

**$\Lambda(1820)$**   $5/2^+$  $I(J^P) = 0(\frac{5}{2}^+)$  Status: \*\*\*

This resonance is the cornerstone for all partial-wave analyses in this region. Most of the results published before 1973 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982).

 **$\Lambda(1820)$  POLE POSITION****REAL PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1812 to 1825 (<math>\approx 1818</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1813 $\pm$ 3	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1824 $^{+2}_{-1}$	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1814	ZHANG 13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.**-2xIMAGINARY PART**

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>75 to 80 (<math>\approx 77</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
78 $\pm$ 7	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
77 $\pm$ 2	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
85	ZHANG 13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15. **$\Lambda(1820)$  POLE RESIDUES**The normalized residue is the residue divided by  $\Gamma_{pole}/2$ .**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow N\bar{K}$** 

MODULUS	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.60 <math>\pm</math> 0.12</b>	<b>-22 <math>\pm</math> 5</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.558	-13	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$** 

MODULUS	PHASE (°)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.34 <math>\pm</math> 0.07</b>	<b>174 <math>\pm</math> 5</b>	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.357	168	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Lambda\eta$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.0184	-3	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Xi K$** 

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
~0		SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.00111	70	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$ , P-wave**

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.07 ±0.02</b>	<b>-60 ± 50</b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.340	161	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$ , F-wave**

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.11 ±0.04</b>	<b>5 ± 45</b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.201	151	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , S=1/2 , F-wave**

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.02 ±0.02</b>		SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.00750	41	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , S=3/2 , P-wave**

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.35 ±0.15</b>	<b>-30 ± 45</b>	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
<b>• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •</b>				
0.171	-139	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

**Normalized residue in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , S=3/2 , F-wave**

<u>MODULUS</u>	<u>PHASE (°)</u>	<u>DOCUMENT ID</u>	<u>TECN</u>	<u>COMMENT</u>
<b>0.02 ±0.02</b>		SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •

0.000517      161      <sup>1</sup>KAMANO      15 DPWA  $\bar{K}N$  multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

## $\Lambda(1820)$ MASS

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>1815 to 1825 (<math>\approx 1820</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
1822 $\pm 4$	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1823.5 $\pm 0.8$	ZHANG	13A	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1823 $\pm 3$	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1819 $\pm 2$	ALSTON...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1821 $\pm 2$	KANE	74	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
1830	DECLAIS	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
1822 $\pm 2$	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
1817 or 1819	<sup>1</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.

## $\Lambda(1820)$ WIDTH

VALUE (MeV)	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>70 to 90 (<math>\approx 80</math>) OUR ESTIMATE</b>			
80 $\pm 8$	SARANTSEV	19	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
89 $\pm 2$	ZHANG	13A	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
77 $\pm 5$	GOPAL	80	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
72 $\pm 5$	ALSTON...	78	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
87 $\pm 3$	KANE	74	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •			
82	DECLAIS	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$
81 $\pm 5$	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
76 or 76	<sup>1</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.

## $\Lambda(1820)$ DECAY MODES

Mode	Fraction ( $\Gamma_i/\Gamma$ )
$\Gamma_1 N\bar{K}$	55–65 %
$\Gamma_2 \Sigma\pi$	8–14 %
$\Gamma_3 \Sigma(1385)\pi$	5–10 %
$\Gamma_4 \Sigma(1385)\pi$ , <i>P</i> -wave	
$\Gamma_5 \Sigma(1385)\pi$ , <i>F</i> -wave	(2.0 $\pm$ 1.0) %
$\Gamma_6 \Lambda\eta$	
$\Gamma_7 \Xi K$	
$\Gamma_8 \Sigma\pi\pi$	
$\Gamma_9 N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , <i>S</i> =1/2, <i>F</i> -wave	

$\Gamma_{10}$	$N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , $S=3/2$ , $P$ -wave	$(3.0 \pm 1.0) \%$
$\Gamma_{11}$	$N\bar{K}^*(892)$ , $S=3/2$ , $F$ -wave	

## $\Lambda(1820)$ BRANCHING RATIOS

Errors quoted do not include uncertainties in the parametrizations used in the partial-wave analyses and are thus too small. See also “Sign conventions for resonance couplings” in the Note on  $\Lambda$  and  $\Sigma$  Resonances.

### $\Gamma(N\bar{K})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_1/\Gamma$
<b>0.55 to 0.65 OUR ESTIMATE</b>				
0.58 $\pm 0.12$	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
0.54 $\pm 0.01$	ZHANG 13A	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
0.58 $\pm 0.02$	GOPAL 80	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
0.60 $\pm 0.03$	ALSTON-...	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.547	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
0.51	DECLAIS 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N \rightarrow \bar{K}N$	
0.57 $\pm 0.02$	GOPAL 77	DPWA	See GOPAL 80	
0.59 or 0.58	<sup>2</sup> MARTIN 77	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

<sup>2</sup> The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.

### $\Gamma(\Sigma\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_2/\Gamma$
<b>0.19 <math>\pm 0.04</math></b>				
SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.218	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

### $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_4/\Gamma$
$\sim 0.01$	SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.173	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

### $\Gamma(\Sigma(1385)\pi, F\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_5/\Gamma$
<b>0.02 <math>\pm 0.01</math></b>				
SARANTSEV 19	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel		
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.055	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	$\bar{K}N$ multichannel	

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

### $\Gamma(\Lambda\eta)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT	$\Gamma_6/\Gamma$
• • • We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. • • •				
0.001	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO 15	DPWA	Multichannel	

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

$\Gamma(\Xi K)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_7/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
not seen	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

$\Gamma(\Sigma\pi\pi)/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_8/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
no clear signal	<sup>1</sup> ARMENTEROS68c	HDPC	$K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma\pi\pi$
<sup>1</sup> There is a suggestion of a bump, enough to be consistent with what is expected from $\Sigma(1385) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$ decay.			

$\Gamma(N\bar{K}^*(892), S=1/2, F\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_9/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
not seen	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel
<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.			

$\Gamma(N\bar{K}^*(892), S=3/2, P\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{10}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
<b>0.03 ± 0.01</b>	ZHANG	13A	DPWA Multichannel
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
0.006	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel

<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.

$\Gamma(N\bar{K}^*(892), S=3/2, F\text{-wave})/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$   $\Gamma_{11}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
not seen	<sup>1</sup> KAMANO	15	DPWA Multichannel
<sup>1</sup> From the preferred solution A in KAMANO 15.			

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$   $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_2)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.28 ± 0.01	ZHANG	13A	DPWA Multichannel
-0.28 ± 0.03	GOPAL	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel
-0.28 ± 0.01	KANE	74	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma\pi$
$\bullet \bullet \bullet$ We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc. $\bullet \bullet \bullet$			
-0.25 or -0.25	<sup>1</sup> MARTIN	77	DPWA $\bar{K}N$ multichannel

<sup>1</sup> The two MARTIN 77 values are from a T-matrix pole and from a Breit-Wigner fit.

$(\Gamma_i\Gamma_f)^{1/2}/\Gamma_{\text{total}}$  in  $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$ , *P*-wave  $(\Gamma_1\Gamma_4)^{1/2}/\Gamma$

VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
-0.20 ± 0.02	ZHANG	13A	DPWA Multichannel
-0.167 ± 0.054	<sup>1</sup> CAMERON	78	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$
+0.27 ± 0.03	PREVOST	74	DPWA $K^- N \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$

<sup>1</sup> The published sign has been changed to be in accord with the baryon-first convention.

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$ , F-wave		$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_5)^{1/2} / \Gamma$	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	COMMENT
+0.065 ± 0.029	<sup>1</sup> CAMERON	78	DPWA $K^- p \rightarrow \Sigma(1385)\pi$

<sup>1</sup> The published sign has been changed to be in accord with the baryon-first convention.

$(\Gamma_i \Gamma_f)^{1/2} / \Gamma_{\text{total}}$ in $N\bar{K} \rightarrow \Lambda(1820) \rightarrow \Lambda\eta$		$(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_6)^{1/2} / \Gamma$	
VALUE	DOCUMENT ID	TECN	
-0.096 <sup>+0.040</sup> <sub>-0.020</sub>	RADER	73	MPWA

## $\Lambda(1820)$ REFERENCES

SARANTSEV	19	EPJ A55 180	A.V. Sarantsev <i>et al.</i>	(BONN, PNPI)
KAMANO	15	PR C92 025205	H. Kamano <i>et al.</i>	(ANL, OSAK)
ZHANG	13A	PR C88 035205	H. Zhang <i>et al.</i>	(KSU)
PDG	82	PL 111B 1	M. Roos <i>et al.</i>	(HELS, CIT, CERN)
GOPAL	80	Toronto Conf. 159	G.P. Gopal	(RHEL) IJP
ALSTON-...	78	PR D18 182	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
Also		PRL 38 1007	M. Alston-Garnjost <i>et al.</i>	(LBL, MTHO+) IJP
CAMERON	78	NP B143 189	W. Cameron <i>et al.</i>	(RHEL, LOIC) IJP
DECLAIS	77	CERN 77-16	Y. Declais <i>et al.</i>	(CAEN, CERN) IJP
GOPAL	77	NP B119 362	G.P. Gopal <i>et al.</i>	(LOIC, RHEL) IJP
MARTIN	77	NP B127 349	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock, R.G. Moorhouse	(LOUC+) IJP
Also		NP B126 266	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC)
Also		NP B126 285	B.R. Martin, M.K. Pidcock	(LOUC) IJP
KANE	74	LBL-2452	D.F. Kane	(LBL) IJP
PREVOST	74	NP B69 246	J. Prevost <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, CERN, HEID)
RADER	73	NC 16A 178	R.K. Rader <i>et al.</i>	(SACL, HEID, CERN+)
ARMENTEROS	68C	NP B8 216	R. Armenteros <i>et al.</i>	(CERN, HEID, SACL) I