

$\rho(1450)$ $I^G(J^{PC}) = 1^+(1^{--})$ **$\rho(1450)$ MASS** **$\rho(1450)$ MASS**VALUE (MeV)DOCUMENT ID

1465±25 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

 $\eta\rho^0$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1506±11	13.4k	¹ GRIBANOV	20	CMD3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1500±10	7.4k	² ACHASOV	18	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1497±14		³ AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
1421±15		⁴ AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1470±20		ANTONELLI	88	DM2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
1446±10		FUKUI	88	SPEC $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-n$

¹ Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays used.

² From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π , 0 and π , respectively.

³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$.

⁴ Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.

 $\omega\pi$ MODE

<u>VALUE (MeV)</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1510±7	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1544±22 ⁺¹¹ ₋₄₆	821	² MATVIENKO	15	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^*+\omega\pi^-$
1491±19	7815	³ ACHASOV	13	SND $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1582±17±25	2382	⁴ AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
1349±25 ⁺¹⁰ ₋₅	341	⁵ ALEXANDER	01B	CLE2 $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
1523±10		⁶ EDWARDS	00A	CLE2 $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$
1463±25		⁷ CLEGG	94	RVUE
1250		⁸ ASTON	80C	OMEG $20-70 \gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
1290±40		⁸ BARBER	80C	SPEC $3-5 \gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

² Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.

- ³ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.
- ⁴ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.
- ⁵ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.
- ⁶ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.
- ⁷ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.
- ⁸ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

4π MODE

VALUE (MeV)		DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1435±40		ABELE 01B	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0 \pi^+$
1350±50		ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$
1449±4		¹ ARMSTRONG 89E	OMEG	$300 pp \rightarrow pp 2(\pi^+ \pi^-)$

¹ Not clear whether this observation has $I=1$ or 0.

ππ MODE

VALUE (MeV)		EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • •	We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
1326.35±3.46		1	BARTOS 17	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1342.31±46.62		2	BARTOS 17A	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1373.83±11.37		3	BARTOS 17A	RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1429 ± 41	20k	4	LEES 17C	BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1350 ± 20	+20 -30	63.5k	5 ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS	$ep \rightarrow e\pi^+ \pi^- p$
1493 ± 15		6	LEES 12G	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
1446 ± 7	±28	5.4M	7,8 FUJIKAWA 08	BELL	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1328 ± 15			9 SCHABEL 05C	ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
1406 ± 15		87k	7,10 ANDERSON 00A	CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
~ 1368			11 ABELE 99C	CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
1348 ± 33			BERTIN 98	OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ \pi^-$
1411 ± 14			12 ABELE 97	CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
1370 +90 -70			ACHASOV 97	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1359 ± 40			10 BERTIN 97C	OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
1282 ± 37			BERTIN 97D	OBLX	$0.05 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
1424 ± 25			BISELLO 89	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1265.5 ± 75.3			DUBNICKA 89	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
1292 ± 17			13 KURDADZE 83	OLYA	$0.64-1.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.

² Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.

- ³ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.
- ⁴ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ masses and widths floating.
- ⁵ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting $\rho-\omega$ interference.
- ⁶ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.
- ⁷ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.
- ⁸ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.
- ⁹ From the combined fit of the τ^- data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHAEEL 05C and e^+e^- data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.
- ¹⁰ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.
- ¹¹ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.
- ¹² T-matrix pole.
- ¹³ Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$					
1208 ± 8 ± 9	190k	¹ AAIJ	16N LHCb		$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
1422.8 ± 6.5	27k	² ABELE	99D CBAR	\pm	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with fixed width.

² K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1420)$.

$K\bar{K}^*(892) + c.c.$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
1505 $\pm 19 \pm 7$	AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

$m_{\rho(1450)^0} - m_{\rho(1450)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
-31.53 ± 47.99	¹ BARTOS	17A RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

¹ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08.

$\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

$\rho(1450)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
400 \pm 60 OUR ESTIMATE This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.			
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			

480 ± 180	¹ ACHASOV	10D SND	$1.075-2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$
---------------	----------------------	---------	--

¹ From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. Systematic errors not evaluated.

$\eta\rho^0$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
321±27	13.4k	¹ GRIBANOV	20	CMD3 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
280±20	7.4k	² ACHASOV	18	SND $1.22-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
226±44		³ AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
211±31		⁴ AKHMETSHIN 00D	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
230±30		ANTONELLI	88	DM2 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
60±15		FUKUI	88	SPEC $8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$

¹ Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays used.

² From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π , 0 and π , respectively.

³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$.

⁴ Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.

 $\omega\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
440± 40	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D	SND $1.05-2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
303^{+31+69}_{-52-7}	821	² MATVIENKO	15	BELL $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^*+\omega\pi^-$
429± 42±10	2382	³ AKHMETSHIN 03B	CMD2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$
547^{+86+46}_{-45}	341	⁴ ALEXANDER	01B	CLE2 $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\omega\pi^-$
400± 35		⁵ EDWARDS	00A	CLE2 $\tau^- \rightarrow \omega\pi^-\nu_\tau$
311± 62		⁶ CLEGG	94	RVUE
300		⁷ ASTON	80C	OMEG 20–70 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$
320±100		⁷ BARBER	80C	SPEC 3–5 $\gamma p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 p$

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

² Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probabilities of the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.

³ Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 03B and BISELLO 91B assuming the $\omega\pi^0$ and $\pi^+\pi^-$ mass dependence of the total width. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 240 MeV, respectively.

⁴ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming the $\omega\pi^-$ mass dependence for the total width.

⁵ Mass-independent width parameterization. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV respectively.

⁶ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

⁷ Not separated from $b_1(1235)$, not pure $J^P = 1^-$ effect.

4π MODE

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
325 \pm 100	ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 2\pi^- 2\pi^0 \pi^+$

 $\pi\pi$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
324.13 \pm 12.01		¹ BARTOS	17 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
492.17 \pm 138.38		² BARTOS	17A RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
340.87 \pm 23.84		³ BARTOS	17A RVUE	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
576 \pm 29	20k	⁴ LEES	17C BABR	$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
460 \pm 30	$+40$ -45	63.5k	⁵ ABRAMOWICZ12	ZEUS $e p \rightarrow e \pi^+ \pi^- p$
427 \pm 31		⁶ LEES	12G BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma$
434 \pm 16	± 60	5.4M	^{7,8} FUJIKAWA	08 BELL $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
468 \pm 41		⁹ SCHABEL	05C ALEP	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
455 \pm 41	87k	^{7,10} ANDERSON	00A CLE2	$\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$
~ 374		¹¹ ABELE	99C CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}d \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^- p$
275 \pm 10		BERTIN	98 OBLX	$0.05-0.405 \bar{n}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
343 \pm 20		¹² ABELE	97 CBAR	$\bar{p}n \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0$
310 \pm 40		¹⁰ BERTIN	97C OBLX	$0.0 \bar{p}p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$
236 \pm 36		BERTIN	97D OBLX	$0.05 \bar{p}p \rightarrow 2\pi^+ 2\pi^-$
269 \pm 31		BISELLO	89 DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
391 \pm 70		DUBNICKA	89 RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
218 \pm 46		¹³ KURDADZE	83 OLYA	$0.64-1.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of LEES 12G and ABLIKIM 16C.

² Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, and AMBROSINO 11A.

³ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUB-NICKA 10 to analyze the data of FUJIKAWA 08.

⁴ From a Dalitz plot analysis in an isobar model with $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ masses and widths floating.

⁵ Using the KUHN 90 parametrization of the pion form factor, neglecting $\rho-\omega$ interference.

⁶ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor leaving the masses and widths of the $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$, and $\rho(2150)$ resonances as free parameters of the fit.

⁷ From the GOUNARIS 68 parametrization of the pion form factor.

⁸ $|F_\pi(0)|^2$ fixed to 1.

⁹ From the combined fit of the τ^- data from ANDERSON 00A and SCHABEL 05C and $e^+ e^-$ data from the compilation of BARKOV 85, AKHMETSHIN 04, and ALOISIO 05. $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1713 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively. Supersedes BARATE 97M.

¹⁰ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1700 MeV and 235 MeV, respectively.

¹¹ $\rho(1700)$ mass and width fixed at 1780 MeV and 275 MeV respectively.

¹² T-matrix pole.

¹³ Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

$K\bar{K}$ MODE

VALUE (MeV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	CHG	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •					
410 \pm 19	\pm 35	190k	¹ AAIJ	16N LHCb	$D^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm \pi^\mp$
146.5 \pm 10.5	27k		² ABELE	99D CBAR	\pm 0.0 $\bar{p}p \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$

¹ Using the GOUNARIS 68 parameterization with fixed mass.² K-matrix pole. Isospin not determined, could be $\omega(1420)$. **$K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$ MODE**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
418 \pm 25 \pm 4	AUBERT	08S BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$

 $\Gamma_{\rho(1450)^0} - \Gamma_{\rho(1450)^\pm}$

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
151.30 \pm 140.42	¹ BARTOS	17A RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$, $\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 \nu_\tau$

¹ Applies the Unitary & Analytic Model of the pion electromagnetic form factor of DUBNICKA 10 to analyze the data of ACHASOV 06, AKHMETSHIN 07, AUBERT 09AS, AMBROSINO 11A, and FUJIKAWA 08. **$\rho(1450)$ DECAY MODES**

Mode	Fraction (Γ_i/Γ)
$\Gamma_1 \pi\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_2 \pi^+ \pi^-$	seen
$\Gamma_3 4\pi$	seen
$\Gamma_4 \omega\pi$	
$\Gamma_5 a_1(1260)\pi$	
$\Gamma_6 h_1(1170)\pi$	
$\Gamma_7 \pi(1300)\pi$	
$\Gamma_8 \rho\rho$	
$\Gamma_9 \rho(\pi\pi)_S\text{-wave}$	
$\Gamma_{10} e^+ e^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{11} \eta\rho$	seen
$\Gamma_{12} a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
$\Gamma_{13} K\bar{K}$	seen
$\Gamma_{14} K^+ K^-$	seen
$\Gamma_{15} K\bar{K}^*(892) + \text{c.c.}$	possibly seen
$\Gamma_{16} \pi^0\gamma$	seen
$\Gamma_{17} \eta\gamma$	seen

Γ_{18}	$f_0(500)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{19}	$f_0(980)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{20}	$f_0(1370)\gamma$	not seen
Γ_{21}	$f_2(1270)\gamma$	not seen

 $\rho(1450) \Gamma(i) \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$

$\Gamma(\pi\pi) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_1 \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$		
VALUE (keV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.12	¹ DIEKMAN	88	RVUE	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$
$0.027^{+0.015}_{-0.010}$	² KURDADZE	83	OLYA	$0.64-1.4 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Using total width = 235 MeV.

² Using for $\rho(1700)$ mass and width 1600 ± 20 and 300 ± 10 MeV respectively.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{11} \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$			
VALUE (eV)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$335 \pm 27 \pm 20$	13.4k	¹ GRIBANOV	20	CMD3	$1.1-2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$210 \pm 24 \pm 10$		² LEES	18	BABR	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
74 ± 20		³ AKHMETSHIN 00D		CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
91 ± 19		ANTONELLI	88	DM2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ Mass and width of the $\rho(770)$ fixed at 775 and 149 MeV, respectively; solution 2 of model 2, $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ decays used.

² Includes non-resonant contribution. The selected fit model includes three ρ excited states. Model uncertainty is 20%.

³ Using the data of ANTONELLI 88, DOLINSKY 91, and AKHMETSHIN 00D. The energy-independent width of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ mesons assumed.

$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{15} \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$		
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

$127 \pm 15 \pm 6$	AUBERT	08S	BABR	$10.6 e^+ e^- \rightarrow K\bar{K}^*(892)\gamma$
--------------------	--------	-----	------	--

$\Gamma(\eta\gamma) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{17} \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$		
VALUE (eV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<16.4	¹ AKHMETSHIN 05	CMD2	$0.60-1.38 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
$2.2 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.3$	² AKHMETSHIN 01B	CMD2	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$

¹ From 2γ decay mode of η using 1465 MeV and 310 MeV for the $\rho(1450)$ mass and width. Recalculated by us.

² Using the data of AKHMETSHIN 01B on $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$, AKHMETSHIN 00D and ANTONELLI 88 on $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+ \pi^-$. Recalculated by us using width of 226 MeV.

$\rho(1450) \Gamma(i)/\Gamma(\text{total}) \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma(\text{total})$
 $\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_4/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-6})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.1 ± 0.4	10.2k	¹ ACHASOV	16D SND	$1.05\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$
5.3 ± 0.4	7815	² ACHASOV	13 SND	$1.05\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with interfering $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$. The $\rho(1700)$ mass and width are fixed at 1720 MeV and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainties not estimated. Supersedes ACHASOV 13.

² From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with the interfering $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ and their widths fixed at 400 and 250 MeV, respectively. Systematic uncertainty not estimated.

 $\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-7})	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

7.3 ± 0.3	7.4k	¹ ACHASOV	18 SND	$1.22\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$
$4.3^{+1.1}_{-0.9} \pm 0.2$	4.9k	² AULCHENKO	15 SND	$1.22\text{--}2.00 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$

¹ From the combined fit of AULCHENKO 15 and ACHASOV 18 in the model with the interfering $\rho(1450)$, $\rho(1700)$ and $\rho(2150)$ with the parameters of the $\rho(1450)$ and $\rho(1700)$ floating and the mass and width of the $\rho(2150)$ fixed at 2155 MeV and 320 MeV, respectively. The phases of the resonances are π , 0 and π , respectively.

² From a fit to the $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \eta \pi^+ \pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$. Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.

 $\Gamma(\pi^0\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_{16}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-9})	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
--------------------------	-------------	------	---------

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

2.3 ± 1.4	¹ ACHASOV	10D SND	$1.075\text{--}2.0 e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$
---------------	----------------------	---------	--

¹ From a fit of a VMD model with two effective resonances with masses of 1450 MeV and 1700 MeV to describe the excited vector states $\omega(1420)$, $\rho(1450)$, $\omega(1650)$, and $\rho(1700)$. Systematic errors not evaluated.

 $\Gamma(f_0(500)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_{18}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-9})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<4.0	90	ACHASOV	11 SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

 $\Gamma(f_0(980)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_{19}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-9})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<2.6	90	ACHASOV	11 SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

 $\Gamma(f_0(1370)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}} \times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$
 $\Gamma_{20}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE (units 10^{-9})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<3.5	90	ACHASOV	11 SND	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

$\Gamma(f_2(1270)\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\times \Gamma(e^+ e^-)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$\Gamma_{21}/\Gamma \times \Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$		
VALUE (units 10^{-9})	CL%	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<0.8	90	¹ ACHASOV	11	$e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

¹ Using Breit-Wigner parametrization of the $\rho(1450)$ with mass and width of 1465 MeV and 400 MeV, respectively.

$\rho(1450)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>	Γ_1/Γ_3
<i>VALUE</i>				

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • •

0.37 ± 0.10 ^{1,2} ABELE 01B CBAR 0.0 $\bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$

¹ $\omega\pi$ not included.

² Using ABELE 97.

$\Gamma(K^+ K^-)/\Gamma(\pi^+ \pi^-)$	Γ_{14}/Γ_2			
VALUE (%)	EVTS	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
30.7+8.4+8.2	20k	1 LEFS	17C BARR	$1/\sqrt{s} \rightarrow h^+ h^- \pi^0$

¹ From Dalitz plot analyses in isobar models.

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$	$EVTs$	<i>DOCUMENT ID</i>	<i>TECN</i>	<i>COMMENT</i>	Γ_4/Γ
VALUE					

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

seen 821 ¹ MATVIENKO 15 BELL $\overline{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+} \omega \pi^-$

seen 1.6k ACHASOV 12 SND $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$

≈ 0.21 CLEGG 94 RVUE

¹ Using Breit-Wigner parameterization of the $\rho(1450)$ and assuming equal probability for the $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \pi\pi$ and $\rho(1450) \rightarrow \omega\pi$ decays.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$	Γ_1/Γ_4
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •	
~0.32	CLEGG 94 RVUE

$\Gamma(\omega\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ Γ_4/Γ_3
VALUE DOCUMENT ID TECN

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

<0.14 CLEGG 88 RVUE

$\Gamma(a_1(1260)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$	Γ_5/Γ_3
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.27 ± 0.08 $\frac{1}{\pi} \text{ABELE}$ 01B CBAR $0.0 \bar{p} p \rightarrow 5\pi$

1 ✓ = not included

ω is not included.

$\Gamma(h_1(1170)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ **Γ_6/Γ_3**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.08 ± 0.04	¹ ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
${}^1 \omega\pi$ not included.			

$\Gamma(\pi(1300)\pi)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ **Γ_7/Γ_3**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.37 ± 0.13	¹ ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
${}^1 \omega\pi$ not included.			

$\Gamma(\rho\rho)/\Gamma(4\pi)$ **Γ_8/Γ_3**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.11 ± 0.05	¹ ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
${}^1 \omega\pi$ not included.			

$\Gamma(\rho(\pi\pi)_{S\text{-wave}})/\Gamma(4\pi)$ **Γ_9/Γ_3**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.17 ± 0.09	¹ ABELE	01B CBAR	$0.0 \bar{p}n \rightarrow 5\pi$
${}^1 \omega\pi$ not included.			

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$ **Γ_{11}/Γ**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	35	¹ ACHASOV	14	SND $1.15\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
<0.04		DONNACHIE	87B RVUE	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				

¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.

$\Gamma(\eta\rho)/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$ **Γ_{11}/Γ_4**

<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
0.081 ± 0.020	^{1,2} AULCHENKO	15	SND $1.22\text{--}2.00 e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$
~ 0.24	³ DONNACHIE	91	RVUE
>2	FUKUI	91	SPEC $8.95 \pi^- p \rightarrow \omega\pi^0 n$

¹ From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$. Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.

² Reports the inverse of the quoted value as 12.3 ± 3.1 .

³ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.

$\Gamma(\pi\pi)/\Gamma(\eta\rho)$				Γ_1/Γ_{11}
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
1.3±0.4	¹ AULCHENKO 15	SND	1.22–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$	
¹ From a fit to the $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ cross section with vector meson dominance model including $\rho(770)$, $\rho(1450)$, and $\rho(1700)$ decaying exclusively via $\eta\rho(770)$. Masses and widths of vector states are fixed to PDG 14. Coupling constants are assumed to be real.				
$\Gamma(a_2(1320)\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{12}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
not seen	AMELIN	00	VES	37 $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^- n$
$\Gamma(K\bar{K})/\Gamma(\omega\pi)$				Γ_{13}/Γ_4
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>		
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
<0.08	¹ DONNACHIE 91	RVUE		
¹ Using data from BISELLO 91B, DOLINSKY 86 and ALBRECHT 87L.				
$\Gamma(K\bar{K}^*(892)+\text{c.c.})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{15}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>	
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$				
possibly seen	COAN	04	CLEO	$\tau^- \rightarrow K^-\pi^-K^+\nu_\tau$
$\Gamma(\eta\gamma)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$				Γ_{17}/Γ
<u>VALUE</u>	<u>EVTS</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
seen	35	¹ ACHASOV 14	SND	1.15–2.00 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \eta\gamma$
¹ From a phenomenological model based on vector meson dominance with $\rho(1450)$ and $\phi(1680)$ masses and widths from the PDG 12.				

$\rho(1450)$ REFERENCES

GRIBANOV	20	JHEP 2001 112	S.S. Gribanov <i>et al.</i>	(CMD-3 Collab.)
ACHASOV	18	PR D97 012008	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
LEES	18	PR D97 052007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
BARTOS	17	PR D96 113004	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>	
BARTOS	17A	IJMP A32 1750154	E. Bartos <i>et al.</i>	
LEES	17C	PR D95 072007	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AAIJ	16N	PR D93 052018	R. Aaij <i>et al.</i>	(LHCb Collab.)
ABLIKIM	16C	PL B753 629	M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i>	(BESIII Collab.)
ACHASOV	16D	PR D94 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
AULCHENKO	15	PR D91 052013	V.M. Aulchenko <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
MATVIENKO	15	PR D92 012013	D. Matvienko <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
ACHASOV	14	PR D90 032002	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
PDG	14	CP C38 070001	K. Olive <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	13	PR D88 054013	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
ABRAMOWICZ	12	EPJ C72 1869	H. Abramowicz <i>et al.</i>	(ZEUS Collab.)
ACHASOV	12	JETPL 94 734	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	
		Translated from ZETFP 94 796.		
LEES	12G	PR D86 032013	J.P. Lees <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
PDG	12	PR D86 010001	J. Beringer <i>et al.</i>	(PDG Collab.)
ACHASOV	11	JETPL 113 75	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
		Translated from ZETFP 140 87.		

AMBROSINO	11A	PL B700 102	F. Ambrosino <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
ACHASOV	10D	PR D98 112001	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(SND Collab.)
DUBNICKA	10	APS 60 1	S. Dubnicka, A.Z. Dubnickova	
AUBERT	09AS	PRL 103 231801	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
AUBERT	08S	PR D77 092002	B. Aubert <i>et al.</i>	(BABAR Collab.)
FUJIKAWA	08	PR D78 072006	M. Fujikawa <i>et al.</i>	(BELLE Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	07	PL B648 28	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ACHASOV	06	JETP 103 380	M.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk SND Collab.)
Translated from ZETTF 130 437.				
AKHMETSHIN	05	PL B605 26	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALOISIO	05	PL B606 12	A. Aloisio <i>et al.</i>	(KLOE Collab.)
SCHAEL	05C	PRPL 421 191	S. Schael <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	04	PL B578 285	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
COAN	04	PRL 92 232001	T.E. Coan <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	03B	PL B562 173	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ABELE	01B	EPJ C21 261	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	01B	PL B509 217	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
ALEXANDER	01B	PR D64 092001	J.P. Alexander <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
AKHMETSHIN	00D	PL B489 125	R.R. Akhmetshin <i>et al.</i>	(Novosibirsk CMD-2 Collab.)
AMELIN	00	NP A668 83	D. Amelin <i>et al.</i>	(VES Collab.)
ANDERSON	00A	PR D61 112002	S. Anderson <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
EDWARDS	00A	PR D61 072003	K.W. Edwards <i>et al.</i>	(CLEO Collab.)
ABELE	99C	PL B450 275	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ABELE	99D	PL B468 178	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
BERTIN	98	PR D57 55	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
ABELE	97	PL B391 191	A. Abele <i>et al.</i>	(Crystal Barrel Collab.)
ACHASOV	97	PR D55 2663	N.N. Achasov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVM)
BARATE	97M	ZPHY C76 15	R. Barate <i>et al.</i>	(ALEPH Collab.)
BERTIN	97C	PL B408 476	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
BERTIN	97D	PL B414 220	A. Bertin <i>et al.</i>	(OBELIX Collab.)
CLEGG	94	ZPHY C62 455	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(LANC, MCHS)
BISELLO	91B	NPBBS B21 111	D. Bisello	(DM2 Collab.)
DOLINSKY	91	PRPL 202 99	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
DONNACHIE	91	ZPHY C51 689	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
FUKUI	91	PL B257 241	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
KUHN	90	ZPHY C48 445	J.H. Kuhn <i>et al.</i>	(MPIM)
ARMSTRONG	89E	PL B228 536	T.A. Armstrong, M. Benayoun	(ATHU, BARI, BIRM+)
BISELLO	89	PL B220 321	D. Bisello <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
DUBNICKA	89	JP G15 1349	S. Dubnicka <i>et al.</i>	(JINR, SLOV)
ANTONELLI	88	PL B212 133	A. Antonelli <i>et al.</i>	(DM2 Collab.)
CLEGG	88	ZPHY C40 313	A.B. Clegg, A. Donnachie	(MCHS, LANC)
DIEKMAN	88	PRPL 159 99	B. Diekmann	(BONN)
FUKUI	88	PL B202 441	S. Fukui <i>et al.</i>	(SUGI, NAGO, KEK, KYOT+)
ALBRECHT	87L	PL B185 223	H. Albrecht <i>et al.</i>	(ARGUS Collab.)
DONNACHIE	87B	ZPHY C34 257	A. Donnachie, A.B. Clegg	(MCHS, LANC)
DOLINSKY	86	PL B174 453	S.I. Dolinsky <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
BARKOV	85	NP B256 365	L.M. Barkov <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
KURDADZE	83	JETPL 37 733	L.M. Kurdadze <i>et al.</i>	(NOVO)
Translated from ZETFP 37 613.				
ASTON	80C	PL 92B 211	D. Aston	(BONN, CERN, EPOL, GLAS, LANC+)
BARBER	80C	ZPHY C4 169	D.P. Barber <i>et al.</i>	(DARE, LANC, SHEF)
GOUNARIS	68	PRL 21 244	G.J. Gounaris, J.J. Sakurai	