

$\Lambda(1520) \ 3/2^-$ $I(J^P) = 0(\frac{3}{2}^-)$ Status: ***

Discovered by FERRO-LUZZI 62; the elaboration in WATSON 63 is the classic paper on the Breit-Wigner analysis of a multichannel resonance.

The measurements of the mass, width, and elasticity published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They were last listed in our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

Production and formation experiments agree quite well, so they are listed together here.

 $\Lambda(1520)$ POLE POSITION**REAL PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
1517 to 1518 (≈ 1517.5) OUR ESTIMATE			
1517.5 ± 0.4 OUR AVERAGE			
1517.5 ± 0.4	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1517 $^{+4}_{-4}$	¹ KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1518	ZHANG 13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1518.8	QIANG 10	SPEC	$e p \rightarrow e' K^+ X$ (fit to X)

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

-2×IMAGINARY PART

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
14 to 18 (≈ 16) OUR ESTIMATE			
15.3 ± 0.9 OUR AVERAGE			
15.3 ± 0.9	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
15 $^{+10}_{-8}$	¹ KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
16	ZHANG 13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
17.2	QIANG 10	SPEC	$e p \rightarrow e' K^+ X$ (fit to X)

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

 $\Lambda(1520)$ POLE RESIDUES

The normalized residue is the residue divided by $\Gamma_{pole}/2$.

Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \rightarrow N\bar{K}$

MODULUS	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.45 ± 0.01	-10 ± 3	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.431	-11	¹ KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.44 ± 0.01	-15 ± 3	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.435	-10	¹ KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.**Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \rightarrow \Lambda\eta$**

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.013 ± 0.003	116 ± 3	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$, S-wave

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.431	-123	¹ KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.**Normalized residue in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$, D-wave**

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.0141	122	¹ KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15. **$\Lambda(1520)$ MASS**

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
1518 to 1520 (\approx 1519) OUR ESTIMATE				
1519.42 ± 0.19 OUR AVERAGE				Error includes scale factor of 1.1.
1518.5 ± 0.5		SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1519.6 ± 0.5		ZHANG 13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1520.4 ± 0.6 ± 1.5		QIANG 10	SPEC	$e p \rightarrow e' K^+ X$ (fit to X)
1517.3 ± 1.5	300	BARBER 80D	SPEC	$\gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) K^+$
1517.8 ± 1.2	5k	BARLAG 79	HBC	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
1520.0 ± 0.5		ALSTON-...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1519.7 ± 0.3	4k	CAMERON 77	HBC	$K^- p$ 0.96–1.36 GeV/c
1519 ± 1		GOPAL 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1519.4 ± 0.3	2000	CORDEN 75	DBC	$K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c

 $\Lambda(1520)$ WIDTH

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
15 to 17 (\approx 16) OUR ESTIMATE				
15.73 ± 0.26 OUR AVERAGE				
15.7 ± 1.0		SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
17 ± 1		ZHANG 13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
18.6 ± 1.9 ± 1.0		QIANG 10	SPEC	$e p \rightarrow e' K^+ X$ (fit to X)
16.3 ± 3.3	300	BARBER 80D	SPEC	$\gamma p \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) K^+$
16 ± 1		GOPAL 80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$

14	± 3	677	¹ BARLAG	79	HBC	$K^- p$ 4.2 GeV/c
15.4	± 0.5		ALSTON-...	78	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
16.3	± 0.5	4k	CAMERON	77	HBC	$K^- p$ 0.96–1.36 GeV/c
15.0	± 0.5		GOPAL	77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
15.5	± 1.6	2000	CORDEN	75	DBC	$K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c

¹ From the best-resolution sample of $\Lambda\pi\pi$ events only.

$\Lambda(1520)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 N\bar{K}$	(45 ± 1) %
$\Gamma_2 \Sigma\pi$	(42 ± 1) %
$\Gamma_3 \Lambda\pi\pi$	(10 ± 1) %
$\Gamma_4 \Sigma(1385)\pi$, <i>S</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_5 \Sigma(1385)\pi$, <i>D</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_6 \Sigma(1385)\pi$	
$\Gamma_7 \Sigma(1385)\pi (\rightarrow \Lambda\pi\pi)$	
$\Gamma_8 \Lambda(\pi\pi)_S$ -wave	
$\Gamma_9 \Sigma\pi\pi$	(0.9 ± 0.1) %
$\Gamma_{10} \Lambda\gamma$	(0.85 ± 0.15) %
$\Gamma_{11} \Sigma^0\gamma$	

$\Lambda(1520)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

See “Sign conventions for resonance couplings” in the Note on Λ and Σ Resonances.

$\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			Γ_1/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.45 to 0.47 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.45 ± 0.01	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.47 ± 0.04	ZHANG 13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.47 ± 0.02	GOPAL 80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
0.45 ± 0.03	ALSTON-...	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
0.448 ± 0.014	CORDEN 75	DBC	$K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.43	¹ KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.47 ± 0.01	GOPAL 77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80
0.42	MAST 76	HBC	$K^- p \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 n$

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$			Γ_2/Γ
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.42 to 0.46 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.43 ± 0.01	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.47 ± 0.05	ZHANG 13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.426 ± 0.014	CORDEN 75	DBC	$K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c
0.418 ± 0.017	BARBARO-... 69B	HBC	$K^- p$ 0.28–0.45 GeV/c

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.446	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.46	KIM	71	DPWA	K-matrix analysis

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma(N\bar{K})$

VALUE

0.9 to 1.0 OUR ESTIMATE

	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.98 ± 0.03	¹ GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
0.82 ± 0.08	BURKHARDT	69	HBC $K^- p$ 0.8–1.2 GeV/c
1.06 ± 0.14	SCHEUER	68	DBC $K^- N$ 3 GeV/c
0.96 ± 0.20	DAHL	67	HBC $\pi^- p$ 1.6–4 GeV/c
0.73 ± 0.11	DAUBER	67	HBC $K^- p$ 2 GeV/c
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1.06 ± 0.12	BERTHON	74	HBC Quasi-2-body σ
1.72 ± 0.78	MUSGRAVE	65	HBC

¹ The $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$ amplitude at resonance is +0.46 ± 0.01.

Γ_2/Γ_1

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE

0.09 to 0.11 OUR ESTIMATE

	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.091 ± 0.006	CORDEN	75	DBC $K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c
0.11 ± 0.01	¹ MAST	73B	IPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi\pi$

¹ Assumes $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.46 \pm 0.02$.

Γ_3/Γ

$\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)/\Gamma(N\bar{K})$

VALUE

0.18 to 0.22 OUR ESTIMATE

	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.22 ± 0.03	BURKHARDT	69	HBC $K^- p$ 0.8–1.2 GeV/c
0.19 ± 0.04	SCHEUER	68	DBC $K^- N$ 3 GeV/c
0.17 ± 0.05	DAHL	67	HBC $\pi^- p$ 1.6–4 GeV/c
0.21 ± 0.18	DAUBER	67	HBC $K^- p$ 2 GeV/c
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.27 ± 0.13	BERTHON	74	HBC Quasi-2-body σ
0.2	KIM	71	DPWA K-matrix analysis

Γ_3/Γ_1

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)$

VALUE

3.4 to 4.4 OUR ESTIMATE

	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
3.9 ± 1.0	UHLIG	67	HBC $K^- p$ 0.9–1.0 GeV/c
3.3 ± 1.1	BIRMINGHAM	66	HBC $K^- p$ 3.5 GeV/c
4.5 ± 1.0	ARMENTEROS65C	HBC	

Γ_2/Γ_3

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi, S\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.121	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

Γ_4/Γ

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

$\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi, D\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_5/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.003	¹ KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

¹ From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_6/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.041 ± 0.005	CHAN	72	HBC $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi\pi$

 $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi(\rightarrow \Lambda\pi\pi))/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)$ Γ_7/Γ_3

The $\Lambda\pi\pi$ mode is largely due to $\Sigma(1385)\pi$. Only the values of $(\Sigma(1385)\pi) / (\Lambda 2\pi)$ given by MAST 73B and CORDEN 75 are based on real 3-body partial-wave analyses.

The discrepancy between the two results is essentially due to the different hypotheses made concerning the shape of the $(\pi\pi)_S$ -wave state.

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>CL%</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.58 ± 0.22		CORDEN	75	DBC $K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c
0.82 ± 0.10		¹ MAST	73B	IPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\pi\pi$

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. **• • •**

<0.44	90	WIELAND	11	SPHR $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \Lambda(1520)$
0.39 ± 0.10		² BURKHARDT	71	HBC $K^- p \rightarrow (\Lambda\pi\pi)\pi$

¹ Both $\Sigma(1385)\pi$ DS_{03} and $\Sigma(\pi\pi)$ DP_{03} contribute.

² The central bin (1514–1524 MeV) gives 0.74 ± 0.10 ; other bins are lower by 2-to-5 standard deviations.

 $\Gamma(\Lambda(\pi\pi)_S\text{-wave})/\Gamma(\Lambda\pi\pi)$ Γ_8/Γ_3

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.20 ± 0.08	CORDEN	75	DBC $K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c

 $\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_9/Γ

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
0.007 to 0.011 OUR ESTIMATE			
0.007 ± 0.002	¹ CORDEN	75	DBC $K^- d$ 1.4–1.8 GeV/c
0.0085 ± 0.0006	² MAST	73	MPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi\pi$
0.010 ± 0.0015	BARBARO-...	69B	HBC $K^- p$ 0.28–0.45 GeV/c

¹ Much of the $\Sigma\pi\pi$ decay proceeds via $\Sigma(1385)\pi$.

² Assumes $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.46$.

 $\Gamma(\Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ Γ_{10}/Γ

<u>VALUE (units 10^{-3})</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
7 to 11 OUR ESTIMATE				
10.7 ± 2.9 $^{+1.5}_{-0.4}$	32	TAYLOR	05	CLAS $\gamma p \rightarrow K^+ \Lambda\gamma$
10.2 ± 2.1 ± 1.5	290	ANTIPOV	04A	SPNX $p N(C) \rightarrow \Lambda(1520) K^+ N(C)$
8.0 ± 1.4	238	MAST	68B	HBC Using $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}} = 0.45$

$\Gamma(\Sigma^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	Γ_{11}/Γ		
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
0.02±0.0035	1 MAST	68B HBC	Not measured; see note
¹ Calculated from $\Gamma(\Lambda\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$, assuming SU(3). Needed to constrain the sum of all the branching ratios to be unity.			

$\Lambda(1520)$ REFERENCES

SARANTSEV	19	EPJ A55 180	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
KAMANO	15	PR C92 025205	H. Kamano <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, OSAK)
ZHANG	13A	PR C88 035205	H. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(KSU)
WIELAND	11	EPJ A47 47	F. Wieland <i>et al.</i>	(ELSA SAPHIR Collab.)
QIANG	10	PL B694 123	Y. Qiang <i>et al.</i>	(DUKE, JEFF, PNPI, GWU+)
TAYLOR	05	PR C71 054609	S. Taylor <i>et al.</i>	(JLab CLAS Collab.)
Also		PR C72 039902 (errat.)	S. Taylor <i>et al.</i>	(JLab CLAS Collab.)
ANTIPOV	04A	PL B604 22	Yu.M. Antipov <i>et al.</i>	(IHEP SPHINX Collab.)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
BARBER	80D	ZPHY C7 17	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
BARLAG	79	NP B149 220	S.J.M. Barlag <i>et al.</i>	(AMST, CERN, NIJM+)
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
Also		PRL 38 1007	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
CAMERON	77	NP B131 399	W. Cameron <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOIC) IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MAST	76	PR D14 13	T.S. Mast <i>et al.</i>	(LBL)
CORDEN	75	NP B84 306	M.J. Corden <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM)
BERTHON	74	NC 21A 146	A. Berthon <i>et al.</i>	(CDEF, RHEL, SACL+)
MAST	73	PR D7 3212	T.S. Mast <i>et al.</i>	(LBL) IJP
MAST	73B	PR D7 5	T.S. Mast <i>et al.</i>	(LBL) IJP
CHAN	72	PRL 28 256	S.B. Chan <i>et al.</i>	(MASA, YALE)
BURKHARDT	71	NP B27 64	E. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>	(HEID, CERN, SACL)
KIM	71	PRL 27 356	J.K. Kim	(HARV) IJP
Also		Duke Conf. 161	J.K. Kim	(HARV) IJP
Hyperon Resonances, 1970				
BARBARO-...	69B	Lund Conf. 352	A. Barbaro-Galtieri <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
Also		Duke Conf. 95	R.D. Tripp	(LRL)
Hyperon Resonances 1970				
BURKHARDT	69	NP B14 106	E. Burkhardt <i>et al.</i>	(HEID, EFI, CERN+)
MAST	68B	PRL 21 1715	T.S. Mast <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
SCHEUER	68	NP B8 503	J.C. Scheuer <i>et al.</i>	(SABRE Collab.)
DAHL	67	PR 163 1377	O.I. Dahl <i>et al.</i>	(LRL)
DAUBER	67	PL 24B 525	P.M. Dauber <i>et al.</i>	(UCLA)
UHLIG	67	PR 155 1448	R.P. Uhlig <i>et al.</i>	(UMD, NRL)
BIRMINGHAM	66	PR 152 1148	M. Haque <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, GLAS, LOIC, OXF+)
ARMENTEROS	65C	PL 19 338	R. Armenteros <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEID, SACL)
MUSGRAVE	65	NC 35 735	B. Musgrave <i>et al.</i>	(BIRM, CERN, EPOL+)
WATSON	63	PR 131 2248	M.B. Watson, M. Ferro-Luzzi, R.D. Tripp	(LRL) IJP
FERRO-LUZZI	62	PRL 8 28	M. Ferro-Luzzi, R.D. Tripp, M.B. Watson	(LRL) IJP