

$\chi_{c0}(3915)$

$I^G(J^{PC}) = 0^+(0^{++})$

was  $X(3915)$

The  $\chi_{c0}(3915)$  was originally seen by BELLE in its  $\omega J/\psi$  decay mode and was produced in both  $B$  decays in CHOI 05 and  $\gamma\gamma$  collisions in UEHARA 10. The  $J^{PC}$  was determined to be  $0^{++}$  by BABAR in LEES 12AD but this assignment was questioned by ZHOU 15C. In AAIJ 20AI LHCb found the  $D^+ D^-$  decay mode of the  $\chi_{c0}(3915)$  using  $B$  decays and determined its  $J^{PC}$  to be  $0^{++}$ . Based on their compatible mass, width, and  $J^{PC}$ , we assume the state decaying to  $\omega J/\psi$  and the state decaying to  $D^+ D^-$  are both the  $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ . See also the  $\chi_{c2}(3930)$ .

### $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	
<b>3922.1 <math>\pm</math> 1.8 OUR AVERAGE</b>		Error includes scale factor of 1.5. See the ideogram below.			
3956 $\pm$ 5 $\pm$ 10	360	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	23AA LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- K^+$	
3923.8 $\pm$ 1.5 $\pm$ 0.4	1.2k	<sup>2</sup> AAIJ	20AI LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$	
3919.4 $\pm$ 2.2 $\pm$ 1.6	59 $\pm$ 10	LEES	12AD BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$	
$3919.1^{+3.8}_{-3.4} \pm 2.0$		DEL-AMO-SA..10B	BABR	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$	
3915 $\pm$ 3 $\pm$ 2	49 $\pm$ 15	UEHARA	10	BELL $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$	
3943 $\pm$ 11 $\pm$ 13	58 $\pm$ 11	<sup>3</sup> CHOI	05	BELL $B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$	
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>					
3922.4 $\pm$ 6.5 $\pm$ 2.0		<sup>4</sup> WANG	22A	BELL $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)$	
3926.4 $\pm$ 2.2 $\pm$ 1.2		<sup>5</sup> ABLIKIM	19V	BES $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma\omega J/\psi$	
$3914.6^{+3.8}_{-3.4} \pm 2.0$		<sup>3</sup> AUBERT	08W	BABR Superseded by DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10B	


<sup>1</sup>  $D_s^+ D_s^-$  near-threshold enhancement parameterized with a Flatte-like function .

<sup>2</sup> Obtained from the full amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape.

<sup>3</sup>  $\omega J/\psi$  threshold enhancement fitted as an S-wave Breit-Wigner resonance.

<sup>4</sup> Not distinguished from the  $\chi_{c2}(3930)$ .

<sup>5</sup> Could also be  $X(3940)$ . Significance  $3.1\sigma$ . Fit with additional resonance at  $3963.7 \pm 5.7$  MeV, significance  $3.4\sigma$ .



### $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>20 ± 4 OUR AVERAGE</b>				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
43 ± 13 ± 8	360	<sup>1</sup> AAIJ	23AA LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- K^+$
17.4 ± 5.1 ± 0.8	1.2k	<sup>2</sup> AAIJ	20AI LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$
13 ± 6 ± 3	59	LEES	12AD BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
31 ± 10 ± 5		DEL-AMO-SA..10B	BABR	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$
17 ± 10 ± 3	49	UEHARA	10	BELL $10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
87 ± 22 ± 26	58	<sup>3</sup> CHOI	05	BELL $B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
22 ± 17 ± 4		<sup>4</sup> WANG	22A BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)$
3.8 ± 7.5 ± 2.6		<sup>5</sup> ABLIKIM	19V BES	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \gamma\omega J/\psi$
34 ± 12 ± 5		<sup>3</sup> AUBERT	08W BABR	Superseded by DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10B

<sup>1</sup>  $D_s^+ D_s^-$  near-threshold enhancement parameterized with a Flatte-like function .

<sup>2</sup> Obtained from the full amplitude analysis. Parameterized with the relativistic Breit-Wigner line shape.

<sup>3</sup>  $\omega J/\psi$  threshold enhancement fitted as an S-wave Breit-Wigner resonance.

<sup>4</sup> Not distinguished from the  $\chi_{c2}(3930)$ .

<sup>5</sup> Could also be  $X(3940)$ . Significance  $3.1\sigma$ . Fit with additional resonance at  $3963.7 \pm 5.7$  MeV, significance  $3.4\sigma$ .

**$\chi_{c0}(3915)$  DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 \omega J/\psi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \overline{D}^{*0} D^0$	not seen
$\Gamma_3 D^+ D^-$	seen
$\Gamma_4 D_s^+ D_s^-$	seen
$\Gamma_5 \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S)$	not seen
$\Gamma_6 \eta_c \eta$	not seen
$\Gamma_7 \eta_c \pi^0$	not seen
$\Gamma_8 K\bar{K}$	not seen
$\Gamma_9 \gamma\gamma$	seen
$\Gamma_{10} \gamma\psi(2S)$	not seen
$\Gamma_{11} \pi^0 \chi_{c1}$	not seen

 **$\chi_{c0}(3915) \Gamma(i)\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma(\text{total})$** 

$$\Gamma(\omega J/\psi) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_1 \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>54 ± 9 OUR AVERAGE</b>				
52 ± 10 ± 3	59 ± 10	<sup>1</sup> LEES	12AD BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
61 ± 17 ± 8	49 ± 15	<sup>1</sup> UEHARA	10 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
18 ± 5 ± 2	49 ± 15	<sup>2</sup> UEHARA	10 BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
<sup>1</sup> For $J^P = 0^+$ .				
<sup>2</sup> For $J^P = 2^+$ , helicity-2.				

$$\Gamma(\gamma\psi(2S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_{10} \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
9.8 ± 3.6 ± 1.3	<sup>1</sup> WANG	22A BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\psi(2S)$

<sup>1</sup> Not distinguished from the  $\chi_{c2}(3930)$ .

$$\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c(1S)) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_5 \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<16	90	LEES	12AE BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \pi^+ \pi^- \eta_c$

$$\Gamma(K\bar{K}) \times \Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \quad \Gamma_8 \Gamma_9/\Gamma$$

VALUE (eV)	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<1.96	90	UEHARA	13 BELL	$\gamma\gamma \rightarrow K_S^0 \bar{K}_S^0$

## $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

### $\Gamma(\omega J/\psi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	1 DEL-AMO-SA...10B	BABR	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$	
<b>seen</b>	2 CHOI 05	BELL	$B \rightarrow \omega J/\psi K$	
<sup>1</sup> DEL-AMO-SANCHEZ 10B reports $B(B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(3915) K^\pm) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi \omega)$				
$= (3.0^{+0.7+0.5}_{-0.6-0.3}) \times 10^{-5}$ and $B(B^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(3915) K^0) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi \omega)$				
$= (2.1 \pm 0.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ .				
<sup>2</sup> CHOI 05 reports $B(B \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(3915) K) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow J/\psi \omega) = (7.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 3.1) \times 10^{-5}$ .				

### $\Gamma(\omega J/\psi)/\Gamma(\overline{D}^{*0} D^0)$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma_2$
<b>&gt;0.71</b>	90	1 AUSHEV	10	BELL	$B \rightarrow \overline{D}^{*0} D^0 K$
<sup>1</sup> By combining the upper limit $B(B \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(3915) K) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow D^{*0} \overline{D}^0)$					
$< 0.67 \times 10^{-4}$ from AUSHEV 10 with the average of CHOI 05 and AUBERT 08W measurements $B(B \rightarrow \chi_{c0}(3915) K) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi) = (0.51 \pm 0.11) \times 10^{-4}$ .					

### $\Gamma(D^+ D^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_3/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	AAIJ	20AI	LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$

### $\Gamma(D^+ D^-)/\Gamma(D_s^+ D_s^-)$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_3/\Gamma_4$
<b>0.29±0.09±0.10±0.08</b>	1 AAIJ	23AA	LHCb	$B^+ \rightarrow D_s^+ D_s^- K^+$

<sup>1</sup> Assuming that AAIJ 20AI reporting on  $B^+ \rightarrow D^+ D^- K^+$  also refers to  $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ . The last uncertainty is due to the values of  $B(D^+ \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$  and  $B(D_s^+ \rightarrow K^- K^+ \pi^+)$  from PDG 22.

### $\Gamma(\eta_c \eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_6/\Gamma$
<b>not seen</b>	90	1 VINOKUROVA 15	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \eta_c \eta$	

<sup>1</sup> VINOKUROVA 15 reports  $B(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \chi_{c0}(3915)) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \eta_c \eta) < 4.7 \times 10^{-5}$  at 90% CL.

### $\Gamma(\eta_c \pi^0)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_7/\Gamma$
<b>not seen</b>	90	1 VINOKUROVA 15	BELL	$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \eta_c \pi^0$	

<sup>1</sup> VINOKUROVA 15 reports  $B(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \chi_{c0}(3915)^0) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \eta_c \pi^0) < 1.7 \times 10^{-5}$  at 90% CL.

### $\Gamma(\gamma\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_9/\Gamma$
<b>seen</b>	$59 \pm 10$	LEES	12AD	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$
<b>seen</b>		UEHARA	10	BELL	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^- \omega J/\psi$

$\Gamma(\pi^0 \chi_{c1})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$					$\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
<b>not seen</b>	$42 \pm 14$	<sup>1</sup> BHARDWAJ	19 BELL	$B^\pm \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \pi^0 K^\pm$	
<sup>1</sup> BHARDWAJ 19 reports $B(B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \chi_{c0}(3915)) \times B(\chi_{c0}(3915) \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \pi^0) < 3.8 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% CL. A signal significance 2.3 standard deviations.					

## $\chi_{c0}(3915)$ REFERENCES

AAIJ	23AA	PRL 131 071901	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
PDG	22	PTEP 2022 083C01	R.L. Workman <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
WANG	22A	PR D105 112011	X.L. Wang <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AAIJ	20AI	PR D102 112003	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.) JPC
ABLIKIM	19V	PRL 122 232002	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
BHARDWAJ	19	PR D99 111101	V. Bhardwaj <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
VINOKUROVA	15	JHEP 1506 132	A. Vinokurova <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
Also		JHEP 1702 088 (errat.)	A. Vinokurava <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ZHOU	15C	PRL 115 022001	Z.-Y. Zhou, Z. Xiao, H.-Q. Zhou	(BEIJT, NANJ)
UEHARA	13	PTEP 2013 123C01	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
LEES	12AD	PR D86 072002	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
LEES	12AE	PR D86 092005	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUSHEV	10	PR D81 031103	T. Aushev <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
DEL-AMO-SA...	10B	PR D82 011101	P. del Amo Sanchez <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
UEHARA	10	PRL 104 092001	S. Uehara <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AUBERT	08W	PRL 101 082001	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
CHOI	05	PRL 94 182002	S.-K. Choi <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)