$		BERTIN	98	OBLX $0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$
$343 \pm 20$		<sup>12</sup> ABELE	97	CBAR $\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^-\pi^0\pi^0$
$310 \pm 40$		<sup>10</sup> BERTIN	97C	OBLX $0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
$236 \pm 36$		BERTIN	97D	OBLX $0.05 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+2\pi^-$
$269 \pm 31$		BISELLO	89	DM2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$391 \pm 70$		DUBNICKA	89	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$218 \pm 46$		<sup>13</sup> KURDADZE	83	OLYA $0.64-1.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

- <sup>1</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.  
<sup>2</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.  
<sup>3</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.  
<sup>4</sup> From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  masses and widths floating.  
<sup>5</sup> Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting  $\rho-\omega$  interference.  
<sup>6</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$ , and  $\rho(2150)$  resonances as free parameters of the fit.  
<sup>7</sup> From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.  
<sup>8</sup>  $|F_\pi(0)|^2$  fixed to 1.  
<sup>9</sup> From the combined fit of the  $\tau^-$  data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05C and  $e^+e^-$  data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05.

$\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.

<sup>10</sup>  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.

<sup>11</sup>  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.

<sup>12</sup> T-matrix pole.

<sup>13</sup> Using for  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width  $1600 \pm 20$  and  $300 \pm 10$  MeV respectively.

### $K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●					
410 ± 19 ± 35	190k	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	16N	LHCB	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
146.5 ± 10.5	27k	<sup>2</sup> ABELE	99D	CBAR ±	$0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

<sup>1</sup> Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with fixed mass.

<sup>2</sup> K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be  $\omega(1420)$ .

### $K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
418 ± 25 ± 4	AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

### $\Gamma_{\rho(1450)^0} - \Gamma_{\rho(1450)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
● ● ● We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. ● ● ●			
151.30 ± 140.42	<sup>1</sup> BARTOS	17A RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ , $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

<sup>1</sup> Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

## $\rho(1450)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1$ $\pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2$ $\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3$ $4\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_4$ $\omega\pi$	
$\Gamma_5$ $a_1(1260)\pi$	
$\Gamma_6$ $h_1(1170)\pi$	
$\Gamma_7$ $\pi(1300)\pi$	
$\Gamma_8$ $\rho\rho$	
$\Gamma_9$ $\rho(\pi\pi)_S\text{-wave}$	
$\Gamma_{10}$ $e^+e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{11}$ $\eta\rho$	seen

$\Gamma_{12}$	$a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{13}$	$K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_{14}$	$K^+K^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{15}$	$K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}$	possibly seen
$\Gamma_{16}$	$\pi^0\gamma$	
$\Gamma_{17}$	$\eta\gamma$	seen
$\Gamma_{18}$	$f_0(500)\gamma$	not seen
$\Gamma_{19}$	$f_0(980)\gamma$	not seen
$\Gamma_{20}$	$f_0(1370)\gamma$	not seen
$\Gamma_{21}$	$f_2(1270)\gamma$	not seen

### $\rho(1450)\Gamma(i)\Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_1\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE (keV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.12	<sup>1</sup> DIEKMAN	88	RVUE $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$0.027^{+0.015}_{-0.010}$	<sup>2</sup> KURDADZE	83	OLYA $0.64\text{--}1.4 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Using total width = 235 MeV.

<sup>2</sup> Using for  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width  $1600 \pm 20$  and  $300 \pm 10$  MeV respectively.

$$\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{11}\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$210 \pm 24 \pm 10$	<sup>1</sup> LEES	18	BABR $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$74 \pm 20$	<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
$91 \pm 19$	ANTONELLI	88	DM2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$

<sup>1</sup> Includes non-resonant contribution. The selected fit model includes three  $\rho$  excited states. Model uncertainty is 20%.

<sup>2</sup> Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  mesons assumed.

$$\Gamma(\eta\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{17}\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<16.4$	<sup>1</sup> AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	$0.60\text{--}1.38 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
$2.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3$	<sup>2</sup> AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> From  $2\gamma$  decay mode of  $\eta$  using 1465 MeV and 310 MeV for the  $\rho(1450)$  mass and width. Recalculated by us.

<sup>2</sup> Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$ , AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ . Recalculated by us using width of 226 MeV.

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{15}\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

<u>VALUE (eV)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
-------------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$127 \pm 15 \pm 6$	AUBERT	08S	BABR $10.6 e^+e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$
--------------------	--------	-----	--

$\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)/\Gamma(\text{total}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$  $\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-6}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.1 \pm 0.4$	10.2k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	16D SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
$5.3 \pm 0.4$	7815	<sup>2</sup> ACHASOV	13 SND	1.05–2.00 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

<sup>1</sup>From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$ . The  $\rho(1700)$  mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

<sup>2</sup>From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{11}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-7}$ )	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$7.3 \pm 0.3$	7.4k	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	18 SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$4.3^{+1.1}_{-0.9} \pm 0.2$	4.9k	<sup>2</sup> AULCHENKO	15 SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

<sup>1</sup>From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\rho(1700)$  and  $\rho(2150)$  with the parameters of the  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\rho(1700)$  floating and the mass and width of the  $\rho(2150)$  fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are  $\pi$ , 0 and  $\pi$ , respectively.

<sup>2</sup>From a fit to the  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$  cross section with vector meson dominance model including  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$  decaying exclusively via  $\eta\rho(770)$ . Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.

 $\Gamma(f_0(500)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-9}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;4.0</b>	90	ACHASOV	11 SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
----------------	----	---------	--------	--

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{16}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-9}$ )	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$2.3 \pm 1.4$	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	10D SND	1.075–2.0 $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$
---------------	----------------------	---------	--

<sup>1</sup>From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states  $\omega(1420)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ ,  $\omega(1650)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$ . Systematic errors not evaluated.

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{19}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-9}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;2.6</b>	90	ACHASOV	11 SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
----------------	----	---------	--------	--

 $\Gamma(f_0(1370)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{20}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE (units $10^{-9}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-----	-------------	------	---------

<b>&lt;3.5</b>	90	ACHASOV	11 SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
----------------	----	---------	--------	--

$$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_{21}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$$

VALUE (units $10^{-9}$ )	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>&lt;0.8</b>	90	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV	11	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$

<sup>1</sup> Using Breit-Wigner parametrization of the  $\rho(1450)$  with mass and width of 1465 MeV and 400 MeV, respectively.

### $\rho(1450)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi) \qquad \Gamma_1/\Gamma_3$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.37 \pm 0.10$	<sup>1,2</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
-----------------	----------------------	-----	--------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included.

<sup>2</sup> Using ABELE 97.

$$\Gamma(K^+K^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-) \qquad \Gamma_{14}/\Gamma_2$$

VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-----------	------	-------------	------	---------

<b><math>30.7 \pm 8.4 \pm 8.2</math></b>	20K	<sup>1</sup> LEES	17C	BABR $J/\psi \rightarrow h^+h^-\pi^0$
--	-----	-------------------	-----	---------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup> From Dalitz plot analyses in isobar models.

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \qquad \Gamma_4/\Gamma$$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen	821	<sup>1</sup> MATVIENKO	15	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\omega\pi^-$
seen	1.6k	ACHASOV	12	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
$\sim 0.21$		CLEGG	94	RVUE

<sup>1</sup> Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the  $\rho(1450)$  and assuming equal probabilities of the  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$  and  $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$  decays.

$$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\omega\pi) \qquad \Gamma_1/\Gamma_4$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-------	-------------	------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$\sim 0.32$	CLEGG	94	RVUE
-------------	-------	----	------

$$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi) \qquad \Gamma_4/\Gamma_3$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN
-------	-------------	------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<0.14$	CLEGG	88	RVUE
---------	-------	----	------

$$\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi) \qquad \Gamma_5/\Gamma_3$$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$0.27 \pm 0.08$	<sup>1</sup> ABELE	01B	CBAR $0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
-----------------	--------------------	-----	--------------------------------------

<sup>1</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included.

$\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$  $\Gamma_6/\Gamma_3$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.08±0.04      <sup>1</sup> ABELE      01B    CBAR    0.0  $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$ <sup>1</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included. $\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$  $\Gamma_7/\Gamma_3$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.37±0.13      <sup>1</sup> ABELE      01B    CBAR    0.0  $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$ <sup>1</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included. $\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$  $\Gamma_8/\Gamma_3$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.11±0.05      <sup>1</sup> ABELE      01B    CBAR    0.0  $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$ <sup>1</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included. $\Gamma(\rho(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(4\pi)$  $\Gamma_9/\Gamma_3$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.17±0.09      <sup>1</sup> ABELE      01B    CBAR    0.0  $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$ <sup>1</sup>  $\omega\pi$  not included. $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

**seen**      35      <sup>1</sup> ACHASOV    14    SND    1.15–2.00  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$ 

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

&lt;0.04      DONNACHIE    87B    RVUE

<sup>1</sup> From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\phi(1680)$  masses and widths from the PDG 12. $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$  $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma_4$ 

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
--------------	--------------------	-------------	----------------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.081±0.020      <sup>1,2</sup> AULCHENKO    15    SND    1.22–2.00  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ ~ 0.24      <sup>3</sup> DONNACHIE    91    RVUE>2      FUKUI      91    SPEC    8.95  $\pi^-p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0n$ <sup>1</sup> From a fit to the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$  cross section with vector meson dominance model including  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$  decaying exclusively via  $\eta\rho(770)$ . Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.<sup>2</sup> Reports the inverse of the quoted value as  $12.3 \pm 3.1$ .<sup>3</sup> Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\rho)$  $\Gamma_1/\Gamma_{11}$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$1.3 \pm 0.4$	<sup>1</sup> AULCHENKO 15	SND	$1.22\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
---------------	---------------------------	-----	--

<sup>1</sup> From a fit to the  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$  cross section with vector meson dominance model including  $\rho(770)$ ,  $\rho(1450)$ , and  $\rho(1700)$  decaying exclusively via  $\eta\rho(770)$ . Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.

 $\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{12}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

not seen	AMELIN	00	VES $37 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
----------	--------	----	---

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$  $\Gamma_{13}/\Gamma_4$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$<0.08$	<sup>1</sup> DONNACHIE 91	RVUE	
---------	---------------------------	------	--

<sup>1</sup> Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

 $\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{15}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

possibly seen	COAN	04	CLEO $\tau^- \rightarrow K^- \pi^- K^+ \nu_\tau$
---------------	------	----	--

 $\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  $\Gamma_{17}/\Gamma$ 

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-------	------	-------------	------	---------

<b>seen</b>	35	<sup>1</sup> ACHASOV 14	SND	$1.15\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
-------------	----	-------------------------	-----	--

<sup>1</sup> From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with  $\rho(1450)$  and  $\phi(1680)$  masses and widths from the PDG 12.

 $\rho(1450)$  REFERENCES

ACHASOV	18	PR D97 012008	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	18	PR D97 052007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BARTOS	17	PR D96 113004	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>	
BARTOS	17A	IJMP A32 1750154	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>	
LEES	17C	PR D95 072007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16C	PL B753 629	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	16D	PR D94 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	15	PR D91 052013	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
MATVIENKO	15	PR D92 012013	D. Matvienko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABRAMOWICZ	12	EPJ C72 1869	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	12	JETPL 94 734	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from ZETFP 94 796.		
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	11	JETP 113 75	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETF 140 87.		

AMBROSINO	11A	PL B700 102	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
ACHASOV	10D	PR D98 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
DUBNICKA	10	APS 60 1	S. Dubnicka, A.Z. Dubnickova	
AUBERT	09AS	PRL 103 231801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
FUJIKAWA	08	PR D78 072006	M. Fujikawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	07	PL B648 28	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	06	JETP 103 380	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	05	Translated from ZETF 130 437.	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALUISIO	05	PL B606 12	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
SCHAEEL	05C	PRPL 421 191	S. Schaeel <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
COAN	04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALEXANDER	01B	PR D64 092001	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ANDERSON	00A	PR D61 112002	S. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABELE	99C	PL B450 275	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	97	PL B391 191	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	97	PR D55 2663	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVM)
BARATE	97M	ZPHY C76 15	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	97D	PL B414 220	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
BISELLO	91B	NPBPS B21 111	D. Bisello	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
DONNACHIE	91	ZPHY C51 689	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
FUKUI	91	PL B257 241	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DUBNICKA	89	JP G15 1349	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, SLOV)
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
CLEGG	88	ZPHY C40 313	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(MCHS, LANC)
DIEKMANN	88	PRPL 159 99	B. Diekmann	(BONN)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
ALBRECHT	87L	PL B185 223	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
DOLINSKY	86	PL B174 453	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BARKOV	85	NP B256 365	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
ASTON	80C	Translated from ZETFP 37 613.	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BARBER	80C	PL 92B 211	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)
GOUNARIS	68	ZPHY C4 169	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	
		PRL 21 244		